Modeling, Design and Control of Power Converters

by

Aratrik Sarkar

# A Dissertation Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor Of Philosophy

Approved October 2021 by the Graduate Supervisory Committee:

Armando A. Rodriguez, Chair Jennie Si Hans D. Mittelmann Konstantinos Tsakalis

# ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY December 2021

#### ABSTRACT

This dissertation examines modeling, design and control challenges associated with two classes of power converters: a direct current-direct current (DC-DC) stepdown (buck) regulator and a 3-phase  $(3-\phi)$  4-wire direct current-alternating current (DC-AC) inverter. These are widely used for power transfer in a variety of industrial and personal applications. This motivates the precise quantification of conditions under which existing modeling and design methods yield satisfactory designs, and the study of alternatives when they don't. This dissertation describes a method utilizing Fourier components of the input square wave and the inductor-capacitor (LC) filter transfer function, which doesn't require the small ripple approximation. Then, trade-offs associated with the choice of the filter order are analyzed for integrated buck converters with a constraint on their chip area. Design specifications which would justify using a fourth or sixth order filter instead of the widely used second order one are examined. Next, sampled-data (SD) control of a buck converter is analyzed. Three methods for the digital controller design are studied: analog design followed by discretization, direct digital design of a discretized plant, and a "lifting" based method wherein the sampling time is incorporated in the design process by lifting the continuous-time design plant before doing the controller design. Specifically, controller performance is quantified by studying the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of the closed loop system for a range of switching/sampling frequencies. In the final segment of this dissertation, the inner-outer control loop, employed in inverters with an inductorcapacitor-inductor (LCL) output filter, is studied. Closed loop sensitivities for the loop broken at the error and the control are examined, demonstrating that traditional methods only address these properties for one loop-breaking point. New controllers are then provided for improving both sets of properties.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor, Professor Armando A. Rodriguez for his insight and guidance during my doctoral study. I have learned a great deal about approaching engineering problems and looking for trade-offs in design from him. The work in this thesis wouldn't have been possible without his constant supervision.

Besides my advisor, several people have helped me reach this milestone.

I am immensely grateful to my committee members: Drs. J. Si, H. Mittelmann, and K. Tsakalis.

I would also like to especially thank *Brent Wallace*, with whom I had very illuminating conversations on a variety of topics addressed in this thesis.

Finally, I thank my family for their unconditional support.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
LI	ST O	F TABLES	vi
LI	ST O	F FIGURES	viii
CI	IAPT	ER	
1	IN	TRODUCTION	1
	1.1	Motivation and Fundamental Questions Answered	2
	1.2	Related Work and Literature Survey	3
	1.3	Contributions	5
	1.4	Organization of Dissertation	6
	1.5	Summary	7
2	M	ODELING OF DC-DC CONVERTER	8
	2.1	Overview	8
	2.2	Basic Switching Operation of DC-DC Converters	9
	2.3	Output Filters	10
	2.4	Basic Parts of a DC-DC Converter	11
	2.5	State-Space Modeling	12
		2.5.1 Assumptions for the Average Model	13
	2.6	Nonlinear Large Signal State-Space Averaged Model	14
	2.7	Equilibrium State-Space Averaged Model	15
	2.8	Solution of Equilibrium Averaged Model	16
	2.9	Small-Signal (AC) Averaged LTI Model	17
	2.10	Linearized Small-Signal Equations in Laplace Domain	20
	2.11	Summary	22
3	ΡL	ANT DESIGN FOR DC-DC BUCK CONVERTER	23

# CHAPTER

	3.1	Buck Converter with a Second Order LC Filter	23
	3.2	State Space Matrices	24
	3.3	Traditional Plant design for a Buck converter with LC filter $\ldots$	32
	3.4	Limitations of Traditional Filter Design	35
	3.5	Accuracy in Predicting % Voltage Ripple	35
	3.6	Requirements for a Fourth Order LCLC Filter	39
	3.7	State-Space Matrices with LCLC Filters	43
	3.8	Summary	50
4	CO	ONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN FOR BUCK CONVERTER	51
	4.1	Mathematical Preliminaries	51
	4.2	Need for Sampled-Data Control	52
		4.2.1 Analog Controller Design	54
		4.2.2 Digital Controller Design	57
	4.3	Computation of the Induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$ Norm	67
	4.4	Weighting Function	70
	4.5	"Lifted" Plant ( $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ Discretization)	71
	4.6	Trade-off	72
	4.7	Summary	73
5	Μ	ODELING AND CONTROL OF DC-AC INVERTER WITH LCL FIL-	
	ΤI	ER	81
	5.1	$3-\phi~$ DC-AC Inverter Averaged Model $~\ldots~\ldots~\ldots~\ldots~\ldots$	81
	5.2	Traditional Inner-Outer Control with a PR Controller	83
	5.3	Properties at the input and output	84
	5.4	Inner-Outer Control with Lag Network	90

Page

# CHAPTER

5.5 Summary		91
6 SUMMARY and FUTURE DIRECTIONS		93
REFERENCES		94
APPENDIX		104
A MATLAB CODE: LIFTING		104
B MATLAB CODE: BUCK CONVERT	TER RIPPLE	238
C MATLAB CODE: HIGHER ORDER	R FILTERS	251
D MATLAB CODE: INVERTER INNE	ER-OUTER LOOP	258

Page

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Volt. Ripple and Error	35
3.2	Attenuation and Filter Order	42
3.3	Switching Frequency and Power Loss	42
4.1	Norms	72
4.2	Trade-off: Stability vs. Power Loss	75
5.1	Plant Poles and Zeros	83
5.2	Critical Control-Relevant Properties (in dB)	90
5.3	Critical Control-Relevant Properties	90

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Switching DC-DC Converter	9
2.2	The Basic Structure of DC-DC converter	11
3.1	DC-DC Buck Converter with LC filter	23
3.2	(a) Transistor ON $q(t) = 1$ ; (b) Transistor OFF $q(t) = 0$	24
3.3	Limitations of Traditional Filter Design Equations	36
3.4	Error vs. Ripple	37
3.5	Model 1: Error vs. Ripple	38
3.6	Model 2: Error vs. Ripple	39
3.7	Frequency Response of Higher Order Output Filters	41
3.8	DC-DC Converter with LCLC-Filter	43
3.9	(a) Transistor ON $q(t) = 1$ ; (b) Transistor OFF $q(t) = 0$	43
4.1	Actual System Versus System Obtained using Typical Discretization .	53
4.2	Schematic for DC-DC Buck Converter Circuit with Compensation [5],	
	[6]	54
4.3	Visualization of Weighted Mixed Sensitivity Analog Controller Design	
	[89]	55
4.4	Nominal Open Loop Frequency Response	56
4.5	Weight on Sensitivity	57
4.6	Visualization of Weighted Mixed Sensitivity Sampled-Data Controller	
	Design [89]	58
4.7	SD System [89]	69
4.8	$  T_{wz}  $ vs. $h = T_s$ [89]	70

4.9	Closed Loop System [89]	71
4.10	$  T_{wz}  $ vs. $h = T_s$	72
4.11	Lifted Generalized Plant $(G_{eq,d})$	73
4.12	Output (SD System)	74
4.13	Error (SD System)	75
4.14	Control (SD System)	76
4.15	Effect of Variation in $h (= T_s)$ on $  T_{ry}  _{\mathcal{L}_2}$	77
4.16	Effect of Variation in $h (= T_s)$ on $  T_{ry}  _{\mathcal{L}_2}$ (Zoomed In)	78
4.17	Error Time Response $(f_s = 4.5 \text{ kHz}, T_s = h = 220 \ \mu s)$	79
4.18	Error Time Response $(f_s = 100 \text{ kHz}, T_s = h = 10 \mu s) \dots$	80
5.1	Grid-Tie Inverter (LCL Filter) Circuit	82
5.2	Inner-Outer Loop Control Structure	83
5.3	Root Locus of $H_z(s)$ (Eqn. 5.7)	87
5.4	Root Locus of $H_p(s)$ (Eqn. 5.12)	88
5.5	$ S_c _{\infty},  T_c _{\infty}, \frac{1}{2sin\frac{PMc_3}{2}}$ v.s. $k_i$	89
5.6	Open Loop (Broken at the Input)	91
5.7	$S_e: r \to e$	92

#### Chapter 1

#### INTRODUCTION

This thesis addresses controller and system design for two classes of power converters. Traditional methods are typically satisfactory for most designs. However, this thesis attempts to precisely quantify when certain established methods yield "satisfactory" results; and under what specifications, more advanced methods are required.

*DC-DC Buck Converters.* We study these power electronic circuits as they are widely used in a variety of applications, in addition to capturing many of the issues faced by other types of DC-DC converters. We study the extent to which approximations made while designing the filter hold and present more advanced Fourier analysis based methods when they don't. For integrated buck converters, the chip area is an important design constraint. The necessity of higher order output filters is analyzed in this context. We also use buck converters as an example of a sampled-data (SD) system in order to quantify the stability using the closed loop system's induced  $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm, which captures the inter-sample behavior using a process known as lifting.

 $3-\phi$  4-wire DC-AC Inverters. These are essentially 1- $\phi$  circuits. We study a system with an LCL output filter. The  $3^{rd}$  order plant is often controlled using an inner-outer control structure. Controller designs found in the literature usually address control-relevant sensitivities for the loop broken at the error. This thesis addresses both the properties at error and control.

#### 1.1 Motivation and Fundamental Questions Answered

The motivation for this research comes from the following questions:

- 1. How do we quantify acceptable performance of the plant and controller?
- 2. Under what conditions do the traditional design methods yield acceptable designs?
- 3. And what are more advanced techniques which can be used in cases when conventional methods fail to yield an acceptable design ?

We study the following specific questions in 3 different areas viz. Modeling, Plant Design and Control Design:

## Modeling & Analysis

• (<u>DC-DC converter</u>) When can we get by with a second-order plant and traditional plant design, and when do we need a higher order plant ?

### Plant Design

• When do the traditional design equations based on a *small ripple approximation* work for the LC filter and for what value of % voltage ripple do we need **more advanced Fourier spectrum based** techniques ?

### Control Design

• (<u>DC-DC converter</u>) For what plant and controller specifications can we design an analog controller and discretize, and for what specifications do we need a **direct digital design or a "lifting" based direct sampled-data design** that takes inter-sample behavior into account? • (<u>DC-AC inverter</u>) For what specifications is the traditional Inner-Outer Loop Control design for Inverters suitable, and **what are better inner and outer controller design methods** when they aren't suitable ?

1.2 Related Work and Literature Survey

This section includes some of the literature related to DC-DC buck converter and DC-AC inverter plant and controller design, and also relevant control literature for SD systems.

**DC-DC Buck Converters.** DC-DC switched mode power converters are widely used in modern electronic systems (mobile devices, computers, communication and medical equipment etc.) to interface energy sources to load requirements (or vice versa) in a lightweight, reliable and efficient way [1]. In order to filter out switching harmonics generated in these converters, an output passive filter is usually used. While a second-order LC filter is most commonly used [55]; in recent years fully integrated voltage regulators (FIVRs) have gained importance in multi-core systems [61]. These FIVRs have an area constraint limiting the size of the components (and hence the minimum cutoff frequency), which is why high switching frequencies in the range of hundreds of megahertz are typically used to achieve the desired output ripple. In order to reduce the switching frequency, and hence the switching loss in these converters, fourth order LCLC low pass filters have been proposed [60]. The design of these converters as well as studying trade-offs with respect to the traditional LC filter remains an area of active research. Typically an analog compensator is used, but digital controllers are becoming popular [2], [3] because of reduced design cycle time, ability to implement more complex control laws and ease of integration with other digital subsystems. Traditional digital controller design methods utilized in the literature [99] do not capture the effect of inter-sample behavior [14]. For low sampling frequencies, a SD closed loop system may go unstable unless we do a direct SD design utilizing modern "lifting" techniques [89].

**SD** Control Based on Lifting. The effect of sampling frequency on closed-loop stability has been studied in other applications like quad-copters [109], but the effect of switching frequency on the stability of digital control systems for buck converters has not been studied in the context of the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm [110]. Recent work has not focused on the lifting-based methodology or investigated the trade-off between switching power loss and stability for buck converters [5, 6], [103, 104, 105, 106, 107]. This is largely because DC-DC converters usually have "large" switching frequencies, and traditional design methods are typically suitable for these switching frequencies. Hence, a thorough quantitative analysis of switching loss and stability (measured by the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm) has not been conducted before. In addition, modern hybrid switched capacitor buck converters with large duty ratios [111, 112], make it possible for buck converters to have smaller switching frequencies and mitigate switching loss. For low switching frequencies, traditional methods may not yield a stabilizing design; however, the modern lifting-based technique allows for minimization of switching frequency while preserving closed-loop stability [84, 87, 89, 90, 91].

**DC-AC 3-** $\phi$  **4-wire Inverter**. 3- $\phi$  voltage source inverters (VSIs) are used to interface DPGSs like wind and solar to utility grid [16]. LCL filters are now widely used in DPGSs [18]. Resonance in LCL filter may lead to instability [20]. Active damping is usually preferred for stabilizing the inverter since adding resistance (passive damping) leads to additional power loss [21]. Little in literature on achieving

specifications at distinct loop breaking points. Essential for control system to have good properties at different loop breaking points (e.g. error and control) to be Robust to uncertainty and/or disturbances at these points [24]. Various active damping strategies like capacitor current feedback [28] have been tried, but in recent years strategies which rely only on sensed grid side current gained prominence since they don't involve any extra sensing [36].

## 1.3 Contributions

In this dissertation, performance specifications have been defined for systematically quantifying plant and controller design performance. This quantification is done to determine conditions under which existing methods give suitable performance. More sophisticated techniques are shown to yield acceptable designs when traditional methods fail. Precisely studied specifications for which higher-order output filters are required for buck converters. For the  $2^{nd}$  order LC filter plant, % error between actual and predicted ripple have been computed for traditional design methods to show what values of % output voltage ripple lead to unacceptable amounts of error. For these cases, certain number of Fourier harmonics as well as the linear model of the filter are used to accurately predict the ripple. For purposes of digital controller design, 3 methods:

- 1. Analog design followed by discretization
- 2. Direct digital design
- 3. Lifting based controller design

are studied and their relative performance for a range of switching frequencies is studied by computing the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of the closed-loop system.

Finally, control-relevant properties (sensitivities) are computed for the inverter with an inner-outer loop control structure. Properties at the error are found to be suitable for designs in the literature, but properties for the loop broken at the control are not. These are subsequently improved using novel controller structures.

#### 1.4 Organization of Dissertation

In chapter 2, the modeling of DC-DC buck converters is presented in great detail. Since these capture many features of other power converters, we discuss the derivation of an averaged large and small signal model in detail. Chapter 3 discusses the plant design methods for buck converters and compares the traditional small ripple approximation based method to one utilizing Fourier components of the input square wave along with the state-space model of the LC filter. It also discusses higher-order alternatives to the  $2^{nd}$  order plant and presents specifications which necessitate these higher-order filters. Chapter 4 focuses on controller design for the buck converter. More specifically, we utilize the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm to determine controller performance for 3 types of digital controllers. A comparative analysis is presented to show that which all digital controllers demonstrate acceptable performance at high switching frequencies, some methods are better than others at lower switching frequencies. We may wish to reduce the frequency in order to lower switching power loss wherever permitted, hence this analysis demonstrates an important trade-off and need for some of these more advanced digital controller designs. Chapter 5 studies a different power converter, a  $3-\phi$  4-wire DC-AC inverter with an LCL output filter. Inner-outer loop based control is studied in order to show that sensitivities for the feedback loop broken at the error are different from those for the loop broken at the control. A solution for achieving sensitivities less than 6 dB at both loop broken points is presented. Finally, Chapter 6 summarizes the results of the dissertation and suggests possible directions for future research.

# 1.5 Summary

This chapter motivates the work done in this thesis on the basis of a thorough literature survey. It also presents the main contributions and presents the organization of the thesis.

#### Chapter 2

#### MODELING OF DC-DC CONVERTER

#### 2.1 Overview

DC-DC converters are more power efficient, versatile and substantially smaller and lighter than the traditional linear power supplies [46]. But the output regulation in switching mode DC-DC conversion requires more complex feedback control loop structure compared to linear regulator causing an increase in overall cost. These are extensively used as energy conversion components in myriad domestic, wearable and portable electronic devices, for example, personal computers, mobile phones, etc. DC-DC converters have also many essential industry applications like in accelerator technologies [4], aerospace [47] and automobile industries.

Very fast cyclical switching actions of semiconductor switches (transistors) used in SMPS produce distortion or ripples in the output voltage and current. Fast transitions of current and voltage induced by the high frequency switching cause Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) with other electronic components in devices, such as, computers or communication equipment [4]. Radiated electric fields are produced by the rapid voltage changes at the Inductor node, while fast changing Inductor current produces magnetic field [46, 48] Furthermore, some sensitive loads such as Integrated digital circuits require almost constant dc power supply with little ripple for proper functioning [4]. To fulfill such stringent industry standards regarding power quality, the output ripple needs to be suppressed substantially employing low-pass-filters (LPF) in the power stage of converters.



Figure 2.1: Switching DC-DC Converter

The simplest form of a switching DC-DC converter (without a Low Pass Filter) and its output is depicted in Fig. 2.1 [49]. An ideal switch is, by definition, a circuit element that can support both a non-zero average voltage and a non-zero average current without dissipating energy [4].

The average value of the output can be controlled by varying the ratio of the ON to OFF times of the switch. The switching action causes the instantaneous values of the input current and output voltage differ from their average values.

The signal produced by the periodic switching action at the input of a DC-DC

converter can be expressed as a Fourier series that is a sum of sine waves with frequencies that are integer multiples of the switching frequency  $(f_s)$  [4, 49, 50].

The switch opens and closes at a frequency  $f_s$  (= 1/T). The duty ratio, D, is defined as the ratio of the ON-time to the period T.

$$D = \frac{t_{ON}}{T} = \frac{t_{ON}}{T} = \frac{t_{ON}}{t_{ON} + t_{OFF}} = f_s \cdot t_{ON}$$
(2.1)  
$$t_{ON} = DT, t_{OFF} = (1 - D)T$$

The resulting load voltage  $v_0$  is a chopped version of the input [4] – a series of pulses having an amplitude  $V_{in}$ , and an average, or DC value of  $v_0$ :  $\langle v_0 \rangle = DV_{in}$ . But this DC value comes with a substantial amount of *ripple*. This ripple is present in the load voltage  $v_0$  as well as in the source current  $I_{in}$ .

$$v_{0}(t) = DV_{in} + \frac{2V_{in}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\pi D)}{n} \cos(n\omega_{s}t - n\phi_{0})$$
  

$$\omega_{s} = \frac{2\pi}{T} = 2\pi f_{s}, \quad \phi_{0} = \omega t_{0}.$$
(2.2)

#### 2.3 Output Filters

As expressed in eq. (2.2), presence of switching frequency harmonics along with the DC component produces the unwanted ripple or distortion in the output voltage [51]. This necessitates an output low-pass-filters(LPF) interface so as to produce the desired output terminal variables with very little ripple. Inductances (L) and capacitances (C) are the usual components of a filter circuit. Traditionally a second order inductor-capacitor (LC) filter is used for this purpose. In some applications, for example, accelerator technology, the high-stability converters must have a high closed-loop band width so that it can react to errors very quickly. The closed-loop band width gets limited by the output filter and this requires that the cut-off frequency is to be made as high as possible. But the filter with high cut-off frequency cannot reduce the output ripple effectively (as explained later by eq. (3.43)). In such cases, higher-order (higher than  $2^{nd}$ ) filters with more components of L and C need to be employed [51].

### 2.4 Basic Parts of a DC-DC Converter

There are two main parts of a typical DC-DC converter [52]: the power stage (PS) and the control circuit (CC) as sown in Fig. 2.2.



Figure 2.2: The Basic Structure of DC-DC converter

Depending on the power stage circuit topology, DC-DC converter can step down or up the DC input voltage or can be used both ways. The three most commonly used DC-DC converters are Buck (step down) or Boost (step up) and Buck-Boost (bidirectional) [47]. The power stage of these converters consists of semiconductor devices acting as switches and low loss components such as inductors, capacitors, which are usually part of a low-pass-filter, which regulates the output by suppressing the switching frequency ripples.

The control circuit senses the state  $S_N$  of power stages, usually the output voltage, and generates the control signal  $S_K$ , which controls the state of the main or active switch referred to as "transistor". The auxiliary switch in the power stage is referred to as "diode".

There are two approaches to regulate the output of a DC-DC converter, namely, voltage-mode control and current-mode control, respectively [6],[12].

In this document we shall be dealing with the pulse-width modulated (PWM) voltage-mode control where the output voltage is compared with a reference signal to generate a control signal which drives the pulse-width modulator via a feedback loop.

#### 2.5 State-Space Modeling

For a typical power converter circuit, the physical state variables are the inductor currents  $(i_L)$  and the capacitor voltages  $(v_C)$ . The inductor voltage across an inductor L is  $\frac{d(Li_L)}{dt}$ ; the voltage across a capacitor is  $v_C$ , the capacitor current is  $\frac{d(Cv_C)}{dt}$ . A switching function q(t) may be defined to represent the effect of control inputs.

Let us assume, in general, the power converter switches between N circuit topologies during one switching cycle. If  $\mathbf{x}$  denotes the state vector and  $D_j$  be the fraction of the period T in which the circuit belongs to the  $j^{th}$  topology. It follows that

$$D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_j = 1 \tag{2.3}$$

The state equations of the converter for the first period can now be rewritten as:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \begin{cases} A_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_1 \mathbf{u}(t), & 0 \le t < D_1 T \\ A_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_2 \mathbf{u}(t), & D_1 T \le t < (D_1 + D_2) T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ A_N \mathbf{x}(t) + B_N \mathbf{u}(t), & (1 - D_N) T \le t < T \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \begin{cases} E_{1}\mathbf{x}(t) + F_{1}\mathbf{u}(t), & 0 \le t < D_{1}T \\ E_{2}\mathbf{x}(t) + F_{2}\mathbf{u}(t), & D_{1}T \le t < (D_{1} + D_{2})T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ E_{N}\mathbf{x}(t) + F_{N}\mathbf{u}(t), & (1 - D_{N})T \le t < T \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

where  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a state vector,  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  are input and output vectors respectively.  $A_j, B_j, E_j$  and  $F_j$  matrices depend on the circuit topology of a particular switching state j and the characteristics of its components.

#### 2.5.1 Assumptions for the Average Model

In state-space averaging, the switching period T is considered as the averaging interval. The assumptions for the averaged model are the following [4]:

• The small ripple assumption:

for a given value of  $T = \frac{1}{f_s}$ , the ripple in the instantaneous value of a state variable x(t) is small enough that it can be ignored and the variable can be approximated by its average value  $\langle x(t) \rangle$  at time t.

• The slow variation assumption:

the average values of the variables don't vary substantially over an averaging

interval T, i.e. the averaged values vary much slower than one half of the switching frequency.

Well defined high-frequency switching converters operating in CCM generally satisfy these two assumptions.

### 2.6 Nonlinear Large Signal State-Space Averaged Model

A PWM converter, operating in continuous conduction mode (CCM), two circuit topologies corresponding to two subintervals during each switching period are possible.

During subinterval 1 or the ON-stage, let  $(A_1, B_1, E_1, F_1)$  denote the state space matrices for the power stage. The converter described by a linear circuit can be represented by the following state equations:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = A_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_1 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_1 \mathbf{u}(t). \qquad (2.6)$$

Similarly, during subinterval 2, the state equations are:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = A_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_2 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_2 \mathbf{u}(t). \qquad (2.7)$$

The durations of subintervals ON and OFF are related to switching period T or frequency  $f_s(=1/T)$  and duty ratio d by formulas:

$$d(t) = \frac{T_{ON}}{T} \,. \tag{2.8}$$

Using classical averaging ideas [53, 54] one obtains a nonlinear large signal averaged model possessing the following structure [53], pp 217-221:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{x}(t) \rangle = [d(t)A_1 + (1 - d(t))A_2] \langle \mathbf{x}(t) \rangle + [d(t)B_1 + (1 - d(t)B_2] \langle \mathbf{u}(t) \rangle$$
(2.9)

where

$$\langle \mathbf{z}(t) \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} z(\tau) d\tau$$
 (2.10)

denotes the moving time-average of the large signal quantity  $\mathbf{z}(\tau)$ .

Given this,  $\langle \mathbf{u}(t) \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{x}(t) \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{y}(t) \rangle$  denote moving time-averages of the power stages input, state, and output variables. This model may be viewed as LTV, LPV, or nonlinear in d.

## 2.7 Equilibrium State-Space Averaged Model

The analysis described here is based on [53]. Provided that the natural frequencies of the converter, as well as the frequencies of variations of the converter inputs, are much slower than the switching frequency, then the State-space averaged model that describes the converter in equilibrium is

$$\mathbf{0} = A\mathbf{X} + B\mathbf{U}$$
$$\mathbf{Y} = E\mathbf{X} + F\mathbf{U}$$
(2.11)

where the averaged matrices are

$$A = DA_{1} + (1 - D)A_{2}$$
  

$$B = DB_{1} + (1 - D)B_{2}$$
  

$$E = DE_{1} + (1 - D)E_{2}$$
  

$$F = DF_{1} + (1 - D)F_{2}$$
(2.12)

and the equilibrium DC components are

 $\mathbf{X}$  = equilibrium State vector

 $\mathbf{U}$  = equilibrium input vector

- $\mathbf{Y}\,=\,\mathrm{equilibrium}\,\,\mathrm{output}\,\,\mathrm{vector}$
- D = equilibrium duty ratio

2.8 Solution of Equilibrium Averaged Model

Eq. (2.11) may be solved as

$$\mathbf{X} = -A^{-1}B\mathbf{U}$$
$$\mathbf{Y} = (-EA^{-1}B + F)\mathbf{U}$$
(2.13)

## 2.9 Small-Signal (AC) Averaged LTI Model

Let us consider the following large signal average model.

$$\langle \mathbf{x}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{X} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{U} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{Y} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)$$

$$\langle d(t) \rangle = D + \hat{d}(t)$$

$$(2.14)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Y}$  and D denote equilibrium moving averages for the state input, and output respectively and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t), \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t), \hat{d}(t)$  are the corresponding small-signal (AC) perturbations

$$||\mathbf{U}|| \gg ||\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)||$$
  

$$||\mathbf{X}|| \gg ||\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)||$$
  

$$||\mathbf{Y}|| \gg ||\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)||$$
  

$$||D|| \gg ||\hat{d}(t)||.$$
(2.15)

Substituting the above equations in eq. (16) and using eq. (18) and eq. (19), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{X} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) = \left[ \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) A_1 + \left( 1 - \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) A_2 \right] \cdot \left( \mathbf{X} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \\
+ \left[ \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) B_1 + \left( 1 - \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) \right) B_2 \right] \cdot \left( \mathbf{U} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \right) \\
\mathbf{Y} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \left[ \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) E_1 + \left( 1 - \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) E_2 \right] \cdot \left( \mathbf{X} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \\
+ \left[ \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) F_1 + \left( 1 - \left( D + \hat{d}(t) \right) F_2 \right] \cdot \left( \mathbf{U} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \right) \\$$
(2.16)

Setting  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x} = 0$  (for equilibrium) and using eq. (18) and eq. (19), eq. (23) can be reorganized as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = [DA_1 + (1 - D)A_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + [A_1 - A_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) 
+ [DA_1 + (1 - D)A_2]X + [A_1 - A_2]X\hat{d}(t) 
+ [DB_1 + (1 - D)B_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + [B_1 - B_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t) 
+ [DB_1 + (1 - D)B_2]U + [B_1 - B_2]U\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.17)

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{Y} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = [DE_1 + (1 - D)E_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + [E_1 - E_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + [DE_1 + (1 - D)E_2]X + [E_1 - E_2]X\hat{d}(t) + [DF_1 + (1 - D)F_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + [F_1 - F_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + [DF_1 + (1 - D)F_2]U + [F_1 - F_2]U\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.18)

Using (2.17),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + [A_1 - A_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + A\mathbf{X} + [A_1 - A_2]\mathbf{X}\hat{d}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + [B_1 - B_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + B\mathbf{U} + [B_1 - B_2]\mathbf{U}\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.19)

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{Y} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + [E_1 - E_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + E\mathbf{X} + [E_1 - E_2]\mathbf{X}\hat{d}(t) + F\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + [F_1 - F_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + F\mathbf{U} + [F_1 - F_2]\mathbf{U}\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.20)

Further simplification gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{X} + B\mathbf{U} + A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \{(A_1 - A_2)\mathbf{X} + (B_1 - B_2)\mathbf{U}\}\hat{d}(t) + [A_1 - A_2]\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + [B_1 - B_2]\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.21)

$$\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{\hat{y}}(t) = E\mathbf{X} + F\mathbf{U} + E\mathbf{\hat{x}}(t) + F\mathbf{\hat{u}}(t) + \{(E_1 - E_2)\mathbf{X} + (F_1 - F_2)\mathbf{U}\} \hat{d}(t) + [E_1 - E_2]\mathbf{\hat{x}}(t)\hat{d}(t) + [F_1 - F_2]\mathbf{\hat{u}}(t)\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.22)

When the small-signal assumption is satisfied, the second-order (non-linear) terms are very small. So, *linearizing around the converter equilibrium point*, and using eq. (2.21)

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{dt} = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \{(A_1 - A_2)\mathbf{X} + (B_1 - B_2)\mathbf{U}\}\,\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.23)

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + F\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \{(E_1 - E_2)\mathbf{X} + (F_1 - F_2)\mathbf{Y}\}\,\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.24)

Eq. (2.23) and eq. (2.24) are the derived result which describes the linearized small-signal state equations.

## 2.10 Linearized Small-Signal Equations in Laplace Domain

The linearized small signal equations in time domain, eq. (2.23) and eq. (2.24), are further reorganized and written as

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{dt} = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + M\hat{d}(t)$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + F\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + G\hat{d}(t)$$
(2.25)

where

$$M = (A_1 - A_2)\mathbf{X} + (B_1 - B_2)\mathbf{U}$$
  

$$G = (E_1 - E_2)\mathbf{X} + (F_1 - F_2)\mathbf{U}$$
(2.26)

Laplace transformation of eq. (2.23) and use of eq. (2.24) give

$$s\hat{\mathbf{x}}(s) = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(s) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(s) + M\hat{d}(s)$$
(2.27)

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(s) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(s) + F\hat{\mathbf{u}}(s) + G\hat{d}(s)$$
(2.28)

This implies,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(s) = [sI - A]^{-1}B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(s) + [sI - A]^{-1}M\hat{d}(s)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(s) = E\left\{[sI - A]^{-1}B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(s) + [sI - A]^{-1}M\hat{d}(s)\right\}$$

$$+F\hat{\mathbf{u}}(s) + G\hat{d}(s)$$
(2.29)
(2.29)
(2.30)

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{\hat{y}}(s) = \left\{ E[sI - A]^{-1}B + F \right\} \mathbf{\hat{u}}(s) + \left\{ E[sI - A]^{-1}M + G \right\} \hat{d}(s)$$
(2.31)

When  $\hat{d}(s) = 0$ ,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(s) = \left\{ E[sI - A]^{-1}B + F \right\} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(s)$$
(2.32)

Input to output transfer function of the system or the Plant Transfer-function

$$Tr_{Plant} = \frac{\hat{y}(s)}{\hat{u}(s)} \bigg|_{\hat{d}(s)=0} = E[sI - A]^{-1}B + F.$$
(2.33)

If the perturbations in the inputs are zero, that is,  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) = 0$ , then the control to output transfer function is given by

$$\left. \frac{\mathbf{\hat{y}}(s)}{\hat{d}(s)} \right|_{\mathbf{\hat{u}}(s)=0} = E[sI-A]^{-1}M + G$$
(2.34)

In the next section, we shall obtain *linearized small-signal state equations* for a Buck converter with LC filter and obtain the *plant* and *control-to-output transfer functions*.

## 2.11 Summary

This chapter presented the modeling of the DC-DC buck converters and studied various stages of modeling namely the circuit model, state-space nonlinear averaged model, equilibrium state-space averaged model and the final linearized small-signal (AC) averaged LTI model which will be used for control design.

### Chapter 3

#### PLANT DESIGN FOR DC-DC BUCK CONVERTER

#### 3.1 Buck Converter with a Second Order LC Filter

Fig. 5 shows a circuit diagram of a Buck converter with LC filter. The transistor operates at a fixed switching frequency with period T. It is assumed to operate in the continuous current conduction mode (CCM). Corresponding to two subintervals, ON-time and OFF-time of a switching cycle, there are two equivalent circuit configurations (see Fig. 5). The inductor current  $i_L$  and the capacitor voltage  $v_C$  are the two state variables  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  respectively for the converter with the initial condition  $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ .  $R_L$  and  $R_c$  are the parasitic resistances of the inductor and the capacitor respectively.



Figure 3.1: DC-DC Buck Converter with LC filter

The PWM process generating a rectangular pulsed line voltage u(t) at the input is defined by:



Figure 3.2: (a) Transistor ON q(t) = 1; (b) Transistor OFF q(t) = 0.

Transistor ON (q(t) = 1) for  $nT \le t < (n + D(t))T$ ,  $u(t) = V_{in}$ 

Transistor OFF (q(t) = 0) elsewhere, u(t) = 0,

 $D(t) \in \{0,1\}$  denotes the duty cycle.

### 3.2 State Space Matrices

KVL and KCL relationships corresponding to the low pass filter (LPF) of Fig. 3.1 yield the following differential equations in terms of the state variables  $i_L$  and  $v_C$  [49].

$$L\frac{\mathrm{d}i_{L}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = q(t)V_{in} - i_{L}R_{L} - v_{0}$$
(3.1)

$$C\frac{\mathrm{d}v_C(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = i_L - \frac{v_0}{R_0}$$
(3.2)

Also, 
$$v_C = v_0 - i_C R_C$$
 (3.3)

To calculate  $v_0$  in terms of  $i_L$ ,  $v_C$ :

$$v_{0} = v_{C} + i_{C}R_{C}$$

$$i_{C} = i_{L} - i_{0} = i_{L} - \frac{v_{0}}{R_{0}}$$

$$v_{0} = i_{L}R_{0} - i_{c}R_{0}$$

$$= i_{L}R_{0} - \left[\frac{v_{0} - v_{c}}{R_{C}}\right]R_{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_{0}R_{C} = i_{L}R_{0}R_{C} - v_{0}R_{0} + v_{C}R_{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_{0}(t) = y = i_{L}\left[\frac{R_{0}R_{C}}{R_{0} + R_{C}}\right] + v_{C}\left[\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C}}\right]$$
(3.4)

Eliminating  $v_0$  from eq. (3.2) and eq. (3.3) and writing in the matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{d}i_L(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}v_C(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-R_L - kR_C}{L} & \frac{-k}{L} \\ \\ \\ \frac{k}{C} & \frac{-k}{R_0C} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_L \\ \\ v_C \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L}u(t) \\ \\ \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.5)

where k is the divider constant,  $k = \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}$  and  $u(t) = q(t)V_{in}$ . We get two sets of state space equations as:

Transistor – ON (q(t) = 1):

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_1 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_1 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
(3.6)

and Transistor – OFF (q(t) = 0):

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_2 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_2 \mathbf{u}(t)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} i_L(t) \\ v_C(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{in} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.7)

and

$$A_{1} = A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L} (R_{L} + kR_{C}) & -\frac{k}{L} \\ & & \\ \frac{k}{C} & -\frac{k}{R_{0}C} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.8)  
$$B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad B_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(3.9)

Eq. (3.4) gives

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = y = v_0(t) = i_L(t)kR_C + v_C(t)k$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} kR_C & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_L(t) \\ \\ \\ v_C(t) \end{bmatrix} + 0$$
(3.10)

$$\Rightarrow E_1 = E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} k R_C & k \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.11)

and 
$$F_1 = F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3.12)

Now that we know the two sets of matrices  $(A_1, B_1, E_1, F_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2, E_2, F_2)$  for the Buck converter with LC-filter considering parasitic resistances of the inductance and the capacitance, we can use eq. (2.12), (2.25) and (2.26) to generate the small signal model.

Let us consider the small-signal perturbations in  $i_L(t), v_C(t)$  and  $v_0(t)$  as

$$\langle i_L(t) \rangle = I_L + \hat{i}_L(t)$$

$$\langle v_C(t) \rangle = V_C + \hat{v}_C(t)$$

$$\langle v_0(t) \rangle = V_0 + \hat{v}_0(t)$$

$$V_{in} \Rightarrow V_{in} + \hat{v}_{in}(t)$$

$$(3.13)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{dt} = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + M\hat{d}(t)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$$
(3.14)

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i}_L(t) \\ \hat{v}_C(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \hat{v}_0(t), \qquad \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \hat{v}_{in}(t), \qquad (3.15)$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{in}}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.17)$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} kR_C & k \end{bmatrix}, \quad F = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$k = \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}$$
(3.19)

The detailed averaged small signal LTI model is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{i}}_{L}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{v}}_{C}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L} (R_{L} + kR_{C}) & -\frac{k}{L} \\ \frac{k}{C} & -\frac{k}{R_{0}C} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i}_{L}(t) \\ \hat{v}_{C}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \hat{v}_{in}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{in}}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \hat{d}(t)$$
(3.20)  
$$\hat{y}(t) = \hat{v}_{0}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} kR_{C} & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i}_{L}(t) \\ \hat{v}_{C}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.21)

It is worth mentioning at this juncture that we have not considered any fluctuation in the load current as this is minimally zero. The PWM gain has also not been included separately. Using eq. (2.31) we get the Laplace transformed small-signal output as

$$\hat{y}(s) = \hat{v}_0(s) = \left\{ E[sI - A]^{-1}B \right\} \hat{v}_{in}(s) + \left\{ E[sI - A]^{-1}M \right\} \hat{d}(s)$$
(3.22)

The converter transfer functions are now derived as: Plant transfer function,  $Tr_{Plant}$ 

$$Tr_{Plant}(s) = \frac{\hat{v}_0(s)}{\hat{v}_{in}(s)}\Big|_{\hat{d}(s)=0} = E[sI - A]^{-1}B$$
(3.23)

control-to-output transfer function is given by

$$Tr_{control-to-output} = \left. \frac{\hat{v}_0(s)}{\hat{d}(s)} \right|_{\hat{V}_{in}(s)=0} = E[sI-A]^{-1}M$$
 (3.24)

Here  $\hat{d}(s)$  is the control parameter.

$$Tr_{Plant} = \begin{bmatrix} kR_C & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (s + \frac{1}{L}(R_L + kR_C) & \frac{k}{L} \\ -\frac{k}{C} & \left(s + \frac{k}{R_0C}\right) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} kR_C & k \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \left(s + \frac{k}{R_0C}\right) & -\frac{k}{L} \\ \frac{k}{C} & \left(s + \frac{1}{L}(R_L + kR_C)\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.25)
$$= \begin{bmatrix} kR_C & k \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L} \left(s + \frac{k}{R_0C}\right) \\ \frac{D}{L} \frac{k}{C} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_C & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{Dk}{\alpha} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L} \left(s + \frac{k}{R_0C}\right) \\ \frac{k}{LC} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left\{ D\left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}\right) \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_C}{L} \left(s + \frac{k}{R_0C}\right) + \frac{k}{LC} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left\{ D\left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}\right) \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_C}{L} \left(s + \frac{1}{(R_0 + R_C)C}\right) + \frac{R_0}{(R_0 + R_C)LC} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left\{ D\left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}\right) \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{LC} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} CR_Cs + \frac{R_C}{(R_0 + R_C)} + \frac{R_0}{(R_0 + R_C)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.26)

where

$$\alpha = \left\{ \left( s + \frac{1}{L} (R_L + kR_C) \right) \left( s + \frac{k}{R_0 C} \right) + \frac{k^2}{LC} \right\}$$

$$= s^2 + \left( \frac{k}{R_0 C} + \frac{1}{L} (R_L + kR_C) \right) s + \frac{k}{R_0 CL} (R_L + kR_C) + \frac{k^2}{LC}$$

$$= s^2 + \left( \frac{1}{(R_0 + R_C)C} + \frac{1}{L} (R_L + \frac{R_0 R_C}{R_0 + R_C} \right) s$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \left( R_L + \frac{R_0 R_C}{R_0 + R_C} + \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \frac{1}{LC}$$

$$= s^2 + \left( \frac{1}{(R_0 + R_C)C} + \frac{1}{L} \left( R_L + \frac{R_0 R_C}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \right) s$$

$$+ \left( \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \left( \frac{R_L}{R_0} + \frac{R_C}{R_0 + R_C} + \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \frac{1}{LC}$$

$$= s^2 + \left( \frac{1}{(R_0 + R_C)C} + C \left( R_L + \frac{R_0 R_C}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \right) s$$

$$+ \left( \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \left( \frac{R_L}{R_0} + \frac{R_C}{R_0 + R_C} + \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \frac{1}{LC}$$

$$= \frac{1}{LC} \left\{ LCs^2 + \left( \frac{L}{(R_0 + R_C)} + C \left( R_L + \frac{R_0 R_C}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \right) s + \left( \frac{R_L + R_0}{R_0 + R_C} \right) \right\}$$
(3.27)

We thus obtain,

$$Tr_{Plant} = D\left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_C}\right) \left[\frac{CR_C s + 1}{LCs^2 + \left(\frac{L}{R_0 + R_C} + C(R_L + \frac{R_0R_C}{R_0 + R_C})\right)s + \left(\frac{R_L + R_0}{R_0 + R_C}\right)}\right]$$
(3.28)

In the special case:  $R_L = R_C = 0$ ,

$$Tr_{Plant}(s) = \frac{D}{LCs^2 + \frac{L}{R_0}s + 1}$$
 (3.29)

3.3 Traditional Plant design for a Buck converter with LC filter

Traditionally, the plant design for a PWM Buck converter with LC filter (in CCM) is based on the following assumptions [50]:

- 1 The power MOSFET and the diode are ideal switches.
- 2 The transistor output capacitance, the diode capacitance, and the lead inductances are zero, and thus switching losses are neglected.
- 3 Passive components are linear time-invariant, and frequency independent.
- 4 The output impedance of the input voltage source  $v_{in}$  is zero for both DC and AC components.
- 5 The converter is operating in steady state.
- 6 The switching period  $T = \frac{1}{f_s}$  is much shorter than the time constants of reactive components.
- 7 Small Ripple Assumption: we assume that the output voltage ripple  $\Delta V_o$  is negligible, such that  $V_O = V_C$  is a near ideal DC voltage.
- 8 No Restrictions on Component Size: we assume that in order to meet a desired % ripple specification for  $V_O$ , we do not have any restrictions on how large L and C can be and that the switching frequency choice is primarily dictated by power consumption in the MOSFET switch.

<u>Case 1.</u>  $R_L = R_C = 0$ : For easy analysis in the steady state, it is assumed that the capacitor C is large enough (that is with low impedance) so that the output ripple is negligible [59]. This is the small-ripple assumption.

Over the one period T of a switching cycle, average value of the Inductor current  $I_L$  is equal to the average output current [50]

$$I_L = I_0 \tag{3.30}$$

The inductor current starts at the initial value

$$I_L(0) = I_{L,min}$$

and changes to a peak value  $I_{L,max}$  at the end of the switch-closure period (DT).

$$I_{L,max} = I_L(DT) \tag{3.31}$$

$$I_{L,max} - I_{L,min} = \frac{(V_{in} - V_0)}{L} \cdot DT$$
 (3.32)

$$I_{L,min} - I_{L,max} = -\frac{V_0}{L} \cdot (1 - D)T$$
(3.33)

$$\Delta I_L(peak-peak) = |I_{L,max} - I_{L,min}| = |I_{L,min} - I_{L,max}|$$
(3.34)

$$\Rightarrow \Delta I_L(peak-peak) = \frac{V_{in} - V_0}{L} \cdot DT = \frac{V_0}{L} \cdot (1 - D)T$$
(3.35)

$$V_0 = DV_{in} \tag{3.36}$$

$$I_{L,max} = DV_{in} \left[ \frac{1}{R_0} + \frac{(1-D)}{2L} \cdot T \right]$$
(3.37)

$$I_{L,min} = DV_{in} \left[ \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{(1-D)}{2L} \cdot T \right]$$
(3.38)

• Minimum inductance that results in a continuous current conduction (CCM) in

the inductor is obtained by putting  $I_{L,min} = 0$  in eq. (3.38),

$$L_{min} = \frac{(1-D)}{2}TR_0 \tag{3.39}$$

Voltage ripple  $\Delta V_0$  is given by the formula:

$$\Delta V_0(peak-peak) = \Delta V_0 = \left(\frac{1}{8Cf_s}\right) \Delta I_L(peak-peak)$$
(3.40)

$$\Rightarrow \Delta V_0 = \left(\frac{1}{8Cf_s}\right) \frac{V_0}{L} \cdot (1-D)T$$
(3.41)

$$= \left(\frac{1}{8Cf_s^2}\right) \frac{V_0}{L} \cdot (1-D)T$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta V_0}{V_0} = \frac{(1-D)}{8} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_s^2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta V_0}{V_0} = \frac{(1-D)}{8} \left(2\pi f_c\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_s^2}\right)$$
(3.42)

where  $f_c = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}}$ , is corner or cut-off frequency

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\Delta V_0}{V_0} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 - D) \left(\frac{f_c}{f_s}\right)^2} \tag{3.43}$$

Eq. (3.43) shows that the voltage ripple can be minimized by selecting a corner frequency  $f_c$  of the low-pass filter at the output such that  $f_c \ll f_s$ . The percentage ripple in the output voltage is usually specified to be less than 1% [59].

Volt. Ripple	Error
$\leq 7.1173\%$	$\leq 10\%$
$\leq 3.0217\%$	$\leq 1\%$
$\leq 1.7344\%$	$\leq 0.1\%$

Table 3.1: Volt. Ripple and Error

### 3.4 Limitations of Traditional Filter Design

Fig. 3.3 shows that keeping the switching frequency fixed, if we reduce the capacitance, thus increasing the output voltage ripple; then the error in predicting the ripple also increases. This is a quantification of the small ripple assumption. The equations tested are eq. (3.41) and eq. (3.43). Tab. 3.1 shows that if the output voltage ripple is in the range of 0 to 1%, then the error is less than 0.1%.

### 3.5 Accuracy in Predicting % Voltage Ripple

**Small Ripple Approximation** E.g. voltage ripple > 3% will result in a prediction error > 1%

# New Model for Predicting Ripple

• We approximate the input square wave by n harmonics of the Fourier Spectrum:

$$v_{in}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2|C'||P|\cos(k\omega_0 t + \angle C' + \angle P)$$
(3.44)

$$C' = C_0 \frac{\sin(nD\pi)}{(nD\pi)} e^{-inD\pi}$$
(3.45)

$$C_0 = V_{in_{DC}(nom)} \times D \tag{3.46}$$

- $1^{st}$  harmonic has a frequency of  $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_s$  rad/s where the  $f_s$  is the switching frequency.
- Input is applied to:



Figure 3.3: Limitations of Traditional Filter Design Equations



Figure 3.4: Error vs. Ripple

– Model 1: Full Transfer Function

$$P(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{LC}}{s^2 + \frac{1}{RC}s + \frac{1}{LC}}$$
(3.47)

– Model 2: High switching frequency approx.  $(f_s >> f_c)$ 

$$P = \frac{\frac{1}{LC}}{s^2} \tag{3.48}$$



Figure 3.5: Model 1: Error vs. Ripple

### Fourier Analysis - Conclusions

- State-space model with 9 harmonics is a better model.
- We can potentially reduce the number of design iterations with a more accurate model.
- Small-ripple approximation is conceptually similar to assuming  $f_s >> f_c$ .



Figure 3.6: Model 2: Error vs. Ripple

3.6 Requirements for a Fourth Order LCLC Filter

The plant parameters and specifications are taken from the work on integrated buck converters published in the Transactions [60]. As seen in Fig. 3.7, the 4<sup>th</sup> Order LC-LC Output Filter achieves -40 dB of attenuation at a lower switching frequency. This analysis did not consider factors affecting the physical implementation of integrated buck converters like for example the mutual inductance between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . In reality we can achieve a more significant reduction in the switching frequency.

• For integrated buck converters, there is a finite chip area for placing the L and

C, thus limiting the maximum amount of total L and C that can be placed [60]

- <u>Previous designs</u> of integrated buck converters used high switching frequencies in range of hundreds of megahertz to decrease the size of components [65], [66].
- These lead to degradation in the efficiency:
  - due to increased switching loss at higher switching frequencies
  - due to the low quality factor of on-chip inductors
- Fourth-order LC low-pass filter is advocated in [60] as it can potentially deliver better performance without incurring an area penalty

NOTE: an **inductor is required at the input** for keeping the **current ripple** to a value acceptable to the <u>FET</u> while a **capacitor is required at the output** to keep the **voltage ripple** to a value acceptable to the <u>load</u>; thus, all filters are a series of LC circuits and the filter order is a multiple of 2.

#### Things to Consider while Choosing Filter Order

- Amount of <u>attenuation</u> needed. As shown in the next slide, for -20 dB of attenuation, a simple 2<sup>nd</sup> order LC filter might be better than a 4<sup>th</sup> order LCLC or a 6<sup>th</sup> LCLCLC.
- Switching **power loss**. By reducing the switching frequency  $(f_s)$ , we can reduce the switching power loss  $(P_{sw})$ , based on the equation [115, eq. (5.7)]:

$$P_{sw} = 10 f_s C_{ds} \sqrt{V_I^3}$$
 (3.49)

where



Figure 3.7: Frequency Response of Higher Order Output Filters

- $f_s$ : the switching frequency
- $-V_I$ : input DC voltage
- $-C_{ds}$ : the drain to source capacitance can be computed using the data-sheet parameters (CSD13383F4):

$$C_{ds} = C_{oss} - C_{rss} = (68 - 47) \ pF = 21 \ pF \tag{3.50}$$

- Mutual inductance between the multiple inductors. This can increase or decrease the attenuation achieved.
- Total power loss including the conduction loss.

# Family of Higher-Order Filters

- Fig. (3.7) above shows that below 158 MHz, the 2<sup>nd</sup> order filter will be superior in terms of attenuation.
- Between 158 MHz and 269 MHz the  $4^{th}$  order filter will be the best and above 269 MHz a  $6^{th}$  order filter will be the best for attenuation.
- Tab. 3.2 shows the filter order that is suitable based on the amount of attenuation desired at the switching frequency.

Attenuation	-20 dB	-40 dB	-60 dB
Filter Order	2	4	6

Table 3.2: Attenuation and Filter Order
---

• EXAMPLE:  $V_I = 1 \text{ V}, V_O = 0.8 \text{ V}$ 

$f_s$	$750 \mathrm{~MHz}$	$450 \mathrm{~MHz}$
$P_{sw}$	$157.5 \mathrm{mW}$	94.5  mW

Table 3.3: Switching Frequency and Power Loss

- Which is a 40 % reduction in switching power loss.
- If -60 dB of attenuation is desired, we can reduce the f<sub>s</sub> from 750 MHz to 450 MHz by increasing the order of the filter from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> order.

# Main challenges in LCLC Filter Design [60]:

- Coupling between the 2 inductors affects attenuation produced by the filter.
- Possible configuration of the LCLC filter based on coupling between the 2 inductors:
  - 1. No coupling

- 2. (Placed at minimum distance allowed by the process) Negative coupling
- 3. (Placed at minimum distance allowed by the process) Positive coupling
- Relationship between inductance and dimension of on-chip planar spiral inductor

# 3.7 State-Space Matrices with LCLC Filters

KVL and KCL equations from Fig. 3.8.



Figure 3.8: DC-DC Converter with LCLC-Filter



Figure 3.9: (a) Transistor ON q(t) = 1; (b) Transistor OFF q(t) = 0.

$$L_1 \frac{di_{L_1}(t)}{dt} = q(t)V_{in} - i_{L_1}R_{L_1} - v_{MID}$$
(3.51)

$$i_{C_1} R_{C_1} + v_{C_1} = v_{MID} (3.52)$$

$$i_{L_1} = i_{C_1} + i_{L_2} \tag{3.53}$$

$$i_{C_1} = i_{L_1} - i_{L_2} \tag{3.54}$$

$$C_1 \frac{dv_{C_1}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_1} - i_{L_2} \tag{3.55}$$

$$L_2 \frac{di_{L_2}(t)}{dt} = v_{MID} - i_{L_2} R_{L_2} - v_0 \tag{3.56}$$

$$i_{C_2} = C_2 \frac{dv_{C_2}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_2} - \frac{v_0}{R_0}$$
(3.57)

$$v_0 = v_{C_2} + i_{C_2} R_{C_2} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_0 = v_{C_2} + \left(i_{L_2} - \frac{v_0}{R_0}\right) R_{C_2}$$
(3.59)

$$(i_{L_1} - i_{L_2})R_{C_1} + v_{C_1} = v_{MID} aga{3.60}$$

$$L_1 \frac{di_{L_1}(t)}{dt} = q(t)V_{in} - i_{L_1}R_{L_1} - (i_{L_1} - i_{L_2})R_{C_1} - v_{C_1}$$
(3.61)

$$L_2 \frac{di_{L_2}(t)}{dt} = (i_{L_1} - i_{L_2})R_{C_1} + v_{C_1} - i_{L_2}R_{L_2} - v_0$$
(3.62)

$$v_{0} + v_{0} \frac{R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0}} = v_{C_{2}} + i_{L_{2}} R_{C_{2}}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v_{0} \left(1 + \frac{R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0}}\right) = v_{C_{2}} + i_{L_{2}} R_{C_{2}}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v_{0} = i_{L_{2}} \left(\frac{R_{0} R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right) + v_{C_{2}} \left(\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$
(3.63)

$$L_{2}\frac{di_{L_{2}}(t)}{dt} = (i_{L_{1}} - i_{L_{2}})R_{C_{1}} + v_{C_{1}} - i_{L_{2}}R_{L_{2}}$$
$$-i_{L_{2}}\left(\frac{R_{0}R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right) - v_{C_{2}}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow L_{2}\frac{di_{L_{2}}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_{1}}R_{C_{1}} - i_{L_{2}}\left(R_{C_{1}} + R_{L_{2}} + \frac{R_{0}R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right) + v_{C_{1}} - v_{C_{2}}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$
(3.64)

$$C_2 \frac{dv_{C_2}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_2} - i_{L_2} \left(\frac{R_{C_2}}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right) - v_{C_2} \left(\frac{1}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$$
(3.65)

After reorganization,

$$\frac{di_{L_{1}}(t)}{dt} = q(t) \left(\frac{V_{in}}{L_{1}}\right) - i_{L_{1}} \left(\frac{R_{L_{1}} + R_{C_{1}}}{L_{1}}\right) - v_{C_{1}} \left(\frac{1}{L_{1}}\right) + i_{L_{2}} \left(\frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{1}}\right)$$

$$\frac{dv_{C_{1}}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_{1}} \left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right) - i_{L_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)$$

$$\frac{di_{L_{2}}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_{1}} \left(\frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{2}}\right) - i_{L_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{L_{2}}\right) \left(R_{C_{1}} + R_{L_{2}} + \frac{R_{0}R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$

$$+ v_{C_{1}} \left(\frac{1}{L_{2}}\right) - v_{C_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{L_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$

$$\frac{dv_{C_{2}}(t)}{dt} = i_{L_{2}} \left(\frac{R_{0}}{C_{2}(R_{0} + R_{C_{2}})}\right) - v_{c_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{C_{2}(R_{0} + R_{C_{2}})}\right)$$
(3.66)

Above equations can be represented in the matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{di_{L_{1}}(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{dv_{C_{1}}(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{di_{L_{2}}(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{di_{L_{2}}(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{dv_{C_{2}}(t)}{dt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L_{1}} (R_{L_{1}} + R_{C_{1}}) & -\frac{1}{L_{1}} & \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{2}} & \frac{1}{L_{2}} & k_{2} & k_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & -R_{0}k_{4} & k_{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{L_{1}}(t) \\ v_{C_{1}}(t) \\ i_{L_{2}}(t) \\ v_{C_{2}}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L_{1}}u(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.67)

• 
$$k_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{L_2}\right) \left(R_{C_1} + R_{L_2} + \frac{R_0 R_{C_2}}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$$
  
•  $k_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{L_2}\right) \left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$   
•  $k_4 = \left(-\frac{1}{C_2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$ 

•  $u(t) = q(t)V_{in}$ .

Therefore, we get the two sets of state space equations as:

Transistor – ON (q(t) = 1):

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_1 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_1 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_1 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
(3.68)

and Transistor – OFF (q(t) = 0):

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + B_2 \mathbf{u}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E_2 \mathbf{x}(t) + F_2 \mathbf{u}(t)$$

where

$$A_{1} = A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L_{1}} (R_{L_{1}} + R_{C_{1}}) & -\frac{1}{L_{1}} & \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{2}} & \frac{1}{L_{2}} & k_{2} & k_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{C_{2}} \frac{R_{0}}{(R_{0} + R_{C_{2}})} & k_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.69)

• 
$$k_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{L_2}\right) \left(R_{C_1} + R_{L_2} + \frac{R_0 R_{C_2}}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$$
  
•  $k_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{L_2}\right) \left(\frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$ 

$$k_{4} = \left(-\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$$

$$B_{1}\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{in}}{L_{1}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{2}\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.70)$$

$$E_{1} = E_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{R_{0}R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}} & \frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.71)$$

$$F_1 = F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.72}$$

and the vectors of state variables, output and input be:

۲

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{L_1} \\ v_{C_1} \\ i_{L_2} \\ v_{C_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{in} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.73)

Following a similar analysis as in Buck converter with LC filter we obtain linear averaged small-signal model as:

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{dt} = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + B\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) + M\hat{d}(t)$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = E\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$$
(3.74)

where, 
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i}_{L_1}(t) \\ \hat{v}_{C_1}(t) \\ \hat{i}_{L_2}(t) \\ \hat{v}_{C_2}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \hat{v}_0(t)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \hat{v}_{in}(t)$ , (3.75)

are small perturbations in the state, output and input variables.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L_{1}} (R_{L_{1}} + R_{C_{1}}) & -\frac{1}{L_{1}} & \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{R_{C_{1}}}{L_{2}} & \frac{1}{L_{2}} & k_{2} & k_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{C_{2}} \frac{R_{0}}{(R_{0} + R_{C_{2}})} & k_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.76)  
•  $k_{2} = \left(-\frac{1}{L_{2}}\right) \left(R_{C_{1}} + R_{L_{2}} + \frac{R_{0}R_{C_{2}}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$   
•  $k_{3} = \left(-\frac{1}{L_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{0}}{R_{0} + R_{C_{2}}}\right)$ 

• 
$$k_4 = \left(-\frac{1}{C_2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{R_0 + R_{C_2}}\right)$$

•  $k_2 =$ 

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{L_1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (3.77)$$
$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{R_0 R_{C_2}}{R_0 + R_{C_2}} & \frac{R_0}{R_0 + R_{C_2}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (3.78)$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.79}$$

Like in the case of Buck converter with LC filter, plant transfer function for LCLC

filter can be obtained as:

$$Trplant(s) = E \ [sI - A]^{-1} \ B.$$

For a special case :  $L_1 = L_2 = L$  and  $C_1 = C_2 = C$ ,

$$Trplant(s) = D \frac{\frac{1}{L^2 C^2}}{s^3 \left(s + \frac{1}{R_0 C}\right) + \frac{s^2}{LC} + \frac{2s}{LC} \left(s + \frac{1}{R_0 C}\right) + \frac{1}{L^2 C^2}}$$
(3.80)

### 3.8 Summary

This chapter presented the state-space model of the buck converter plant along with the traditional plant design. It also presented the limitations of the two traditional plant design equations which are based on the Small Ripple Approximation. This inaccuracy was numerically quantified and an alternate method was presented which doesn't rely on the same assumption. Finally, conditions which necessitate a fourth order filter are analyzed, and the model of the buck converter with the fourth order filter is presented.

#### Chapter 4

#### CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN FOR BUCK CONVERTER

#### 4.1 Mathematical Preliminaries

We focus on the design of causal, finite dimensional, linear time invariant (LTI) controllers in this thesis. With C, R and Z being the set of all complex, real, and integer numbers, respectively; the following definitions are pertinent to the discussion in this chapter. We begin with the definition of a norm [113]:

**Definition 4.1.1.** A norm  $\|.\|_{\mathcal{V}}$  on a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  is a function mapping  $\mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty)$  which, for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  satisfies

- a.  $||v||_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$  iff v = 0;
- b.  $|\alpha| \cdot ||v||_{\mathcal{V}} = ||\alpha v||_{\mathcal{V}}$ , for all scalars  $\alpha$ ;
- c.  $||u+v||_{\mathcal{V}} \leq ||u||_{\mathcal{V}} + ||v||_{\mathcal{V}}$ , for all u in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

We are interested in certain specific norms, which will be used to quantify controller performance. These norms are defined on function spaces. The pertinent ones are the  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  and  $R\mathcal{H}_\infty$  spaces defined below [114]:

**Definition 4.1.2.**  $\mathcal{L}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of Lebesgue square integrable complex-valued functions with support on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}_-)$  are similarly defined.  $\mathcal{L}_2$  is a normed linear space over the field C, when endowed with the following norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 dt}$$
(4.1)

**Definition 4.1.3.**  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}(C_{+})$  denotes the *Hardy space* of complex-valued functions which are analytic and essentially bounded in  $C_{+}$ .  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  is a normed linear space over the field C, when endowed with the norm

$$||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\sigma>0} \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}_{e}} |F(\sigma + j\omega)| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}_{es}>0} [F(s)] < \infty$$
(4.2)

 $R\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  denotes the corresponding subspace of real-rational  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  functions.

The first norm that we study is the  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -induced norm of a system  $T_{wz}$ . The  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  norm is the  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -induced norm of a causal, stable, linear time invariant system [113]. We study the internal stability of these systems are defined below [114]:

**Definition 4.1.4** (Induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  Finite-Gain Stability). An LTI system F is said to be induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  finite-gain stable if

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Fx\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}} < \infty$$

$$(4.3)$$

i.e., there exists a finite constant  $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|Fx\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \le \gamma \|x\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \tag{4.4}$$

#### 4.2 Need for Sampled-Data Control

A SD control system, like the one shown in Fig. 4.2 has both analog and digital components. The plant is an analog plant (in this case an LC filter circuit with a resistive load  $R_o$ ) and the controller is digital. Since this digital subsystem operates within an analog control loop, the controller has an ADC or sampler ( $S_{T_s}$ ) and a DAC or hold ( $H_{T_s}$ ). The hold circuit is often approximated by a zero-order-hold (ZOH) of the form  $\frac{1-e^{sT_s}}{sT_s}$ , but when using the direct discretization method, we add more



(a)Actual System



(b)Discretized System

Figure 4.1: Actual System Versus System Obtained using Typical Discretization

fictitious samplers to create a fully digital system as shown in Fig. 4.1 (b). This might lead to loss of inter-sample behavior.

Fig. 2.1 shows the schematic of the buck converter with SD feedback control. As we attempt to design the controller  $K_d$ , we start by considering the weighted  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ mixed sensitivity control design framework for analog controller design in Fig. 4.3. The SD version of this is shown in Fig. 4.6.



Figure 4.2: Schematic for DC-DC Buck Converter Circuit with Compensation [5], [6]

### 4.2.1 Analog Controller Design

Consider the weighted  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  mixed sensitivity problem shown in Fig. 4.3 [89, 94, 86, 100].

The analog controller design involves minimizing the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  norm:

$$||T_{wz}|| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} W_1 S_o \\ W_2 K S_o \\ W_3 T_o \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\infty}, \qquad (4.5)$$

where

- $S_o = T_{w \to e}$
- $KS_o = T_{w \to u}$
- $T_o = T_{w \to \hat{z_3}}$ .

We only choose a weight on the error e for designing the controller as we are



Figure 4.3: Visualization of Weighted Mixed Sensitivity Analog Controller Design [89]

studying the trade-off between power loss and controller performance (which is measured in terms of a small induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of  $T_o$  and a small steady-state error). The open-loop frequency response of the analog design is shown in Fig. 4.4. The unity gain crossover is 130 kHz and the phase margin is 111.5°.

The the following structure was chosen for the weighting function  $W_1$  on the error e:

$$W_1(s) = \frac{1}{M_s} \left[ \frac{s + M_s \omega_b}{s + \epsilon \omega_b} \right] \left[ \frac{a_w}{s + a_w} \right]$$
(4.6)

where the parameters in eq. (4.6) are chosen to be:

- $\epsilon = 0.1$
- $M_s = 5$
- $\omega_b = 1000 \text{ rad/s}$



Figure 4.4: Nominal Open Loop Frequency Response



Figure 4.5: Weight on Sensitivity

•  $a_w = 10 M_s \omega_b$ 

The magnitude responses of  $W_1$  and its inverse are shown in Fig. 4.5.

### 4.2.2 Digital Controller Design

For the SD control system shown in Fig. 4.6, the ADC and DPWM both operate at the same frequency. This switching/sampling frequency (both denoted  $f_s$  hereafter) is varied in the range  $f_s \in (4.546, 100)$  kHz. The anti-aliasing filter (AAF) F shown in Fig. 4.3 is chosen as the first-order roll-off,

$$F(s) = \frac{\omega_b}{s + \omega_b}.\tag{4.7}$$

As discussed above, the indirect design method does not capture the inter-sample behavior. This is apparent after examining Fig. 4.1.

As seen in this figure, the actual SD digital control system is the one shown in Fig. 4.1 (a). However, when we utilize the *indirect design method* for controller design, we implicitly insert fictitious samplers into the closed loop system. Hence,



Figure 4.6: Visualization of Weighted Mixed Sensitivity Sampled-Data Controller Design [89]

the system we design for using the indirect method is the one depicted in Fig. 4.1 (b) instead of the one we wish to design for, i.e., the one in Fig. 4.1 (a) [14]. The disadvantage of this *indirect* approach is that the behavior of the SD system is only described at the sampling instants and all inter-sample behavior is left out of the model. Naturally, this means that if the sampling time interval is too large, the closed-loop stability/performance of the actual SD system may be lost even if the model in Fig. 4.1 (b) is stable with an acceptable closed-loop performance.

<u>Indirect design Method</u>: This is the traditional method for discretizing controllers [89] and involves the bilinear transformation:

$$s = \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \tag{4.8}$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{z}$  with z being the discrete-time z-transform variable. This should not be confused with the outputs of the LFT in the generalized feedback framework.

The effect of utilizing a model like the one in Fig. 4.1 (b) while designing the discrete controller is that we are only studying the effect of disturbances and reference commands on the controlled signals (e.g. closed loop error or plant output) at the sampling instants. Meanwhile, the plant evolves in continuous-time and disturbances are also continuous-time signals. We can address this issue directly using the concept of lifting as mentioned earlier in the Introduction. The lifted model incorporates inter-sample behavior and hence represents a more accurate model for controller design.

Quantifying the closed loop performance in terms of norms, the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  norm of discretetime system (fig 4.1 (b)) might be small even if the  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -induced norm of the lifted system (fig 4.1 (a)) is large. This second norm is a more accurate measure of the closed loop system's performance as it takes inter-sample behavior into account. <u>Direct Design Method</u>: This involves a discrete-time  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ -optimization using the bilinear transformation [89].

• Step 1: We start with a discrete-time model of the system:

$$G_{d}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d} & B_{d} \\ \hline C_{d} & D_{d} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d} & B_{d1} & B_{d2} \\ \hline C_{d1} & D_{d11} & D_{d12} \\ \hline C_{d2} & D_{d21} & D_{d22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.9)

This can be obtained using the step-invariant transformation of the continuoustime plant for the given value of  $T_s$ .

• Step 2: Define an artificial continuous-time system  $G_c$ :

$$G_{c}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{c} & B_{c} \\ \hline C_{c} & D_{c} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{c} & B_{c1} & B_{c2} \\ \hline C_{c1} & D_{c11} & D_{c12} \\ \hline C_{c2} & D_{c21} & D_{c22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.10)

where

$$A_c = (A_d - I)(Ad + I)^{-1} (4.11)$$

$$B_c = (I - A_c)B_d \tag{4.12}$$

$$C_c = C_d (A_d + I)^{-1} (4.13)$$

$$D_c = D_d - C_c B_d \tag{4.14}$$

• Step 3: Once we have  $G_c$ , we design an  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ -optimal controller for it. Let

the state-space model for this controller be

$$K_c(s) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{K_c} & B_{K_c} \\ \hline C_{K_c} & D_{K_c} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.15)

• Step 4: The final  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ -optimal controller for  $G_d$  is

$$K_d(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{K_d} & B_{K_d} \\ \hline C_{K_d} & D_{K_d} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.16)

where

$$A_{K_d} = (I - A_{K_c})^{-1} (I + A_{K_c})$$
(4.17)

$$B_{K_d} = (I - A_{K_c})^{-1} B_{K_c} (4.18)$$

$$C_{K_d} = C_{K_c}(I + A_{K_d}) (4.19)$$

$$D_{K_d} = D_{K_c} + C_{K_c} B_{K_d} (4.20)$$

This procedure requires that  $A_d + I$  and  $I - A_{K_c}$  are invertible. Furthermore, the continuous-time  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  problem in eq. (4.15) must be regular.

<u>Lifting-Based Design Method</u>: The lifting-based method used in this work follows from the results in [89]. Firstly, denote the closed-loop mapping from exogeneous reference command w to regulated signals  $z_1, z_2$ , and  $z_3$  by  $\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})$ . The sampled-data (i.e., consisting of both continuous- and discrete-time signals) and time-varying nature of the closed-loop system  $\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})$  is undesirable from a synthesis perspective. However, using the  $T_s$ -periodicity of  $\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})$ , we may lift the generalized plant G to obtain a lifted plant  $\underline{G}$  for which the associated closed-loop system  $\mathscr{F}(\underline{G}, K_d)$  is discrete-time LTI. For the lifting, we begin with a stabilizable and detectable realization of G,

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & 0 & D_{12} \\ \hline C_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.21)

We have taken  $D_{21} = 0$  because the sampler must be low-pass filtered for the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of the closed-loop system to be finite.  $D_{11} = 0$  is assumed as a technical simplification, more details can be found in the literature [89]. We lift G to obtain the lifted generalized plant  $\underline{G}$ , which has state model

$$\underline{G} = \begin{bmatrix} A_d & \underline{B}_1 & B_{2d} \\ \hline \underline{C}_1 & \underline{D}_{11} & \underline{D}_{12} \\ C_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4.22)

Where  $A_d \coloneqq e^{AT_s}$ ,  $B_{2d} \coloneqq \int_0^{T_s} e^{A\tau} d\tau B_2$  and the the operator-valued entries [89]:

$$\underline{B}_1: \mathcal{L}_2[0, T_s) \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \underline{B}_1 u = \int_0^{T_s} e^{A(T_s - \tau)} B_1 u(\tau) d\tau$$
(4.23)

$$\underline{C}_1: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{L}_2[0, T_s), \quad (\underline{C}_1 x)(t) = C_1 e^{At} x \tag{4.24}$$

$$\underline{D}_{11}: \mathcal{L}_2[0, T_s) \to \mathcal{L}_2[0, T_s), \quad (\underline{D}_{11}w)(t) = C_1 \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} B_1 w(\tau) d\tau$$

$$(4.25)$$

$$\underline{D}_{12}: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{L}_2[0, T_s), \quad (\underline{D}_{12}u)(t) = D_{12}u + C_1 \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} d\tau B_2 u.$$
(4.26)

The lifted system  $\underline{G}$  is discrete-time LTI, with infinite-dimensional input and output spaces. Furthermore, under the above assumptions on the generalized plant

G, a controller  $K_d$  internally stabilizes  $\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})$  if and only if  $K_d$  internally stabilizes  $\mathscr{F}(\underline{G}, K_d)$ , and

$$\|\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} < \gamma \iff \|\mathscr{F}(\underline{G}, K_d)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} < \gamma \tag{4.27}$$

for all  $\gamma > \|\underline{D}_{11}\|$ , where  $\|\underline{D}_{11}\|$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the operator  $\underline{D}_{11}$  (whose numerical calculation is described below). Finally, for the purposes of synthesis, we reduce the infinite-dimensional system  $\underline{G}$  to a finite-dimensional LTI  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ -equivalent system  $G_{eq,d}$ , whose state-space representation is given in (4.46). Similarly, under the above assumptions on the generalized plant G, a controller  $K_d$  internally stabilizes  $\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})$  if and only if  $K_d$  internally stabilizes the closed-loop system  $\mathscr{F}(G_{eq,d}, K_d)$ , and

$$\|\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} < \gamma \iff \|\mathscr{F}(G_{eq,d}, K_d)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} < \gamma \tag{4.28}$$

for all  $\gamma > \|\underline{D}_{11}\|$ . For the numerical calculation of the matrices composing  $G_{eq,d}$  shown in eq. (4.46), we perform the following procedure [89]:

- <u>Step 1</u>: formulate the linear fractional transformation (LFT) for the generalized plant and ensure that it has the structure shown in eq. (4.21). Choose the sampling interval  $T_s$ .
- <u>Step 2</u>: compute the  $\mathcal{L}_2(0, T_s)$ -induced norm of the system  $||\underline{D}_{11}||$  as follows [89]:
a. define the following matrix exponential

$$Q(T_s) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}(T_s) & Q_{12}(T_s) \\ Q_{21}(T_s) & Q_{22}(T_s) \end{bmatrix} := e^M$$
(4.29)

$$M := \exp\left\{T_s \begin{bmatrix} -A^T & -C^T C\\ \gamma^{-2} B B^T & A \end{bmatrix}\right\}$$
(4.30)

where the partitioning of  $Q(T_s)$  is comfortable with that of  $e^M$ .

**Theorem 4.2.1.** [89] For any  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\gamma^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $\underline{DD}^*$  iff  $\det[Q_{11}T_s] = 0.$ 

b.  $Q_{11}(T_s)$  is computed over a range of  $\gamma$ .

$$\gamma \in (\gamma_{min}, \gamma_{max}) \tag{4.31}$$

c.  $||\underline{D}_{11}||$  is the largest  $\gamma$  for which  $Q_{11}(T_s)$  has a zero eigenvalue.

- <u>Step 3</u>: for  $\gamma > ||\underline{D}_{11}||$ , compute the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  discretization  $G_{eq,d}$  for the system in eq. (4.21) as follows:
  - a. Define:

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.32}$$

b. Compute  $J_{\infty}$ :

$$J_{\infty} = \int_{0}^{T_{s}} e^{t\underline{A}^{T}} [C_{1} \ D_{12}]^{T} [C_{1} \ D_{12}] e^{t\underline{A}} dt.$$
(4.33)

c. Define:

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} -A^T & -C_1^T C_1 \\ B_1 B_1^T / \gamma^2 & A \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.34)

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & D_{12} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.35)

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & D_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.36)

in order to compute the matrices  $P, M, L, Q, N \mbox{ and } R$  from the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & M & L \\ 0 & Q & N \\ 0 & 0 & R \end{bmatrix} = \exp\left\{T_s \begin{bmatrix} -\underline{A}^T & X & 0 \\ 0 & E & Y \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{A} \end{bmatrix}\right\}.$$
 (4.37)

Partition Q and R conformably with E and  $\underline{A}$ , respectively:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.38)  
$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4.39)

Put  $A_d = R_{11}$  and  $B_{2d} = R_{12}$ .

d. Compute:

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & F_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (Q_{11}^{-1})^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} M^T R$$
(4.40)

$$A_{dd} = A_d + F_1 \tag{4.41}$$

$$B_{2dd} = B_{2d} + F_2. (4.42)$$

e. Calculate  $B_{1d}$  via the Cholesky decomposition:

$$B_{1d}B_{1d}^T = \gamma^2 Q_{21}Q_{11}^{-1}.$$
(4.43)

f. Put:

$$J = R^{T} M \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} N - R^{T} L + J_{\infty}$$
(4.44)

and compute  $C_{1d}$  and  $D_{12d}$  via Cholesky factorization:

$$[C_{1d} \ D_{12d}]^T [C_{1d} \ D_{12d}] = J.$$
(4.45)

g. Finally,

$$G_{eq,d} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{dd} & B_{1d} & B_{2dd} \\ \hline C_{1d} & 0 & D_{12d} \\ \hline C_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.46)

- <u>Step 4</u>: we define  $T_{eq,d}$  as the closed loop map from w to z with discrete-time plant  $G_{eq,d}$  and controller  $K_d$ . We now wish to find a  $\gamma$  such that there exists a  $K_d$  that achieves internal stability of  $T_{eq,d}$  and  $||T_{eq,d}||_{\infty} < \gamma$  (discrete-time  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  problem). Once this upper bound on  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma_u$ ) has been found, we know that  $||\underline{D}_{11}|| \leq \gamma_{opt} < \gamma_u$ , where  $\gamma_{opt}$  is the optimal performance.
- <u>Step 5</u>: once a satisfactory  $\gamma$  has been found, we solve the discrete-time  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ problem for  $||T_{eq,d}||_{\infty} < \gamma$  for a stabilizing  $K_d$ . This controller will also achieve  $\|\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} < \gamma$ . The procedure for this is as follows:

a. Start with  $G_{eq,d}$  above and define the artificial continuous-time system  $g_c(s)$  using the bilinear transformation:

$$\lambda = \frac{1-s}{1+s}.\tag{4.47}$$

- b. Design an  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(\mathbf{C}_{+})$ -optimal controller  $K_{c}(s)$  for  $g_{c}(s)$ .
- c. Transform  $K_c(s)$  back to discrete-time using the bilinear transformation shown in eq. (4.8) to get the final SD controller  $K_d$ .

# 4.3 Computation of the Induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$ Norm

In this work, we'll be quantifying the performance of the SD control system using the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm as defined earlier in this chapter, i.e.  $||T_{wz}||_{\mathcal{L}_2\to\mathcal{L}_2}$ . Methods for computing this norm have been described in the literature [84], [89]. MATLAB's *sdhinfnorm* function utilizes the algorithm presented by Bamieh et al. (1992) [84] and this will be compared to the algorithm in Chen et al. (1995) [89] to show that the norm computed is the same for a small example problem.

The method for computing the norm described in Chen et. al. (1995) [89] is as follows:

• <u>Step 1</u>: Start with continuous-time system G(s), discrete-time controller  $K_d$ and time-delay  $T_s$  where G(s) has the form

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & 0 & D_{12} \\ \hline C_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.48)

and  $K_d(z)$  has the form

$$K_d(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B_k \\ \hline C_k & D_k \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.49)

and assume internal stability.

- Step 2: Compute  $||\underline{D}_{11}||$  using eq. (4.29) (4.31).
- <u>Step 3</u>: For  $\gamma > ||\underline{D}_{11}||$ , compute the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  discretization shown in eq. (4.46).
- **Step 4**: Form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{cld} & B_{cld} \\ \hline C_{cld} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{dd} + B_{2dd}D_kC_2 & B_{2dd}C_k & B_{1d} \\ B_kC_2 & A_k & 0 \\ \hline C_{1d} + D_{12d}D_kC_2 & D_{12d}C_k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.50)

then take a minimal realization and compute the symplectic pair

$$(S_l, S_r) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} A_{cld} & 0\\ -C'_{cld}C_{cld}/\gamma & I \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A_{cld} & 0\\ -C'_{cld}C_{cld}/\gamma & I \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(4.51)

in order to compute

$$\gamma_{max} \coloneqq \max\{\gamma : (S_l, S_r) \text{ has an eigenvalue on } \partial \mathbf{D}\}$$
(4.52)

A bisection search can be used in Steps 3 and 4 to compute  $\gamma_{max}$ .

• Step 5:

$$||T_{wz}||_{\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2} = \max\{||\underline{D}_{11}||, \gamma_{max}\}$$
(4.53)

# Example:

We replicate example 13.7.2 from Chen et al. (1995) [89]. Consider the closed loop system shown in Fig. 4.7. Let  $P = \frac{1}{s}$  be the plant and  $K_d = 1$  be the controller. We wish to compute the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm  $||T_{wz}||$  as we vary  $T_s$ . The generalized plant is then given by [89]:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} P & -P \\ \hline P & -P \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.54)

We utilize both the method described in this chapter as well as the MATLAB function *sdhinfnorm* to compute and plot the norm as a function of  $T_s$ . This is shown in Fig. 4.8 where *sdl2norm* is the method presensed in this section. As evident from the figure, both methods yield the same norm.



Figure 4.7: SD System [89]

# Example:

We consider the following problem

• Plant -  $P = \frac{1}{s+0.01}$  an integrator



Figure 4.8:  $||T_{wz}||$  vs.  $h = T_s$  [89]

- AAF  $F = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{T_s}{\pi}\right)s+1}$  is the anti-aliasing filter
- W  $W = \frac{1}{\left(\left(\frac{5T_s}{\pi}\right)s+1\right)^2}$  is the weight on sensitivity

In this problem, we add a Pade approximation of the time delay to the plant before discretizing the controller using the Tustin/bilinear and step-invariant/ZOH transformations. The results are compared to the  $\gamma$  obtained from the "lifting" based controller design method in Fig. 4.10. The system is shown in Fig. 4.9.

# 4.4 Weighting Function

For designing the SD controller  $(K_d)$ , the error  $(T_{r \to e})$  was weighted using the following WEIGHTING FUNCTION while solving the optimization

$$W_{error} = \frac{1}{M_s} \left[ \frac{s + M_s \omega_b}{s + \epsilon \omega_b} \right] \left[ \frac{a_w}{s + a_w} \right]$$
(4.55)



Figure 4.9: Closed Loop System [89]

Parameters chosen are:

- $\omega_b = 1000 \text{ rad/s}$  (Closed Loop Bandwidth)
- $\epsilon = 0.1$  (Low Frequency Gain of  $T_{r \to e}$ )
- $M_s = 5$  (High Frequency Gain of  $T_{r \to e}$ )
- $a_w = 10M_s\omega_b = 50,000$  (Roll-off Frequency)

$$W_{1} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\epsilon}, & 0 \leq |s| << \epsilon \omega_{b} \\ \frac{\omega_{b}}{s}, & \epsilon \omega_{b} << |s| << M_{s} \omega_{b} \\ \frac{1}{M_{s}}, & M_{s} \omega_{b} << |s| << a_{w} \end{cases}$$
(4.56)

4.5 "Lifted" Plant ( $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$  Discretization)



Figure 4.10:  $||T_{wz}||$  vs.  $h = T_s$ 

	h =	$10 \ \mu s$	$50 \ \mu s$	$100 \ \mu s$
$  T_o  _{\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2}$		0.9789	0.9806	0.9983
$  KS_o  _{\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2}$		0.3978	0.3985	0.4056
$  S_o  _{\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2}$		0.1498	0.2899	0.6263

Table 4.1: Norms

# 4.6 Trade-off

Figs. 4.15-4.18 display the performance of the three methods and the analog design. As seen from Figs. 4.15 and 4.16,  $\|\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}$  for the indirect design increases drastically with  $T_s$ . The degradation in norm is less severe for the direct design, but both traditional methods are inferior to the lifting-based method, which achieves near-analog performance for all sampling periods  $T_s$ . For  $T_s \to 0$ ,  $\|\mathscr{F}(G, H_{T_s}K_dS_{T_s})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}$  for all three digital controllers converge to  $\|\mathscr{F}(G, K_c)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}$ . That is, analog performance is recovered as the sampling period tends to zero.



Figure 4.11: Lifted Generalized Plant  $(G_{eq,d})$ 

However,  $T_s = \frac{1}{f_s}$ . Thus, from eq. (3.49), the switching loss increases as  $T_s \rightarrow 0$ . This is shown by considering two cases,  $f_s = 4.5$  kHz and  $f_s = 100$  kHz. The closed-loop stability and power loss of the design methods are shown in Tab. 4.2. The discrete control system is stable for high switching frequencies like 100 kHz (Fig. 4.17), but they have a much higher power loss. If we wish to lower the loss, we would want to use  $f_s = 4.5$  kHz, but the indirect method would yield an unstable system; this can be remedied by using either direct digital design or a lifting-based design (Fig. 4.17).

## 4.7 Summary

This chapter describes the controller design for the buck converter and compares 3 methods for digital controller design. The traditional method of designing an analog



Figure 4.12: Output (SD System)

controller and then discretizing it is found to give the worst performance as the sampling time delay increases. The "lifting" method accounts for the effect of the delay. This is thus found to provide acceptable controllers even as the time delay increases.



Figure 4.13: Error (SD System)

$f_s$ (kHz)	Stability (Indirect-Design)	Stability (Direct-Design, Lifting-Based)	Loss (in W)
4.5	UNSTABLE	STABLE	0.0645
100	STABLE	STABLE	1.4338

Table 4.2: Trade-off: Stability vs. Power Loss



Figure 4.14: Control (SD System)



Figure 4.15: Effect of Variation in  $h \ (= T_s)$  on  $||T_{ry}||_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ 



Figure 4.16: Effect of Variation in  $h (= T_s)$  on  $||T_{ry}||_{\mathcal{L}_2}$  (Zoomed In)



Figure 4.17: Error Time Response ( $f_s = 4.5$  kHz,  $T_s = h = 220 \ \mu s$ )



Figure 4.18: Error Time Response ( $f_s = 100$  kHz,  $T_s = h = 10 \ \mu s$ )

### Chapter 5

### MODELING AND CONTROL OF DC-AC INVERTER WITH LCL FILTER

# 5.1 $3 - \phi$ DC-AC Inverter Averaged Model

A balanced 3- $\phi$  four-wire inverter (Fig. 5.1) is equivalent to three single phase (1- $\phi$ ) inverters. For simplicity, we study the 1- $\phi$  model of the inverter using an LCL filter (per phase) in Fig. 5.1. An average model can be used since we have a suitably high switching frequency.  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_f$  are the negligible parasitic resistances in series with the two inductances ( $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ) and the capacitor ( $C_f$ ), respectively (not shown in the figure). The currents passing through the inductors ( $I_1$  and  $I_2$ ) as well as the voltage across the capacitor ( $V_c$ ) are taken as states of the model ( $x = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & V_c \end{bmatrix}^T$ ), and output is  $y = I_2$ . We will consider the input u to be the control signal to the PWM connected to the switches, where  $u \in [-1, 1]$ . The state space representation will be:  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ , y = Cx + Du, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{R_f + R_1}{L_1}\right) & \left(\frac{R_f}{L_1}\right) & \left(-\frac{1}{L_1}\right) \\ \left(\frac{R_f}{L_2}\right) & \left(-\frac{R_f + R_2}{L_2}\right) & \left(\frac{1}{L_2}\right) \\ \left(\frac{1}{C_f}\right) & \left(-\frac{1}{C_f}\right) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.1)  
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L_1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = 0$$
(5.2)



Figure 5.1: Grid-Tie Inverter (LCL Filter) Circuit

The corresponding transfer function is

$$\begin{split} H_{LCL} = & \frac{sR_fC_f + 1}{s^3L_2L_1C_f + s^2C_f[L_2(R_f + R_1) + L_1(R_f + R_2)] + s[L_2 + L_1 + C_f(R_fR_2 + R_fR_1 + R_2R_1)] + R_2 + R_1} \end{split}$$

Modeling the inverter switching circuit as a gain  $\frac{V_{DC}}{2}$  [64], plant transfer function will be  $P = \frac{V_{dc}}{2}H_{LCL}$ . The plant parameters used are Inverter-side inductor  $L_1 = 0.56734mH$ , Grid-side inductor  $L_2 = 0.56734mH$ , Capacitor  $C_f = 15.351\mu F$ , Parasitic Resistance  $R_1 = R_2 = R_f = 1\mu\Omega$ , DC Link Voltage  $V_{DC} = 400V$ , Switching frequency  $f_{sw} = 15kHz$ .

Here, a negligible amount of parasitic resistance is considered to be in series with the LCL filter components. This is the worst case condition without passive damping [33] as evident from the plant poles in Tab. 5.1, where one pole nearly at origin, and two very lightly damped poles. As such, this makes it a challenging control problem since the resonance has to be damped. An inner-outer control structure is used to address this problem [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].



Figure 5.2: Inner-Outer Loop Control Structure

Pole	Damping	Frequency
$-1.76 \times 10^{-3}$	1	$1.76 \times 10^{-3}$
$-0.0026 \pm j1.52 \times 10^4$	$1.74 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.52 \times 10^4$
Zero	Damping	Frequency
$-6.51 \times 10^{10}$	1	$6.51 \times 10^{10}$

Table 5.1: Plant Poles and Zeros

## 5.2 Traditional Inner-Outer Control with a PR Controller

The hierarchical inner-outer loop control structure used in this paper is shown in Fig. 5.2. Here, P is the plant described in eq. (5.1-5.2),  $K_i$  and  $K_o$  are inner- and outer-loop controllers resp. r,  $d_i$ ,  $d_o$ ,  $n_i$ ,  $n_o$  are exogenous input channels, and y is output channel. For our system, the output is grid current.

As seen in Tab. 5.1, the plant has one pole near the origin (that corresponds to approx. L-filter behavior,  $\frac{1}{sL}$ ), and two very lightly damped poles ( $\zeta_p = 1.74 \times 10^{-7}$ ). The inner-loop is used to move the high frequency, lightly damped poles to more

favorable locations without significantly affecting the low frequency dynamics. The outer-loop is designed for shaping low frequency behavior.

For this hierarchical structure, it is observed that 3 sets of properties are obtained by breaking the loop at the error (e), the control/plant input (u), and at innerloop sensor noise  $(n_i)$ . We analyse and design controllers for shaping these control maps/properties. It is to be noted that if same sensor is used for both inner as well as outer loop, then we only have two sets of distinct loop breaking points. These properties are discussed below.

**Properties at error** (e): Consider the loop broken at error signal (e). Let  $P_{mod}(s)$ :  $u_p \rightarrow y = \frac{P}{1+PK_i}$ . Then, open-loop transfer function is  $L_e = P_{mod}K_o$ , and the corresponding closed-loop sensitivity and complementary sensitivity are,  $S_e : r \rightarrow e = \left[\frac{1}{1+L_e}\right], T_e: r \rightarrow y = \left[\frac{L_e}{1+L_e}\right], T_{ru}: r \rightarrow u = \left[\frac{-K_o}{1+PK_o+PK_i}\right]$ .

### 5.3 Properties at the input and output

Consider the loop broken at controls (u). Let  $K_{sum} = -(K_i + K_o)$ . Then, open-loop transfer function is  $L_c = K_{sum}P$ , and the corresponding closed-loop sensitivity and complementary sensitivity are,  $S_c : d_i \to u_p = \frac{1}{1-L_c}, T_c : d_i \to u = \frac{L_c}{1-L_c}, T_{d_iy} : d_i \to$  $y = \frac{P}{1-L_c}$ . We study the properties at error which is widely addressed in literature [33, 35], as well as the not so widely addressed properties at controls. We show how the controllers (esp. inner-loop) can be designed to obtain desirable properties at multiple loop-breaking points. Obtaining desirable properties at controls is of importance to be robust to disturbance  $(d_i)$  and/or modeling uncertainty at input. We note that though the plant is single-input single-output (SISO), studying the properties at multiple loop-breaking points becomes relevant mainly because of the following reasons: 1) the inner-outer structure we use inherently results in properties at these points not being identical, 2) the map  $T_{d_iy}$  is not captured by breaking the loop at error.

**Inner-Outer Loop Controller Structure**: The structures of the controllers  $K_o$ and  $K_i$  in Fig. 5.2 used are:

$$K_{o} = g \left[ \frac{s^{2} + 2\zeta_{n_{o}}\omega_{n_{o}}s + \omega_{n_{o}}^{2}}{s^{2} + 2\zeta_{d_{o}}\omega_{d_{o}}s + \omega_{d_{o}}^{2}} \right]$$
(5.3)

$$K_i = -\left[\frac{k_i s}{s+p_i}\right] \tag{5.4}$$

 $K_o$  is known as proportional-resonant (PR) controller [33, 35] with  $\omega_{n_o} = \omega_{d_o} = 2\pi 60$ , which helps with obtaining good low frequency (upto >60Hz) behavior.

Nominal Control Design: Using standard PR controller design techniques [33, 35], we choose  $\zeta_{n_o} = 0.84$  and  $\zeta_{d_o} = 1.33 \times 10^{-9}$  to draw the controller poles to favorable locations and g = 0.0035 to ensure sufficient loop gain at 59.3 Hz which is the worse case frequency dip as stated in the IEEE standard 1547 [81].  $K_i$  is designed to obtain desirable high-freqency behavior [36]. Using standard design techniques, we obtain  $k_i = 0.0579$  and  $p_i = 18 \times 10^3$ . Note that, this nominal design is done using standard techniques used in literature. As will be shown below, a major drawback with this is, though we achieve good properties at error, we see poor properties at controls/input. We show how we can address properties at both loop-breaking points.

Analysis of Nominal Controller: Using standard design procedures given in literature [33, 35, 36], we obtain the closed-loop properties shown in Tab. 4.1. The inner-loop controller  $K_i$  is designed based on desired damping of the high-frequency plant poles. But, from Fig. 5.3, zero of resulting open-loop transfer function  $L_c$ corresponding to this design is in right-half plane (RHP), close to origin. Note that, in Fig. 5.3, we plot the behavior of zeros of  $L_c = K_{sum}P$  as we vary  $k_i$ . We also observe that for nominal design, the closed-loop properties at controls:  $S_c$  and  $T_c$  have high peak values, though we have good properties at error:  $S_e$  and  $T_e$ .

Effect of Inner-Loop Controller on Closed-Loop Properties: Here we study the behavior of zeros of  $L_c$  in Fig. 5.3, following which we study the rationale behind selecting a value of  $k_i$  for obtaining reasonable properties simultaneously at both loop-breaking points.

$$K_{sum} = -(K_i + K_o) \tag{5.5}$$

$$= \frac{k_i s}{s + p_i} - g \left[ \frac{s^2 + 2\zeta_{n_o} \omega_{n_o} s + \omega_{n_o}^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta_{d_o} \omega_{d_o} s + \omega_{d_o}^2} \right]$$
(5.6)

Eq. (5.6) shows that the zeros of  $K_{sum}$  (and hence  $L_c$ ) are the roots of the equation, shown in Fig. 5.3.

$$k_i s(s^2 + 2\zeta_{d_o}\omega_{d_o}s + \omega_{d_o}^2) -$$

$$g(s^2 + 2\zeta_{n_o}\omega_{n_o}s + \omega_{n_o}^2)(s + p_i) = 0$$

$$\implies \left[-g(s^2 + 2\zeta_{n_o}\omega_{n_o}s + \omega_{n_o}^2)(s + p_i)\right] +$$

$$k_i \left[s(s^2 + 2\zeta_{d_o}\omega_{d_o}s + \omega_{d_o}^2)\right] = 0$$

By plotting the root locus of  $H_z(s)$  below, we can study the movement of zeros of  $L_i$  as  $k_i$  is varied.

$$H_z(s) = \frac{s(s^2 + 2\zeta_{d_o}\omega_{d_o}s + \omega_{d_o}^2)}{-g(s^2 + 2\zeta_{n_o}\omega_{n_o}s + \omega_{n_o}^2)(s + p_i)}$$
(5.7)

When we choose a value of  $k_i$  that moves the RHP zero of  $L_c$  to less problematic region (away from origin in this case), the properties at controls improve, as seen in Tab. 4.1. The rationale behind selecting a value of  $k_i$  is now discussed. Typically in the literature [36]  $L_e$  is shaped by designing  $K_i(s)$  to damp the plant poles at resonance frequency and then designing  $K_o(s)$  to shape  $L_e$  near the grid frequency (2 $\pi$ 60 rad/s).



Figure 5.3: Root Locus of  $H_z(s)$  (Eqn. 5.7)

This usually results in acceptable  $|S_e|_{\infty}$  and  $|T_e|_{\infty}$ , but may result in unacceptable  $|S_c|_{\infty}$  and  $|T_c|_{\infty}$  as shown in Design 2 of Tab. 4.1 To obtain good properties at both error and controls, we select  $k_i$  as mentioned below. We see that as we reduce  $k_i$ , the RHP zero of  $L_c$  moves away from the origin which makes it more favorable. However, there is a tradeoff. This root locus in Fig. 5.4 shows the movement poles of  $P_{mod}$  as  $k_i$  increases. Note that lightly damped pole moves towards the origin. At low values of  $k_i$ , the pole is nearly at the origin. This results in bad low frequency behavior. Hence, we pick a value of  $k_i$  that helps obtain reasonable properties at both loop-breaking points. Below, we show how the root-locus in Fig. 5.4 was obtained. Noting that the plant in Eqn. 5.3 with the assumed parameters may be written as

$$P(s) = \frac{621(s+6.5\times10^{10})}{(s+0.002)(s^2+0.005s+2.3\times10^8)}$$
(5.8)

$$= \frac{g_p(s+z_{\infty})}{(s+p_0)(s^2+2\zeta_p\omega_{n_p}s+\omega_{n_p}^2)}$$
(5.9)



Figure 5.4: Root Locus of  $H_p(s)$  (Eqn. 5.12)

The modified plant obtained is

$$P_{mod} = \frac{P}{1 + PK_i}$$

$$= \frac{g_p(s + z_\infty)(s + p_i)}{(s + p_0)(s^2 + 2\zeta_p \omega_{n_p} s + \omega_{n_p}^2)(s + p_i) - g_p(s + z_\infty)(k_i s)}$$
(5.10)

The poles of  $P_{mod}$  are the solution of

$$k_i \left[ -g_p s(s+z_\infty) \right] + \left[ (s+p_0)(s+p_i)(s^2+2\zeta_p \omega_{g_p} s+\omega_{g_p}^2) \right] = 0$$
(5.11)

Behavior of the poles of  $P_{mod}$  with  $k_i$  can be studied by plotting the root locus of the following transfer function

$$H_p(s) = \frac{-g_p s(s+z_{\infty})}{(s+p_0)(s+p_i)(s^2+2\zeta_p \omega_p s+\omega_p^2)}$$
(5.12)

To choose a value of  $k_i$  that results in reasonable properties at both loop-breaking points, we make the following observation: The following relations relate the margins of  $L_e$  ( $L_c$ ) to  $|S_e|_{\infty}$  ( $|S_c|_{\infty}$ ) and  $|T_e|_{\infty}$  ( $|T_c|_{\infty}$ ) [82]:

$$|S|_{\infty} \ge max \left\{ \frac{\downarrow GM}{1 - \downarrow GM}, \frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{PM}{2})}, \frac{\uparrow GM}{\uparrow GM - 1} \right\}$$
(5.13)

Similarly,  $|T|_{\infty} \geq max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\downarrow GM}, \frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{PM}{2})}, \frac{1}{\uparrow GM-1} \right\}$ . From Fig. 5.6, we see that for some value of  $k_i$ , the quantity  $\frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{PM}{2})}$  is approximately a tight bound. Note that, here we have plotted the quantity corresponding to third (high frequency) phase margin of  $L_c$ . Based on this, we pick a new value (0.0194) for  $k_i$ . We can observe from Tab. 5.2 that the new design results in improved properties at controls, while trading off properties at error.



Figure 5.5:  $|S_c|_{\infty}, |T_c|_{\infty}, \frac{1}{2sin\frac{PMc_3}{2}}$  v.s.  $k_i$ 

$k_i$	Design	$ S_i _{\infty}$	$ T_i _{\infty}$	$ S_o _{\infty}$	$ T_o _{\infty}$
0.0579	1	9.9	8.9	1.1	3.4
0.0194	2	8.6	8.4	5.6	3.7

Table 5.2: Critical Control-Relevant Properties (in dB)

5.4 Inner-Outer Control with Lag Network

In order to further improve the closed-loop properties obtained earlier, we consider improving  $\frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{PM}{2})}$ . The idea here is to improve the bound  $\frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{PM}{2})}$  (corresponding to third PM of  $L_c$ ), to obtain better  $|S_c|_{\infty}$  and  $|T_c|_{\infty}$ . This is done by adding phase lag [74] in series with  $L_c$ . The new structures of the controllers are:

$$K_o = g \left[ \frac{s^2 + 2\zeta_{n_o}\omega_{n_o}s + \omega_{n_o}^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta_{d_o}\omega_{d_o}s + \omega_{d_o}^2} \right] \sqrt{\frac{z}{p}} \left[ \frac{s+p}{s+z} \right]$$
(5.14)

$$K_i = -\left[\frac{k_i s}{s+p_i}\right] \sqrt{\frac{z}{p}} \left[\frac{s+p}{s+z}\right]$$
(5.15)

The properties obtained using above technique is shown in Tab. 5.3. Corresponding  $L_c$  is shown in Fig. 5.6. It can be seen that by introducing lag term to improve the third phase margin of  $L_c$ , the properties were improved, esp. compared to those in Tab. 4.1.

Lag	$ S_o _{\infty}$	$ S_i _{\infty}$	$ T_o _{\infty}$	$ T_i _{\infty}$	BW $L_o$
$15^{\circ}$	3.82	4.64	3.05	4.26	1241.2
$20^{\circ}$	3.47	3.77	2.87	3.96	1365.1
$25^{\circ}$	3.18	3.98	2.71	3.86	1514.2

Table 5.3: Critical Control-Relevant Properties

Figs. 5.7-?? show the closed-loop properties corresponding to following three (3) designs.

• Design 1: Nominal Design [33, 36].



Figure 5.6: Open Loop (Broken at the Input)

- Design 2: Same structure as design 1, but  $k_i$  is chosen based on the minimum  $|T_c|_{\infty}$  obtained in Fig. 5.5
- Design 3: With additional 25° lag in series with design 2 to improve third PM of  $L_c$ .

We see that Designs 2 and 3 result in an improvement over design 1 for nearly all the frequencies except near the resonant frequency of the plant.

# 5.5 Summary

To summarize, the tradeoffs involved in designing a hierarchical inner-outer control of active damping of LCL filter resonance of a grid-tied inverter was studied. A novel inner-outer control design technique can help obtain reasonable properties simultaneously at the error and plant input/controls was provided.



Figure 5.7:  $S_e: r \to e$ 

### Chapter 6

## SUMMARY and FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This dissertation has studied plant and controller design for 2 classes of power converters which have widespread applications in the field of power electronics. The focus has been on quantifying the performance of design methods for both the systems/plants and the controllers. This quantification is used to ascertain when a particular method is acceptable, and propose alternative methods when it isn't. Frequency responses of  $2^{nd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  order filters are compared to determine when each of them is useful. An alternative to the traditional filter design equations is presented using Fourier analysis and the filter state-space model. This novel method does not rely on the *small ripple approximation* like the traditional one. 3 techniques for discrete-time digital controller design were compared for the buck converter and their performance was evaluated using the induced- $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of the closed loop system. Finally, the inner-outer loop (active damping) control of DC-AC inverters with LCL filters was considered and novel controllers that can improve closed-loop sensitivities for the loop broken at both the error and the control were presented.

In summary, it was shown that precisely quantifying design objectives can allow us to compare methods and determine which technique is suitable for a given situation.

Future work will examine these design constraints for a wider variety of topologies including DC-DC boost and buck-boost converters, and also examine more complicated types of load like RL, RC and RLC loads for the buck converter.

### REFERENCES

- C. Zhang, J. Wang, S. Li, B. Wu, and C. Qian. "Robust control for PWMbased DC-DC buck power converters with uncertainty via sampled-data output feedback." In *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 30*, no. 1 (2014): 504-515.
- [2] J. López, S. I. Seleme Jr, P. F. Donoso, L. M. F. Morais, P. C. Cortizo, and M. A. Severo. "Digital control strategy for a buck converter operating as a battery charger for stand-alone photovoltaic systems." *Solar Energy* 140, 2016, pp.171-187.
- [3] M. Fu, C. Fei, Y. Yang, Q. Li, and F. Lee. "A GaN-Based DC/DC Module for Railway Applications: Design Consideration and High-Frequency Digital Control." *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2019.
- [4] J. G. Kassakian, M. F. Schlecht, and G. C. Verghese. Principles of power electronics. Graphis, 2000.
- [5] O. Cifdaloz, S. Konasani, A. A. Rodriguez, M. Islam, and D. Allee. "A sampleddata approach to dc-dc buck converter design." In *Decision and Control*, 2005 and 2005 European Control Conference. CDC-ECC'05. 44th IEEE Conference on, pp. 4779-4784. IEEE, 2005.
- [6] O. Cifdaloz, S. Konasani, A. A. Rodriguez, M. Islam, and D. Allee. "DC-DC buck converter design: a sampled-data approach." In *American Control Conference*, 2006, pp. 6-pp. IEEE, 2006.
- [7] P. N. Paraskevopoulos. *Digital control systems*. London, 1996.
- [8] J. Ackermann. Sampled Data Control Systems. Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [9] G. F. Franklin, J. D. Powell, and M. L. Workman. *Digital Control of Dynamic Systems*. Addison-Wesley, London, 1990 (Second Edition).
- [10] C. H. Houpis, and G. B. Lamont. *Digital Control Systems*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1985.
- [11] B. C. Kuo. Automatic Control Systems. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1975 (Third Edition).
- [12] K. Ogata. Discrete-Time Control Systems. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1987.

- [13] C. L. Phillips, and H. T. Nagle Jr. Digital Control System Analysis and Design. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1984.
- [14] Y. Yamamoto. "A retrospective view on sampled-data control systems." CWI Quarterly 9, no. 3 (1996): 261-276.
- [15] D. Pan, X. Ruan, C. Bao, W. Li and X. Wang, "Optimized controller design for *LCL*-type grid-connected inverter to achieve high robustness against gridimpedance variation," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 62, no. 3*, pp. 1537-1547, 2015.
- [16] F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre and A.V. Timbus, "Overview of control and grid synchronization for distributed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 53, no. 5*, pp. 1398-1409, 2006.
- [17] J. Spector, "Here's Everything New About Tesla's Updated Powerwall 2.0," 2017, Retrieved from https://www.greentechmedia.com/articles/read/whatreally-matters-from-tesla-battery-powerwall-powerpack
- [18] R. Peña-Alzola, M. Liserre, F. Blaabjerg, M. Ordonez, and Y. Yang, "LCL-filter design for robust active damping in grid-connected converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.* 10, no. 4, pp. 2192-2203, 2014.
- [19] J. He and Y.W. Li, "Hybrid voltage and current control approach For DGgrid interfacing converters with LCL filters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1797-1809, Mar. 2013.
- [20] J. Yin, S. Duan, and B. Liu, "Stability analysis of grid-connected inverter with LCL filter adopting a digital single-loop controller with inherent damping characteristic," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 9, no. 2*, pp 1104-1112, May 2013.
- [21] M. Liserre, A. Dell'Aquila, and F. Blaabjerg. Stability improvements of an LCL-filter based three-phase active rectifier characteristic. Proc. IEEE 33rd Annu. Power Electron. Spec. Conf. (PESC'02), vol. 3, page 1195-1201, May 2002.
- [22] W. Wu et al., "A new design method for the passive damped LCL and LLCL filter-based single-phase grid-tied inverter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol.* 60, no. 10, pp 4339-4350, Sep. 2013.
- [23] J.S. Freudenberg and D.P. Looze, "Relations between properties of multivariable feedback systems at different loop-breaking points: Part i," Proc. 24th IEEE CDC, Florida, page 250, 1986.

- [24] J.S. Freudenberg and D.P. Looze, "Relations between properties of multivariable feedback systems at different loop-breaking points: Part ii," American Control Conf. IEEE, Seattle, pages 771-777, 1986.
- [25] A. Julean, Active damping of LCL filter resonance in grid connected applications,' MS Thesis, Aalborg Universitet, 2009.
- [26] P.A. Dahono, "A control method to damp oscillation in the input lc filter," *Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, pp 1630-1635, 2002.
- [27] C. Wessels et al., "Active damping of lcl-filter resonance based on virtual resistor for pwm rectifiers &stability analysis with different filter parameters," *IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, pp 3532-3538, 2008.
- [28] J. Dannehl et al., "Investigation of active damping approaches for pi-based current control of grid-connected pulse width modulation converters with LCL filters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 46, no. 4*, pp 1509-1517, 2010.
- [29] C. Bao et al., "Step-by-step controller design for LCL-type grid-connected inverter with capacitor-current-feedback active-damping," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 29, no. 3*, pp 1239-1253, 2014.
- [30] R. Peña-Alzola et al., "Systematic design of the lead-lag network method for active damping in LCL-filter based three phase converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat. 10, no. 1*, pp 43-52, 2014.
- [31] V. Blasko and V. Kaura, "A novel control to actively damp resonance in input LC filter of a three-phase voltage source converter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 33, no. 2, page 542-550, 1997.
- [32] A. Hava, T. Lipo, and W. Erdman, "Utility interface issues for line connected PWM voltage source converters: A comparative study," Conf. Proc. 10th Annu. Appl. Power Electron. Conf. Expo. (APEC'95), vol. 1, page 125-132, 1995.
- [33] X. Wang, F. Blaabjerg, and P.C. Loh, "Grid-current-feedback active damping for LCL resonance in grid-connected voltage-source converters," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 31, no. 1, pp 213-223, 2016.
- [34] C. Dick et al., "Active damping of LCL resonance with minimum sensor effort by means of a digital infinite impulse response filter," *Proc. Eur. Conf. Power Electron.*, pp 1-8, 2007.
- [35] M. Hanif et al., "Two degrees of freedom active damping technique for LCL filter based grid connected PV systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 61, no. 6*, pp 2795-2803, Jun. 2014.

- [36] J. Xu et al., "Active damping-based control for grid connected LCL-filtered inverter with injected grid current feedback only," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 9, page 4746-4758, Sep. 2014.
- [37] B. Bahrani, M. Vasiladiotis, and A. Rufer, "High-order vector control of grid-connected voltage-source converters with LCL-filters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 61, no. 6*, pp 2767-2775, 2014.
- [38] K. Puttannaiah, et al., "A Generalized Mixed-Sensitivity Convex Approach to Hierarchical Multivariable Inner-Outer Loop Control Design Subject to Simultaneous Input and Output Loop Breaking Specifications," *IEEE American Control Conf.*, pp 5632-5637,2016.
- [39] K. Puttannaiah, J.A. Echols, and A.A. Rodriguez, "A Generalized H<sub>∞</sub> Control Design Framework for Stable Multivariable Plants subject to Simultaneous Output and Input Loop Breaking Specifications," *IEEE American Control Conf.*, pp 6617-6622, 2015.
- [40] K. Puttannaiah, et al., "Analysis and Use of Several Generalized H-Infinity Mixed Sensitivity Framework for Stable Multivariable Plants Subject to Simultaneous Output and Input Loop Breaking Specifications," *IEEE Conf.* on Decision and Control, pp 3310-3315, 2015.
- [41] A. A. Rodriguez et al., "Modeling, design and control of low-cost differentialdrive robotic ground vehicles: Part I-Single vehicle study," *IEEE Conf. on Control Tech. & Applications*, pp. 155-160, 2017.
- [42] D. G. Cartagena, et al., "Modeling of a multi-core processor thermal dynamics for development of Dynamic Thermal Management controllers," *IEEE American Control Conf.*, pp. 6917-6922, 2016.
- [43] S.P. Boyd, C.H. Barratt. Linear controller design: limits of performance. Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991.
- [44] A.S. Thyagaturu, et al. "R-fft: Function split at ifft/fft in unified lte cran and cable access network," *IEEE Trans. Broadcasting*, 2018
- [45] A.S. Thyagaturu, et al. "SDN-based smart gateways (Sm-GWs) for multioperator small cell network management," *IEEE Trans. Network and Service Management*, 13(4):740–753, 2016.
- [46] Naeim Safori, Design of a DC-DC Buck converter for ultra-low power applications in 65 nm CMOS process. Master Thesis.
- [47] Damien Prêle, Advanced Electronic Systems. Master Thesis, Hanoi, Vietnam, (2017) pp.153 (cel - 00843641v6).

- [48] Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., "An Introduction to Switch-mode Power Supplies", Application Note 4087, Sept 27, (2007).
- [49] P. T. Krein. "Elements of power electronics." Oxford University Press, New York City, 1998.
- [50] Marian K. Kazimierczuk Pulse-Width-Modulated DC-DC Power Converters, 2nd ed., Wiley (2015).
- [51] R. Kuzi, Passive Power Filters, Proceedings of the CAS-CERN Accelerator School: Power Converters, Baden, Switzerland, ed. R. Bailey, CERN-2015-003 (2013) CERN, Geneva,
- [52] W. Janke, Averaged models of pulse-modulated DC-DC power converters: Part-I: Discussion of standard methods. Vol 61 (4) (2012) pp.609-631.
- [53] R.W. Erickson, Introduction to Power Electronics, ECEN 4797/5797, Lecture 21, Dpartment of Electrical, Computers and Energy Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder. October 14 (2013).
- [54] C.K. Tse, *Complex behaviour in switching power converters*, Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 90, No.5, May (2002).
- [55] R.W. Erickson and D.Maksimovic, *Fundamentals of power electronics*, 2nd ed., Kluwer Academic Publishing, (2002).
- [56] R.W. Erickson, Introduction to Power Electronics, ECEN 4797/5797, Lecture 22, (2018), University of Colorado, Boulder.
- [57] EE462L, Spring 2014 DC-DC Buck Converter.
- [58] Mucunguzi Rwagasove, 24V-DC/DC Step-down converter, Aalborg University, Mach 14, 2017. https://projectr.aau.dk/projectr/files/254738211/Bachelorprojekt.pdf
- [59] N. Mohan, and T. M. Undeland. Power electronics: converters, applications, and design. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- [60] Tang, Nghia, Bai Nguyen, Reza Molavi, Shahriar Mirabbasi, Yangyang Tang, Philipp Zhang, Jonghoon Kim, Partha Pratim Pande, and Deukhyoun Heo. Fully integrated buck converter with fourth-order low-pass filter. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 32, no. 5 (2016): 3700-3707.
- [61] W. Kim, M. S. Gupta, G.-Y. Wei, and D. Brooks. System level analysis of fast, per-core DVFS using on-chip switching regulators. in Proc. IEEE 14th Int. Symp. High Perform. Comput. Archit., 2008, pp. 123–134.

- [62] W. Kim, D. Brooks, and G. Y. Wei. A fully-integrated 3-level DC-DC converter for nanosecond-scale DVFS. IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 206–219, Jan. 2012.
- [63] R. W. Erickson and D. Maksimovic. Fundamentals of Power Electronics. 2nd ed. Norwell, MA, USA: Kluwer, 2001.
- [64] S.G. Parker, B.P. McGrath, and D.G. Holmes, "Regions of active damping control for LCL filters," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications 50, no.* 1, pp 424-432, 2014.
- [65] P. Hazucha et al. A 233-MHz 80%-87% efficient four-phase DC-DC converter utilizing air-core inductors on package. IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 206-219, Jan. 2012.
- [66] M. Alimadadi et al. A fully integrated 660 MHz low-swing energy recycling DC-DC converter. IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1475–1485, Jun. 2009.
- [67] C. Huang and P. K. T. Mok. A 100 MHz 82.4% efficiency package bond-wire based four-phase fully-integrated buck converter with flying capacitor for area reduction. IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 2977–2988, Dec. 2013.
- [68] J. Wibben and R. Harjani. A high-efficiency DC-DC converter using 2 nH integrated inductors. IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 844–854, Apr. 2008.
- [69] S. S. Mohan, M. D. Hershenson, S. P. Boyd, and T. H. Lee. Simple accurate expressions for planar spiral inductances. IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1419–1424, Oct. 1999.
- [70] Texas Instruments, Application report, January 2014.
- [71] A. A. Rodriguez, D. Allee, S. Konasani, M. Islam Digital Compensation for a Class of High Speed Switching DC-DC Converters: Issues and Tradeoffs Unpublished, December 20, 2003.
- [72] A. Sarkar, Modeling and Control of a Three Phase Voltage Source Inverter with an LCL Filter, MS Thesis, Arizona State Univ., 2015.
- [73] A. A. Rodriguez et al., "Modeling, design and control of low-cost differentialdrive robotic ground vehicles: Part II-Multiple vehicle study," *IEEE Conf. on Control Tech. & Applications*, pp. 161-166, 2017.
- [74] W.C. Messner, et al., "Lead and lag compensators with complex poles and zeros design formulas for modeling and loop shaping," *IEEE control systems*, 27(1), pp 44-54, 2007.
- [75] J.C. Doyle, J. E. Wall, G. Stein, "Performance and robustness analysis for structured uncertainty," *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, vol. 21, pp 629-636, 1982.
- [76] IEEE Standards Association, "IEEE 1547 standard for interconnecting distributed resources with electric power systems," IEEE Standards Association, Piscataway (2003).
- [77] G.C. Goodwin, S.F. Graebe and M.E. Salgado. *Control system design*, Vol. 240. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2001.
- [78] S. Skogestad and I. Postlethwaite. Multivariable feedback control: analysis and design, volume 2. Wiley New York, 2007.
- [79] J.L. Goffin and J.P. Vial, "Convex nondifferentiable optimization: A survey focused on the analytic center cutting plane method," *Optimization Methods* and Software, 17(5):805–867, 2002.
- [80] D.C. Youla, H. Jabr, and J.J. Bongiorno, "Modern wiener-hopf design of optimal controllers-part ii: The multivariable case," *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, 21(3):319–338, 1976.
- [81] IEEE Standards Association, "IEEE 1547 standard for interconnecting distributed resources with electric power systems," IEEE Standards Association, Piscataway (2003).
- [82] A. A. Rodriguez. Analysis and Design of Multivariable Feedback Control Systems. Control3D, L.L.C., Tempe, AZ 85284, 2004.
- [83] R. W. Erickson, and D. M. Maksimovic. Fundamentals of power electronics. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Kulwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [84] B.A. Bamieh, and J.B. Pearson. "A General Framework for Linear Periodic Systems with Applications to H<sup>∞</sup> Sampled-Data Control." In *IEEE Transactions* on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-37, 1992, pp. 418-435.
- [85] S. Buso, and P. Mattavelli. "Digital control in power electronics." Lectures on power electronics 1, no. 1 (2006): 1-158.
- [86] S. Skogestad, and I. Postlethwaite. Multivariable feedback control: analysis and design. Vol. 2. New York: Wiley, 2007.

- [87] Y. Yamamoto, and P. P. Khargonekar. "Frequency response of sampled-data systems." *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 41, no. 2 (1996): 166-176.
- [88] B. A. Bamieh. "Intersample and Finite Wordlength Effects in Sampled-Data Problems." *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. 48, No. 4, April, 2003, pp. 639–642.
- [89] T. Chen, and B. Francis. "Optimal Sampled-Data Control Systems." Springer Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [90] B. A. Bamieh, J. B. Pearson, B. A. Francis, and A. R. Tannenbaum. "A Lifting Technique for Linear Periodic Systems with Applications to Sampled-Data Control." Systems and Control Letters, 17, 1991, pp. 79–88.
- [91] W. Sun, K. M. Nagpal, and P. P. Khargonekar. " $H_{\infty}$  control and filtering for sampled-data systems." *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 38*, no. 8 (1993): 1162-1175.
- [92] M. di Bernardo, F. Garofalo, L. Glielmo, and F. Vasca. "Nonlinear phenomena in pulse width modulated feedback controlled systems." In *Decision and Control, 1996., Proceedings of the 35th IEEE Conference on*, vol. 2, pp. 2161-2166. IEEE, 1996.
- [93] D. Raviv, and E. W. Djaja. "Technique for Enhancing the Performance of Discretized Controllers." *IEEE Control Systems*, pp. 52–57, 1999.
- [94] K. Zhou with J.C. Doyle. "Essentials of Robust Control." *Prentice Hall*, 1998.
- [95] M. Saberi, R. Lotfi, K. Mafinezhad, and W.A. Serdijn. "Analysis of power consumption and linearity in capacitive digital-to-analog converters used in successive approximation ADCs." *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers 58*, no. 8 (2011): 1736-1748.
- [96] H. Hong, and G. Lee. "A 65-fJ/conversion-step 0.9-V 200-kS/s rail-to-rail 8-bit successive approximation ADC." *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 42, no. 10 (2007): 2161-2168.
- [97] A. V. Peterchev, and S.R. Sanders. "Quantization resolution and limit cycling in digitally controlled PWM converters." *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics* 18, no. 1 (2003): 301-308.
- [98] S. Choudhury. "Designing a TMS320F280x based digitally controlled DC-DC switching power supply." Texas Instruments Application Report SPRAAB3 (2005).
- [99] P. N. Paraskevopoulos. "Digital control systems." Prentice Hall, London, 1996.

- [100] A. Sarkar, K. Puttannaiah, and A.A. Rodriguez. "Inner-outer loop based robust active damping for lcl resonance in grid-connected inverters using grid current feedback." In 2018 Annual American Control Conference (ACC), pp. 6766-6771. IEEE, 2018.
- [101] Philips Semiconductors N-channel TrenchMOS transistor Logic level FET PHP21N06LT, PHB21N06LT, PHD21N06LT datasheet.
- [102] P. A. Iglesias, and K. Glover. "State-space approach to discrete-time,  $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$  control." International Journal of Control, vol. 54, no. 5 (1991): 1031–1073.
- [103] H. Fujioka, C.-Y. Kao, S. Almér, and U. Jönsson. "Sampled-data H∞ control design for a class of PWM systems." Proceedings of the 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, IEEE (2005): 4499–4504.
- [104] H. Fujioka, C.-Y. Kao, S. Almér, and U. Jönsson. "Robust tracking with  $H\infty$  performance for PWM systems." *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 8 (2009): 1808–1818.
- [105] S. Mariethoz, S. Almer, M. Baja, A. G. Beccuti, D. Patino, A. Wernrud, J. Buisson, H. Cormerais, T. Geyer, H. Fujioka, U. T. Jonsson, C. Kao, M. Morari, G. Papafotiou, A. Rantzer, and P. Riedinger. "Comparison of Hybrid Control Techniques for Buck and Boost DC-DC Converters." *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 18, no. 5 (2010): 1126–1145.
- [106] C.-C. Fang. "Sampled Data Analysis and Control of DC-DC Switching Converters." Ph.D. Thesis, 1997.
- [107] Y. Duan, and H. Jin. "Digital controller design for switchmode power converters." Fourteenth Annual Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, APEC 1999, Vol. 2, March 1999, pp. 967–973.
- [108] J.S. Freudenberg, and D.P. Looze. "Sensitivity reduction, nonminimum phase zeros, and design tradeoffs in single loop feedback systems." In Decision and Control, 1983. The 22nd IEEE Conference, pp. 625-630. IEEE, 1983.
- [109] B. Shang, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Wu, and Y. Q. Chen. "Fractional-order flight control of quadrotor UAS on vision-based precision hovering with larger sampling period." *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 97, no. 2, (2019): 1735–1746.
- [110] P. Song, C. Cui, and Y. Bai. "Robust output voltage regulation for DC-DC buck converters under load variations via sampled-data sensorless control." *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, (2018): 10688–10698.
- [111] R. C. N. Pilawa-Podgurski, D. M. Giuliano, and D. J. Perreault. "Merged two-stage power converterarchitecture with softcharging switched-capacitor energy transfer." 2008 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, (2008): 4008–4015.

- [112] R. C. N. Pilawa-Podgurski, and D. J. Perreault. "Merged two-stage power converter with soft charging switched-capacitor stage in 180 nm CMOS." *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 47, no. 7, (2012): 1557–1567.
- [113] G. E. Dullerud, and F. Paganini. "A course in robust control theory: a convex approach." Springer Science & Business Media, vol. 36, 2013.
- [114] O. Cifdaloz. "H-infinity mixed-sensitivity optimization for infinite dimensional plants subject to convex constraints." *Arizona State University*, 2007.
- [115] K. Gopalakrishna, Frequency Characterization of Si, SiC, and GaN MOSFETs Using Buck Converter In CCM as an Application. 2013.

## APPENDIX A

# A MATLAB CODE: LIFTING

This m file designs all the 3 types of digital controllers for the buck converter. A controller is designed for each value of switching period  $h = T_s$  in a range of  $T_s$ . The range is  $T_s \in (10, 490) \ \mu$ s.

1 % m File: buck\_Geqd\_vs\_h.m 2 % 3 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 5 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 6 % 7 % H–INFINITY EQUIVALENT GENERALIZED PLANT Geqd CHARACTERISTICS AS A 8 % FUNCTION OF SAMPLING PERIOD h 9 % 10 % 11 % 12 % This program examines how key characteristics of the H-infinty equivalent 13 % discrete time generalized plant G\_{eq,d} } vary with sampling period h for  $_{14}$  % a DC–DC "buck converter" Sampled Data ( SD) H-infinity 15 % Mixed Sensitivity (MS) problem. 16 % 17 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

- 18 % 1. START:  $SD_sim.slx$
- 19 % 2. ADD THE SD FILES TO THE MATLAB PATH
- $_{20}~\%~$  3. THEN RUN THIS CODE
- 21 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
- 22 %
- 23 % References:
- 24 %
- 25 % Chen and Francis's "Optimal SampledData Control Systems"
- <sup>26</sup> % Cifdaloz, Oguzhan, Siva Konasani, Armando A. Rodriguez, Murshidul Islam, and David Allee. "A sampled-data approach to dc-dc buck converter design." In Proceedings of the 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, pp. 4779-4784. IEEE, 2005.

 $_{27}$  %

- 28 % The following characteristics are examined:
- 29 %
- 30 % General open/closed loop frequency responses and singular values vs. h
- 31 % Number of input (exogeneous) signals w of G<sub>-</sub>{eq,d} vs. h
- 32 % Number of output (regulated) signals

z of  $G_{\text{-}}\{eq\,,d\}~vs\,.$  h

33	$\%$ Optimal performance gamma_opt vs. h
34	% NOTE: The MS framework provides a
	suboptimal solution within a
35	% prescribed tolerance.
36	$\%$ Order of $G_{-}\{eq,d\}~vs.$ h
37	$\%$ Order of minimal realization of $G_{\text{-}}\{eq$
	,d vs. h
38	%
39	% ********
40	% *******
41	% *******
42	
43	% *********
44	%
45	% CLEAR COMMAND WINDOW, CLOSE FIGURES
46	%
47	close all;
48	clc;
49	clear all;
50	
51	% **********
52	%
53	% SAVE FIGURES CONTROL
54	%

```
savefigs = 0;
55
56
57
  % ************
58
  %
59
60 % FIGURE RELATIVE FILE PATH
61 %
_{62} relpath = 'figures\';
63
64
  % ************
65
  %
66
  % CREATE TIMESTAMP (FILE SAVING PURPOSES)
67
  %
68
69
  if savefigs
70
71
      time = fix(clock);
72
      timestamp = '';
73
       for i = 1: length(time)
74
          timestamp = [timestamp , num2str(
75
             time(i))];
          if i < length(time)
76
              timestamp = [timestamp , '_{-}'];
77
          end
78
```

end 79timestamp = [timestamp , '\']; 80 relpath = [relpath, timestamp];81 % Update relative path mkdir(relpath); 82 % Create directory for relative path 83 end 84 85 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 86 87 % % ADD SDToolbox 88 % 89 addpath('../../SDToolbox'); 90  $^{91}$ 92 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 93 % 94 % INITIALIZE FIGURE COUNTER % 95figcount = 1; 969798 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* % 99 100 % DEFINE COMPLEX VARIABLE s AS LAPLACE

#### TRANSFORM VARIABLE

```
101 %
_{102} s = tf('s');
103
104 % ***************
105 %
106 % FREQUENCY VECTOR FOR BODE PLOTS/
      FREQUENCY RESPONSES
107 %
<sup>108</sup> wvec = \log \operatorname{space}(-3, 4, 1000);
109
110 % ***************
111 %
112 % SWEPT PARAMETERS
113 %
114
115 % **************
116 %
117 % SAMPLING PERIOD h
118 %
119
  hvec = (10:10:490) * 1e-6;
                                                 %
120
       RANGE CHOSEN CONSIDERING h_crit =
      212.06 us
_{121} % 5e-6 gives an error !!!
```

```
_{123} % hvec = [10e-6 \ 100e-6 \ 1e-3]; % gives an
      error !!!
_{124} % hvec = [ 1];
<sup>125</sup> % hvec = logspace(-5, log10(0.75), 200);
   length_hvec = length(hvec);
126
127
  % **********
128
  %
129
  % TARGET CLOSED LOOP BANDWITDH wb
130
131 %
_{132} % wbvec = [1];
  wbvec = 1e3;
133
   length_wbvec = length(wbvec);
134
135
136
137
   % ***********
138
139
140 % FIXED STATE SPACE REPRESENTATIONS
141 %
142
143
144 % ***************
145 %
```

122

112

146 % REGULARIZATION GAIN  $epsilon_1$ 

147 %

 $_{148}$  % epsilon\_1 is set to 0.01.

149 %

150 % epsilon\_1 is set nonzero to satisfy
condition (A2) (Chen and Francis pg.

151 % 26) is satisfied (so that the direct feedthrough matrix D\_12 from

152 % control signal u to regulated signals z of the mixed sensitivity

153 % problem is full column rank); i.e., so the control signal u is weighted

```
154 % in both z_1 and z_2. As is, D_12 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ epsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}, so nonzero epsilon_1
```

 $_{155}$  % is needed to give D\_12 full column rank

163 %

164 % STORAGE

165 %  $_{166}$  Geqd\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec); % Holds H-inf equivalent DT generalized plants 167  $Kc_{cell} = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 168 % Holds H-inf optimal CT ; controllers  $Kd_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 169 % Holds H-inf optimal DT ; controllers 170  $Wc_{cell} = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 171 % Holds weighting functions W ; for CT designs  $_{172}$  W\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec); % Holds weighting functions W for DT designs 173  $F_{cell} = cell (length_hvec, length_wbvec);$ 174% Holds AAFs for designs  $P_{\rm rell} = cell (length_hvec, length_wbvec);$ % Holds P for designs 176177 gamma\_Wcinv\_cell = cell(length\_hvec,

```
length_wbvec); % Holds gamma_c *
     Wc^{(-1)}
_{178} gamma_Winv_cell = cell(length_hvec,
      length_wbvec);
                           \% Holds gamma_d *
     W^{(-1)}
179
  gamma_c_mat = zeros(length_hvec)
180
      length_wbvec); % Holds performances
       of controllers for CT designs
_{181} gamma_d_mat = zeros (length_hvec,
      length_wbvec);
                        % Holds performances
       of controllers for DT designs
182
   hmat = zeros(length_hvec, length_wbvec);
183
184
   Geqd_numin_mat = zeros(length_hvec)
185
      length_wbvec); % Holds number of
      inputs of G_{-}\{eq,d\}
  Geqd_numout_mat = zeros(length_hvec)
186
      length_wbvec); % Holds number of
      outputs of G_{-}\{eq,d\}
_{187} Geqd_order_mat = zeros (length_hvec,
      length_wbvec); % Holds order of G_{
      eq,d
  Geqd_minreal_order_mat = zeros(
188
```

```
length_hvec , length_wbvec ); % Holds
       order of minreal (G_{eq}, d)
189
  \%
190
191
  % ***********
192
  % ***********
193
  %
194
  % BEGIN MAIN LOOP
195
196 %
197 % Sweep W bandwidth wb, sampling period h
  %
198
  % ***********
199
  % ************
200
201
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
202
203
       % *********
204
      %
205
      % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wg
206
       %
207
       wb = wbvec(wbcount);
208
209
210
       for hcount = 1: length_hvec
211
```

212	
213	% *****
214	%
215	% CURRENT SAMPLING PERIOD h
216	%
217	h = hvec(hcount);
218	
219	% *****
220	%
221	% CALCULATE NYQUIST FREQUNECY wn
222	%
223	% Sampling frequency ws = 2*pi/h
224	% Nyquist frequency wn = ws/2 =
	pi/h
225	%
226	$\operatorname{wn} = \operatorname{pi} / \operatorname{h};$
227	
228	
229	% *****
230	%
231	% PLANT STATE SPACE
	REPRESENTATION
232	%
233	
234	% Plant is a DC-DC buck converter

	and the plant model is given	
	in	
235	% the 2005 CDC paper referenced	
	above.	
236		
237	$\%$ IMPORTANT: P: up $\rightarrow$ yp, for the	e
	TF from di $\rightarrow$ yp and do $\rightarrow$ yp	1
	,	
238	$\%$ we'll need W_di and Zout (refer	
	to the paper for exact	
	details)	
239	load ('BUCKDATA.mat');	
240	[Ap, Bp, Cp, Dp] = ssdata(P);	
241		
242		
243	$P_{-cell}$ {hcount, wbcount} = P;	
244		
245		
246	% PLANT DIMENSIONS:	
247	$nx_p = size(Ap,1);$ % Number	
	of states	
248	$nu_p = size(Bp,2);$ % Number	
	of inputs	
249	$ny_p = size(Cp,1);$ % Number	
	of outputs	

250			
251			% *****
252			%
253			% ANTI-ALIASING FILTER STATE
			SPACE REPRESENTATION
254			%
255			% F = (af/(s+af))
256			%
257			
258			% af = 100; % Filter pole
			location (in LHP)
259	%		af = 0.5 * wn; % Filter
		pole	location (in LHP)
260			af = $1*$ wb; % Filter pole
			location (in LHP)
261			
262			Af = -af;
263			Bf = af;
264			Cf = 1;
265			Df = 0;
266			
267			F = ss(Af, Bf, Cf, Df);
268			
269			$F_cell{hcount, wbcount} = F;$
270			

$$\% F = t f (1);$$

272

271

273% FILTER DIMENSIONS: 274 $nx_{f} = size(Af, 1);$ % Number 275of states  $nu_f = size(Bf, 2);$ % Number 276of inputs  $ny_{f} = size(Cf, 1);$ % Number 277of outputs 278279% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 280 % 281% SENSITIVITY AT ERROR WEIGHTING 282 FUNCTION STATE SPACE REPRESENTATION % 283% W = 1/Ms \* (s+Ms\*wb)/(s+eps\*)284wb) \* (aw)/(s+aw) % 285% Where wb > 0, 0 < eps  $\ll$  1 < Ms 286. Ms\*wb is the dominant zero location in % the LHP (nominally, we want W  $\tilde{}$ 287

(s+1)/s, so M	ls = 1 + eps, wb
= 1). The	
288 % pole at awr is	a HFRO pole
needed for reg	ularization (D11
= 0) - see	
289 % Chen and Franci	s, pp. 314.
290 %	
291	
eps = 1e - 1;	
Ms = 5;	
294	
wb = wb;	
296	
aw = 10 * Ms * wb; %	HFRO
regularization	pole
aw = 10*wn; % H	FRO
regularization pole	
299	
$Aw = \begin{bmatrix} -aw & aw \end{bmatrix}$	
301 0 -	eps*wb
].	сронио 1
302	
$Bw = \begin{bmatrix} aw * 1/Mc \end{bmatrix}$	
$B_{W} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{W} + 1 \end{bmatrix} M_{E}$	$(\mathbf{s})/\mathbf{M}\mathbf{s}$ ].
304 WD*(1VIS-ep	, [ CIVI ] ,
305	

306	Cw = [1 0];
307	
308	Dw = 0;
309	
310 %	Aw = -bw * wn;
311 %	Bw = bw*wn * 10;
312 %	Cw = 1;
313 %	Dw = 0;
314	
315	W = ss (Aw, Bw, Cw, Dw);
316	
317	$W_{-}cell\{hcount, wbcount\} = W;$
318	
319	% For continous design (no
	weighting function rolloff)
320	Wc = ss(-eps*wb,wb*(Ms-eps))/Ms
	(1, 1/Ms);
321	$Wc_{cell}{hcount, wbcount} = Wc;$
322	
323	% W = ss(-eps*wb, wb*(Ms-eps)/Ms,
	$1,1/{ m Ms});$
324	% W = 1/Ms * (s+Ms*wb)/(s+eps*wb)
	;
325	$\%$ W = $(1/((5/wn)*s + 1))^2;$
326	%

	$\mid$ C1 $\mid$ 0 D12 $\mid$	
345	%   C2   D21 D22	
	C2   0 0	
346	%	
347	% For discussion of structural	
	assumptions (i.e., D11=0, D21	
	=0, D22=0), see	
348	% Chen and Francis, pp. 314	
349	%	
350	% States: [xw, xf, xp]	
351	% Inputs: [w, u]	
352	% Outputs: $[z1, z2, y]$	
353	%	
354		
355	% GENERALIZED PLANT DIMENSIONS:	
356	$nx = nx_p + nx_f + nx_w;$	%
	Number of states	
357	nw = 1;	%
	Number of exogeneous signals	
	W	
358	nu = 1;	%
	Number of control signals u	
359	nz = 2;	%
	Number of regulated signals	$\mathbf{z}$
360	ny = 1;	%

Number of measured signals y

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} Aw & zeros \\ (nx_w, nx_f) & -Bw*Cp \\ zeros(nx_f, nx_w) & Af \\ -Bf* \end{bmatrix}$ 

Ср

364 Zeros(nx\_p,nx\_w) Zeros (nx\_p,nx\_f) Ap ];

365

361

366	B1 = [	Bw
367		Bf
368		$zeros(nx_p,nw)$ ];
369		
370	B2 = [	Bw*Dp
371		-Bf*Dp
372		Bp ];
373		

374  $C1 = [Cw zeros (ny_w, nx_f) -Dw*Cp$ 375  $zeros(nz-1, nx_w) zeros (nz-1, nx_f) zeros(nz-1, nx_p) ];$ 

376

377	C2 = [	zeros (n	$y, nx_w)$		Cf
			—D	f*Cp	];
378					
379	D11 = [	Dw			
380		zeros (n	z-1,nw)	];	
381					
382	D12 = [	-Dw*Dp			
383		eps1	];		
384					
385	D21 = D	Of;			
386					
387	D22 = -	Df*Dp;			
388					
389	B = [	B1 B2	];		
390					
391	C = [	C1			
392		C2 ];			
393					
394	D = [	D11	D12		
395		D21	D22	];	
396					
397	G = ss(.	A, B, C, D)	;		
398					
399					
400	% ****	* * * * * *			

401	%
402	% PERFORM CONTINUOUS-TIME H-INF
	DESIGN
403	%
404	% H-infinity controller synthesis
	using "hinfsyn"
405	% [K,CL,gamma] = hinfsyn(P,nmeas,
	ncont)
406	% See: https://www.mathworks.
	com/help/robust/ref/hinfsyn.
	html
407	%
408	% The regularization condition
	D21 = 0 for SD H-infinity
	design conflicts
409	% with the regularization
	condition that D21 have full
	row rank for
410	% continuous-time H-infinity
	design. Chen and francis
	introduce a second
411	% exogeneous signal w2 which is
	injected after the anti-
	ailiasing filter F
412	% after passing through a small

gain epsilon\_2 in a similar Hinfinity % example (see Example 2.3.1, pp. 41331). We will do the same here for the % continous design. 414 % 415eps2 = 0.00001;416 417 $B1c = [B1 \ zeros(nx, 1)];$ 418  $D11c = [D11 \ zeros(nz,1)];$ 419D21c = [D21 eps2];% Now 420 D21 has full row rank 421Gc = [Wc 0 -series (P 422,Wc) 0 0 eps1 423F eps2 -series (P 424,F)]; 425 $[Kc, cl, gamma_c] = hinfsyn(Gc, ny,$ 426nu, 'display', 'on'); 427% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 428% 429

% STORAGE 430 % 431 $Kc_{cell}$  {hcount, wbcount} = Kc; 432% H-inf opt. controller  $gamma_c_mat(hcount, wbcount) =$ 433 % Performance gamma\_c; achieved gamma\_Wcinv\_cell{hcount, wbcount} 434 $= \operatorname{gamma_c} * \operatorname{Wc}(-1);$ % gamma \* W(-1)435436 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 437% 438 % BEGIN H-INF OPTIMAL DESIGN VIA 439H-INF EQUIVALENT DISCRETE PLANT (CH 13 CHEN AND FRANCIS) % 440% For computational procedure of 441H-inf synthesis, see Chen and Francis, % sec. 13.8, pp. 342–343. 442% 443

444

tol = $1e-3$ ; % Tolerance
desired within optimal
performance
$[Kd, Teqd, Geqd, gamma_d] = ms_sd$
(G, nu, ny, h, tol);
$minreal_Geqd = minreal(Geqd); \%$
${\rm Minimum\ realization\ of\ }G_{\text{-}}\{{\rm eq},$
d
$\%$ Store generalized plant $G_{\text{-}}\{\mathrm{eq},\mathrm{d}$
} characteristics
$Geqd_numin_mat(hcount, wbcount) =$
size(Geqd.d.2);
$Geqd_numout_mat(hcount, wbcount) =$
size(Geqd.d.1);
$Geqd_order_mat(hcount, wbcount) =$
<pre>size(Geqd.a,1);</pre>
$Geqd\_minreal\_order\_mat(hcount,$
wbcount) = size(minreal_Geqd.a
, 1 ) ;
% *****
%

459 % STORAGE 460 % 461 Geqd\_cell{hcount,wbcount} = Geqd; 462 Kd\_cell{hcount,wbcount} = Kd; % H-inf opt.

### controller

463	$gamma_d_mat(hcount, wbcou)$	nt) =
	gamma_d; % Perf	ormance
	achieved	
464	$gamma_Winv_cell{hcount}$ , w	$bcount\} =$
	$gamma_d * W(-1);$	%gamma
	$* W^{(-1)}$	

465

% END for bwfraccount end 466 $= 1: length_b w fracvec$ 467% END for hcount = 1: end 468length\_hvec 469‰ 470471472 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 473 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 474 % 475 % FORM CLOSED LOOP MAPS

476 % 477 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 478 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 479% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 480 481 % 482 % STORAGE 483 % 484 Sed\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec ); % Holds DT sensitivities at plant output  $Ted_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 485% Holds DT comp. sensitivities ); at plant output  $Trud_cell = cell(length_hvec)$ 486length\_wbvec); % Holds DT reference to control 487 Led\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec ); % Holds DT loop 488  $Sec_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 489); % Holds CT sensitivities at plant output  $Tec_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 490% Holds CT comp. sensitivities );

### at plant output

```
Truc_cell = cell(length_hvec)
491
      length_wbvec);
                            % Holds CT comp.
      reference to control
  Lec_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)
492
      );
               % Holds CT loop
493
494
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
495
496
       % **********
497
       %
498
       % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
499
       %
500
       wb = wbvec(wbcount);
501
502
503
       for hcount = 1: length_hvec
504
505
            % ********
506
            %
507
            % CURRENT SAMPLING PERIOD h
508
            %
509
            h = hvec(hcount);
510
511
```

512	% *****
513	%
514	% CALCULATE NYQUIST FREQUNECY wn
515	%
516	% Sampling frequency ws = 2*pi/h
517	% Nyquist frequency wn = ws/2 =
	$\mathrm{pi}/\mathrm{h}$
518	%
519	$\operatorname{wn} = \operatorname{pi} / \operatorname{h};$
520	
521	% ******
522	%
523	% EXTRACT PLANT
524	%
525	$P = P_{cell} \{hcount, wbcount\};$
526	
527	% ******
528	%
529	% EXTRACT FILTER
530	%
531	$F = F_{cell} \{hcount, wbcount\};$
532	
533	% *****
534	%
535	% ZOH-TRANSFORMATION OF FILTER

536	%
537	$\mathrm{Fd} = \mathrm{c2d}(\mathrm{F},\mathrm{h},\mathrm{'zoh'});$
538	
539	% *****
540	%
541	% ZOH–TRANSFORMATION OF FILTER
542	%
543	Pd = c2d(P,h, 'zoh');
544	
545	% *****
546	%
547	% ZOH–TRANSFORMATION OF PLANT AND
	FILTER
548	%
549	PFd = c2d(series(F,P),h,'zoh');
550	
551	
552	% *****
553	%
554	% CONTROLLER (DISCRETE)
555	%
556	$Kd = Kd_{cell} \{hcount, wbcount\};$
557	
558	% ******
559	%
560	% LOOP (DISCRETE)
-----	------------------------------------
561	%
562	Led = series(PFd, Kd); % Loop
	broken at error
563	$Led_cell{hcount, wbcount} = Led;$
564	
565	% *****
566	%
567	% SENSITIVITY (DISCRETE)
568	%
569	$Sed_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} =$
	feedback(1, Led);
570	
571	% *****
572	%
573	% COMPLEMENTARY SENSITIVITY (
	DISCRETE)
574	%
575	$Ted_cell{hcount, wbcount} =$
	feedback(Led,1);
576	
577	% *****
578	%
579	% Tru (DISCRETE)
580	%

581	$Trud_cell{hcount, wbcount} =$
	<pre>feedback(series(Fd,Kd),Pd);</pre>
582	
583	% *****
584	%
585	% CONTROLLER (CONTINUOUS)
586	%
587	$Kc = Kc_{cell} \{hcount, wbcount\};$
588	
589	% *****
590	%
591	% LOOP (CONTINUOUS)
592	%
593	Lec = series(series(P,Kc),F); %
	Loop broken at error
594	$Lec_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} = Lec;$
595	
596	% *****
597	%
598	% SENSITIVITY (CONTINUOUS)
599	%
600	$Sec_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} =$
	feedback(1, series(series(P, Kc)
	$,{ m F})$ ) ;

% ********
%
% COMPLEMENTARY SENSITIVITY (
CONTINUOUS)
%
$Tec_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} =$
feedback(series(series(P,Kc),F)
),1);
% *****
%
% Tru (CONTINUOUS)
%
$Truc_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} =$
feedback(series(F,Kc),P);
feedback(series(F,Kc),P);
feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount
feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec
feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec
<pre>feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec end % END for hcount = 1:</pre>
<pre>feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec end % END for hcount = 1: length_hvec</pre>
<pre>feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec end % END for hcount = 1: length_hvec</pre>
<pre>feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec end % END for hcount = 1: length_hvec %%</pre>
<pre>feedback(series(F,Kc),P); end % END for bwfraccount = 1:length_bwfracvec end % END for hcount = 1: length_hvec %%</pre>

621 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 622 % 623 % PLOT FREQUENCY RESPONSES 624 🖔 625 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 626 627 628 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 629 % 630 % LEGEND ENTRIES 631 % 632 633 lgd\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec 634 % Holds legend entries ); 635for wbcount =  $1: length_wbvec$ 636 637 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 638 % 639 % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb 640 % 641 wb = wbvec(wbcount);642 643 for  $hcount = 1: length_hvec$ 644

645	
646	% *****
647	%
648	% CURRENT SAMPLING PERIOD h
649	%
650	h = hvec(hcount);
651	
652	% ******
653	%
654	% FORM LEGEND ENTRY
655	%
656	$lgd_cell \{hcount, wbcount\} = ['h =$
	$\operatorname{num2str}(h)];$
657	
658	end % END for bwfraccount
	$= 1: length_bwfracvec$
659	
660	end % END for hcount = 1:
	$length_hvec$
661	
662	% ******
663	%
664	% FREQUECNIES OF EVALUATION
665	%
666	% The frequency vector for each design

```
depends on w_n, so each design needs
667 % its own frequency vector.
  %
668
669
   wvec_d_cell = cell(length_hvec)
670
                              % Holds
      length_wbvec);
      frequency vector for each design
671
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
672
673
       % **********
674
       %
675
       % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
676
       %
677
       wb = wbvec(wbcount);
678
679
       for hcount = 1: length_hvec
680
681
           % ********
682
           %
683
           % CURRENT SAMPLING PERIOD h
684
           %
685
           h = hvec(hcount);
686
687
           % ********
688
```

689	%
690	% CALCULATE NYQUIST FREQUNECY wn
691	%
692	% Sampling frequency ws = 2*pi/h
693	% Nyquist frequency wn = ws/2 =
	pi/h
694	%
695	$\operatorname{wn} = \operatorname{pi} / \operatorname{h};$
696	
697	% ******
698	%
699	% FREQUENCIES OF EVALUATION
700	%
701	$wvec_d_cell{hcount} =$
	$\log \operatorname{pace}(-4, \log 10(0.999 * \operatorname{wn})$
	,1000);
702	
703	
704	end % END for bwfraccount
	$= 1: length_bwfracvec$
705	
706	end
707	
708	78%
709	

```
710 % ***************
711 %
712 % PLOTS — DISCRETE
713 %
714
   plotfreqresp = 1;
715
716
  if plotfreqresp
717
718
719 % ***************
  %
720
721 % BOOLEAN CONTROL TO PLOT ONE CT DESIGN
      AT BEGINNING OF EACH PLOT
722 %
_{723} % If include_ct = true, then the first
      continuous-time design corresponding
_{724} % to the current value of wb (i.e., the
      lowest h-value design for the
725 % current value of wb) will be plotted in
       front of each of the DT designs
726 %
  include_ct = 1;
727
728
729
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
730
```

731	
732	% *****
733	%
734	% CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
735	%
736	wb = wbvec(wbcount);
737	
738	% ******
739	%
740	% FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS
741	%
742	% Appended to the end of each file
	name to make names unique
743	%
744	filenamemod = [' — wb eq ' strrep(
	num2str(wb), '. ', 'p ') ' DT '];
745	% titlemod = ['   $h = ' num2str(h)$ '
	$\operatorname{sec}$ '];
746	titlemod = '';
747	
748	% *****
749	%
750	% DETERMINE X-AXIS LIMITS
751	%
752	$tmp = wvec_dcell\{1,1\};$

753	wmin = $\operatorname{tmp}(1)$ ;
754	$tmp = wvec_d_cell \{1, end\};$
755	wmax = $tmp(end)$ ;
756	
757	% Frequency vector for the CT design
	(if it is included in these plots)
758	$wvec_c = logspace(log10(wmin), log10($
	max), 1000);
759	
760	% *****
761	%
762	% CONTROLLER FREQUENCY RESPONSE
763	%
764	
765	$axes_vec_mag = [wmin, wmax, -40, 80];$
	% Window to be used for
	magnitude
766	$axes_vec_ph = [wmin, wmax, -90, 100];$
	% Window to be used for phase
767	
768	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
769	if include_ct
770	$sys_cell = \{Kc_cell\{1, wbcount\}\}$
	Kd_cell {:,wbcount}};

771	lgd_text = { 'CT design ' lgd_cell
	$\{:, wbcount\}\};$
772	$wvec\_cell = [\{wvec\_c\};$
	$wvec_d_cell(:,wbcount)];$
773	else
774	$sys_cell = \{Kd_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
775	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
776	$wvec_cell = wvec_d_cell(:, wbcount$
	)
777	end
778	plottypes = $[1;1];$
779	ttl_cell = {['Controller K Magnitude
	Response' titlemod],['Controller K
	<pre>Phase Response ' titlemod]};</pre>
780	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag,$
	$axes_vec_ph$ ;
781	
782	<pre>plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_cell,</pre>
	plottypes, ttl_cell, lgd_text,
	<pre>axes_cell , figcount);</pre>
783	
784	% SAVE FIGURE
785	<pre>filename = [ 'K_mag' filenamemod];</pre>
786	if savefigs
787	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>

); end 788789% figcount = figcount + 1;790 Increment figure counter 791% SAVE FIGURE 792filename = ['K\_ph' filenamemod]; 793 if savefigs 794savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 795); end 796 797 figcount = figcount + 1;% 798 Increment figure counter 799% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 800 % 801 % LOOP FREQUENCY RESPONSE 802 % 803 804  $\operatorname{axes\_vec\_mag} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -40, 40];$ 805 % Window to be used for magnitude  $\operatorname{axes\_vec\_ph} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -180, 0];$ 806

807	
808	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
809	if include_ct
810	$sys\_cell = \{Lec\_cell\{1, wbcount\}\}$
	$Led_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
811	$lgd_text = \{ CT design ' lgd_cell \}$
	$\{:, wbcount\}\};$
812	$wvec\_cell = [\{wvec\_c\};$
	$wvec_d_cell(:,wbcount)];$
813	else
814	$sys_cell = \{Led_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
815	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
816	$wvec_cell = wvec_d_cell(:, wbcount$
	)
817	end
818	plottypes = [1;1];
819	ttl_cell = {['Loop L Magnitude
	Response' titlemod], ['Loop L Phase
	<pre>Response ' titlemod ] };</pre>
820	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag,$
	$axes_vec_ph$ ;
821	

s22 plotbode(sys\_cell, wvec\_cell,

```
plottypes, ttl_cell, lgd_text,
           axes_cell , figcount);
823
       % SAVE FIGURE
824
       filename = ['L_mag' filenamemod];
825
        if savefigs
826
            savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
827
               );
       end
828
829
                                           %
        figcount = figcount + 1;
830
           Increment figure counter
831
       % SAVE FIGURE
832
       filename = ['L_ph' filenamemod];
833
        if savefigs
834
            savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
835
               );
       end
836
837
       figcount = figcount + 1;
                                           %
838
           Increment figure counter
839
       % *********
840
       %
841
```

842	$\%$ gamma * $ W^{(-1)} $
843	%
844	
845	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
846	$sys_cell = \{gamma_Winv_cell \{:, wbcount\}\}$
	}};
847	plottypes = $[1;0];$
848	$ttl_cell = \{ [, W^{-1}] \}$
	titlemod];
849	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
850	$axes_cell = \{\};$
851	
852	<pre>plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_d_cell(:,</pre>
	wbcount), plottypes, $ttl_cell$ ,
	lgd_text, axes_cell, figcount);
853	
854	$figcount\_sen = figcount;$
855	
856	% SAVE FIGURE
857	filename = ['gamma_W_inv' filenamemod
	];
858	if savefigs
859	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);

```
end
860
861
                                                 %
        figcount = figcount + 1;
862
            Increment figure counter
863
        % *********
864
        %
865
        % SENSITIVITY FREQUENCY RESPONSE
866
        %
867
868
        axes_vec_mag = [wmin, wmax, -35, 5];
869
                  \% Window to be used for
            magnitude
870
        \% See plotbode.m under the folder "
871
            SDToolbox" for documentation
         if include_ct
872
              sys\_cell = \{Sec\_cell\{1, wbcount\}\}
873
                 \operatorname{Sed}_{\operatorname{cell}} \{:, \operatorname{wbcount}\}\};
              lgd_text = { 'CT design ' lgd_cell
874
                 \{:, wbcount\}\};
             wvec\_cell = [\{wvec\_c\};
875
                 wvec_d_cell(:,wbcount)];
         else
876
             sys_cell = \{Sed_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};
877
```

 $lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$ 878 wvec\_cell = wvec\_d\_cell(:,wbcount 879 ) end 880 plottypes = [1;0];881  $ttl_cell = \{ [Sensitivity S' titlemod \}$ 882 ]};  $axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};$ 883 884 plotbode(sys\_cell, wvec\_cell, 885 plottypes, ttl\_cell, lgd\_text, axes\_cell , figcount); 886 % SAVE FIGURE 887 filename = ['S' filenamemod]; 888 if savefigs 889 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 890 ); end 891 892 figcount = figcount + 1;% 893 Increment figure counter 894 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 895 % 896

% COMPLEMENTARY SENSITIVITY FREQUENCY 897 RESPONSE % 898 899  $axes_vec_mag = [wmin, wmax, -40, 5];$ 900 % Window to be used for magnitude 901 % See plotbode.m under the folder " 902 SDToolbox" for documentation if include\_ct 903  $sys\_cell = \{ Tec\_cell \{ 1, wbcount \} \}$ 904  $Ted_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$ lgd\_text = { 'CT design ' lgd\_cell 905  $\{:, wbcount\}\};$  $wvec\_cell = [\{wvec\_c\};$ 906 wvec\_d\_cell(:,wbcount)]; else 907  $sys\_cell = \{ Ted\_cell \{:, wbcount \} \};$ 908  $lgd_text = \{lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$ 909  $wvec\_cell = wvec\_d\_cell(:, wbcount$ 910 ) end 911 plottypes = [1;0];912

## Sensitivity T' titlemod]};

```
axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};
914
915
        plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_cell,
916
            plottypes, ttl_cell, lgd_text,
            axes_cell , figcount);
917
918
        % SAVE FIGURE
919
        filename = ['T' filenamemod];
920
         if savefigs
921
             savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
922
                 );
        end
923
924
        figcount = figcount + 1;
                                                 %
925
            Increment figure counter
926
927
        % *********
928
        %
929
        % REFERENCE TO CONTROL Tru
930
        %
931
932
        \operatorname{axes\_vec\_mag} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -40, 15];
933
```

## % Window to be used for magnitude

934	
935	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
936	if include_ct
937	$sys_cell = \{Truc_cell\{1, wbcount\}\}$
	$Trud_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
938	$lgd_text = \{ CT design ' lgd_cell \}$
	$\{:, wbcount\}\};$
939	$wvec\_cell = [\{wvec\_c\};$
	$wvec_d_cell(:,wbcount)];$
940	else
941	$sys_cell = {Trud_cell {:, wbcount}}$
	}};
942	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
943	$wvec\_cell = wvec\_d\_cell(:,wbcount$
	)
944	end
945	plottypes = $[1;0];$
946	$ttl_cell = \{ [ Reference to Control: $
	$T_{-}\{ru\}$ ; titlemod]};
947	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};$
948	
949	<pre>plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_cell,</pre>

```
plottypes, ttl_cell, lgd_text,
axes_cell, figcount);
```

950% SAVE FIGURE 951filename = ['Tru' filenamemod]; 952if savefigs 953 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 954); end 955956 % figcount = figcount + 1;957 Increment figure counter 958 959 % END for hcount = 1: end 960 length\_hvec 961 % 962963 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 964% 965966 % PLOTS — CONTINUOUS % 967 968 969 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
970 %
971 % FREQUENCY VECTOR
972 %
   wvec = logspace(-4, 5, 1000);
973
   wmin = wvec(1);
974
   \operatorname{wmax} = \operatorname{wvec}(\operatorname{end});
975
976
977
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
978
979
        % **********
980
        %
981
        \% CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
982
        %
983
        wb = wbvec(wbcount);
984
985
        % *********
986
        %
987
        % FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS
988
        %
989
        % Appended to the end of each file
990
            name to make names unique
        %
991
        filenamemod = [' - wb eq ' strrep(
992
            num2str(wb), '. ', 'p') ' DT'];
```

993 %	titlemod = ['   h = ' num2str(h) '
	sec '];
994	<pre>titlemod = '';</pre>
995	
996	% *****
997	%
998	% CONTROLLER FREQUENCY RESPONSE
999	%
1000	
1001	$axes_vec_mag = [wmin, wmax, -40, 30];$
	% Window to be used for
	magnitude
1002	$axes_vec_ph = [wmin, wmax, -120, 0];$
	% Window to be used for phase
1003	
1004	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
1005	$sys_cell = \{Kc_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
1006	plottypes = [1;1];
1007	ttl_cell = {['Controller K Magnitude
	Response' titlemod],['Controller K
	<pre>Phase Response' titlemod]};</pre>
1008	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
1009	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag,$
	$axes_vec_ph$ ;

```
1010
        plotbode(sys_cell, wvec, plottypes,
1011
           ttl_cell, lgd_text, axes_cell,
           figcount);
```

1013	% SAVE FIGURE
1014	$filename = ['K_mag' filenamemod];$
1015	if savefigs
1016	savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
	);
1017	end
1018	
1019	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter
1020	
1021	% SAVE FIGURE
1022	$filename = ['K_ph' filenamemod];$

if savefigs 

savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename

);

end 

figcount = figcount + 1;%

Increment figure counter

% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1029 1030 % LOOP FREQUENCY RESPONSE 1031 % 1032 1033  $\operatorname{axes\_vec\_mag} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -40, 40];$ 1034 % Window to be used for magnitude  $axes_vec_ph = [wmin, wmax, -180, 0];$ 1035 % Window to be used for phase 1036 % See plotbode.m under the folder " 1037 SDToolbox" for documentation  $sys_cell = \{Lec_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$ 1038 plottypes = [1;1];1039  $ttl_cell = \{ [ 'Loop L Magnitude \} \}$ 1040 Response' titlemod], ['Loop L Phase Response ' titlemod ] };  $lgd_text = \{lgd_cell\{:, wbcount\}\};$ 1041  $axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag,$ 1042axes\_vec\_ph }; 1043 plotbode(sys\_cell, wvec, plottypes, 1044 ttl\_cell, lgd\_text, axes\_cell, figcount);

1045% SAVE FIGURE 1046 filename = ['L\_mag' filenamemod]; 1047 if savefigs 1048 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 1049 ); end 1050 1051% figcount = figcount + 1;1052Increment figure counter 1053 % SAVE FIGURE 1054filename =  $['L_ph''$  filenamemod]; 1055 if savefigs 1056savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 1057 ); end 10581059% figcount = figcount + 1;1060 Increment figure counter 1061 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1062% 1063 % gamma \*  $|W^{(-1)}|$ 1064 % 1065

1067	% See plotbode.m under the folder "		
	SDToolbox" for documentation		
1068	$sys_cell = \{gamma_Winv_cell \{:, wbcount\}\}$		
	}};		
1069	plottypes = $[1;0];$		
1070	$ttl_cell = \{ [, W^{-1} \} \}$		
	<pre>titlemod ] };</pre>		
1071	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$		
1072	$axes_cell = \{\};$		
1073			
1074	$plotbode(sys_cell, wvec, plottypes,$		
	$ttl_cell$ , $lgd_text$ , $axes_cell$ ,		
	figcount);		
1075			
1076	$figcount\_sen = figcount;$		
1077			
1078	% SAVE FIGURE		
1079	$filename = ['gamma_W_inv' filenamemod$		
	];		
1080	if savefigs		
1081	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>		
	);		
1082	end		
1083			

%

Increment figure counter

1086	% *****
1087	%
1088	% SENSITIVITY FREQUENCY RESPONSE
1089	%
1090	
1091	$\operatorname{axes\_vec\_mag} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -30, 10];$
	% Window to be used for
	magnitude

% See plotbode.m under the folder "
SDToolbox" for documentation
$sys_cell = \{Sec_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
plottypes = [1;0];
$ttl_cell = \{['Sensitivity S' titlemod$
] } ;
$lgd_text = \{lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\}\};$
$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};$
${\tt plotbode}({\tt sys\_cell}\ ,\ {\tt wvec}\ ,\ {\tt plottypes}\ ,$
$ttl_cell$ , $lgd_text$ , $axes_cell$ ,
figcount);

% SAVE FIGURE 1102 filename = ['S' filenamemod]; 1103 if savefigs 1104 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 1105 ); end 1106 1107 % figcount = figcount + 1;1108 Increment figure counter 1109 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1110 % 1111 % COMPLEMENTARY SENSITIVITY FREQUENCY 1112 RESPONSE % 1113 1114 $\operatorname{axes\_vec\_mag} = [\operatorname{wmin}, \operatorname{wmax}, -40, 10];$ 1115% Window to be used for magnitude 1116 % See plotbode.m under the folder " 1117 SDToolbox" for documentation  $sys\_cell = \{Tec\_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$ 1118 plottypes = [1;0];1119  $ttl_cell = \{['Complementary$ 1120

## Sensitivity T' titlemod]};

	Sensitivity i thitemod ] ;
1121	$lgd_text = \{lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\}\};$
1122	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};$
1123	
1124	$plotbode(sys_cell, wvec, plottypes,$
	ttl_cell, lgd_text, axes_cell,
	figcount);
1125	
1126	
1127	% SAVE FIGURE
1128	filename = $['T' filenamemod];$
1129	if savefigs
1130	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);
1131	end
1132	
1133	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter
1134	
1135	
1136	% *****
1137	%
1138	% REFERENCE TO CONTROL Tru
1139	%
1140	

```
axes_vec_mag = [wmin, wmax, -40, 10];
1141
                \% Window to be used for
           magnitude
```

1143	% See plotbode.m under the folder "
	SDToolbox" for documentation
1144	$sys_cell = \{Truc_cell \{:, wbcount\}\};$
1145	plottypes = $[1;0];$
1146	<pre>ttl_cell = {['Reference to Control:</pre>
	$T_{ru}$ ; titlemod]};
1147	$lgd_text = \{ lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\} \};$
1148	$axes_cell = \{axes_vec_mag\};$
1149	
1150	$plotbode(sys_cell, wvec, plottypes,$
	ttl_cell, lgd_text, axes_cell,
	figcount);
1151	
1152	% SAVE FIGURE
1153	filename = ['Tru' filenamemod];
1154	if savefigs
1155	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);
1156	end
1157	
1158	figcount = figcount + 1; %

1159					
1160					
1161	end %	END	$\mathrm{for}$	hcount = $1$ :	
	${\tt length\_hvec}$				
1162					
1163	end				
1164					
1165					
1166	<b>%%</b>				
1167					
1168	% ***********				
1169	% ***********				
1170	%				
1171	% SD SIMULATIONS				
1172	%				
1173	% ************				
1174	% ************				
1175					
1176	% ************				
1177	%				
1178	% BOOLEAN CONTROL TO	DISAE	BLE/H	ENABLE THIS	
	SECTION				
1179	%				
1180	dosim = 1;				

## Increment figure counter

```
1181
   if dosim
1182
1183
1184 % ****************
   %
1185
1186 % STORAGE
1187 %
   t_simulink_cell = cell(length_hvec,
1188
                            % Holds time data
      length_wbvec);
   y_simulink_cell = cell(length_hvec,
1189
      length_wbvec);
                             % Holds output
      data
1190
   tu_simulink_cell = cell(length_hvec)
1191
                             % Holds time data
       length_wbvec);
       for control signal
   u_{simulink_{cell}} = cell(length_{hvec})
1192
                            % Holds control
      length_wbvec);
       signal data
1193
1194
   % ************
1195
   %
1196
1197 % SIMULATIONS
1198 %
```

```
1199
   % ************
1200
1201 🖔
1202 % MULTIPLIER OF RECIPROCAL OF BANDWIDTH
      TO STOP AT FOR SIMULATION TIME
1203 🖔
_{1204} % stop time = multiplier * 1 / wb
   %
1205
                                             %
   sim_stoptime_mult = 5;
1206
      changed from 5 to 0.1 on 11/28/2020,
      changed back to 5 on 12/5/2020
1207
    for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
1208
1209
       % *********
1210
       %
1211
       % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
1212
       %
1213
        wb = wbvec(wbcount);
1214
1215
       % **********
1216
       %
1217
       \% SET SIMULATION STOP TIME (sec)
1218
       %
1219
        sim_stoptime = sim_stoptime_mult * 1/
1220
```

 $\mathrm{wb};$ 

1221	$\% \qquad sim_stoptime = 5;$
1222	$set_param('SD_sim', 'StopTime',$
	$num2str(sim_stoptime));$
1223	
1224	for $hcount = 1: length_hvec$
1225	
1226	% *****
1227	%
1228	% EXTRACT CONTROLLER
1229	%
1230	$Kd = Kd_{cell} \{hcount, wbcount\};$
1231	
1232	% *******
1233	%
1234	% RUN SIMULINK SIMULATION
1235	%
1236	h = hvec(hcount);
1237	$simOut = sim('SD_sim');$ %
	Run simulation
1238	
1239	% *******
1240	%
1241	% SAVE OUTPUT DATA
1242	%

1243	$t_simulink_cell{hcount} =$
	$simOut.y\_simulink.Time;$
1244	$y_simulink_cell{hcount, wbcount} =$
	simOut.y_simulink.Data;
1245	
1246	$tu_simulink_cell{hcount}$
	= simOut.u_simulink.Time;
1247	$u_simulink_cell{hcount, wbcount} =$
	simOut.u_simulink.Data;
1248	
1249	
1250	end % END for bwfraccount
	$= 1: length_b w fracvec$
1251	
1252	end % END for hcount = 1:
	$length_hvec$
1253	
1254	
1255	
1256	78%
1257	
1258	% ******
1259	% ******
1260	%
1261	% CT SIMULATIONS
1262 % 1263 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 12651266 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1267% 1268 1269 % STORAGE % 1270  $_{1271}$  t\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec); % Holds time data 1272 y\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec); % Holds output data 1273  $tu_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 1274% Holds time data for control ; signal  $_{1275}$  u\_cell = cell(length\_hvec, length\_wbvec); % Holds control signal data 12761277 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1278% 12791280 % SIMULATIONS 1281 %

1282 for wbcount =  $1: length_wbvec$ 12831284% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1285 % 1286 % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb 1287 % 1288 wb = wbvec(wbcount);1289 1290 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1291 % 1292 % SET SIMULATION STOP TIME (sec) 1293 % 1294sim\_stoptime = sim\_stoptime\_mult \* 1/ 1295wb; %  $sim_stoptime = 5;$ 1296 1297for  $hcount = 1: length_hvec$ 1298 1299 % Output response 1300 [y\_cell{hcount,wbcount},t\_cell{ 1301  $hcount, wbcount \} = step($  $Tec_cell \{hcount, wbcount\},\$ sim\_stoptime);

% Control response 1303 [u\_cell{hcount,wbcount},tu\_cell{ 1304  $hcount, wbcount \} = step($  $\operatorname{Truc\_cell} \{ \operatorname{hcount}, \operatorname{wbcount} \},$ sim\_stoptime); 1305 1306 1307 % END for bwfraccount end 1308  $= 1: length_bwfracvec$ 1309 % END for hcount = 1: 1310 end length\_hvec 1311 1312 %1313 1314 1315 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1316 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1317 % 1318 % PLOT TIME-DOMAIN RESPONSES — DT 1319 % 1320 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1321 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1322

1324 🖔

```
1325 % BOOLEAN CONTROL TO PLOT ONE CT DESIGN
AT BEGINNING OF EACH PLOT
```

1326 🚿

```
1327 % If include_ct = true, then the first
continuous-time design corresponding
1328 % to the current value of wb (i.e., the
```

lowest h-value design for the

```
1329 % current value of wb) will be plotted in
front of each of the DT designs
```

1330 %

```
_{1331} include_ct = 1;
```

```
1332
```

1333

```
_{1334} for wbcount = 1:length_wbvec
```

1335

1338 % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb

```
1339
```

```
wb = wbvec(wbcount);
```

1344	% FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS
1345	%
1346	% Appended to the end of each file
	name to make names unique
1347	%
1348	filenamemod = [' - wb eq' strep(
	num2str(wb), '. ', 'p') ' DT'];
1349	$\% \qquad titlemod = ['   h = ' num2str(h) ',$
	$\operatorname{sec}$ '];
1350	titlemod = '';
1351	
1352	% *****
1353	%
1354	% STEP RESPONSE — OUTPUT y
1355	%
1356	
1357	figure (figcount)
1358	
1359	if include_ct
1360	
1361	$t_plot = t_cell \{1, wbcount\};$
1362	$y_plot = y_cell\{1, wbcount\};$
1363	
1364	$h_fig = plot(t_plot, y_plot);$
1365	$\operatorname{set}(h_{-}\operatorname{fig}, \operatorname{'LineWidth}, 2);$

1366	hold on	
1367		
1368	end	
1369		
1370	for $hcount = 1: length_hvec$	
1371		
1372	$h_fig = plot(t_simulink_cell{$	
	$hcount, wbcount\}$ ,	
	$y_simulink_cell{hcount}$ , wbcount	
	});	
1373	$\operatorname{set}(h_{-}\operatorname{fig}, \operatorname{'LineWidth'}, 2);$	
1374		
1375	if hcount $= 1$	
1376	hold on;	
1377	end	
1378		
1379	end % END for bwfraccount	
	$= 1: length_bwfracvec$	
1380		
1381	% FORMATTING	
1382	grid on;	
1383	title (['Output Response y - SD	
	Simulation ' titlemod ])	
1384	if include_ct	
1385	lgd_text = { 'CT design ' lgd_cell	

```
\{:, wbcount\}\};
```

1386	else
1387	$lgd_text = lgd_cell \{:, wbcount\};$
1388	$\operatorname{end}$
1389	$lgd = legend(lgd_text);$
1390	$\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{lgd}, \operatorname{'Location'}, \operatorname{'Best'});$
	Put legend in empty spot
1391	<pre>xlabel('Time (s)')</pre>
1392	ylabel('y(t)')
1393	
1394	% SAVE FIGURE
1395	filename = ['y_simulink' filenamemod
	];
1396	if savefigs
1397	savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
	);
1398	$\mathbf{end}$
1399	
1400	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter
1401	
1402	% *****
1403	%
1404	% STEP RESPONSE — CONTROL u
1405	%

1406 figure (figcount) 14071408 if include\_ct 1409 1410  $t_plot = tu_cell \{1, wbcount\};$ 1411  $u_{plot} = u_{cell} \{1, wbcount\};$ 14121413  $h_{-}fig = plot(t_{-}plot, u_{-}plot);$ 1414set(h\_fig, 'LineWidth',2); 1415 hold on 1416 1417 end 1418 1419for  $hcount = 1: length_hvec$ 1420 1421 $h_{fig} = stairs(tu_{simulink_{cell}})$ 1422hcount, wbcount } , u\_simulink\_cell{hcount, wbcount }); set(h\_fig, 'LineWidth',2); 14231424if hcount = 11425hold on; 1426end 1427

1428end % END for bwfraccount 1429 $= 1: length_b wfracvec$ 1430 % FORMATTING 1431 grid on; 1432title (['Control Response u - SD 1433 Simulation ' titlemod])  $lgd = legend(lgd_text);$ 1434set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best'); % 1435Put legend in empty spot xlabel('Time (s)') 1436 ylabel('u(t)')1437 1438% SAVE FIGURE 1439 $filename = ['u_simulink' filenamemod$ 1440]; if savefigs 1441 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 1442); end 1443 1444figcount = figcount + 1;% 1445Increment figure counter

```
% END for hcount = 1:
1447 end
      length_hvec
1448
1449
   \%
1450
1451
1452 \% ****************
1453 % **************
1454 🖔
1455 % PLOT TIME-DOMAIN RESPONSES — CT
1456 %
1457 % ***************
1458 % *************
1459
1460
   for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
1461
1462
       % *********
1463
       %
1464
       % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb
1465
       %
1466
       wb = wbvec(wbcount);
1467
1468
       % **********
1469
       %
1470
```

1471	% FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS
1472	%
1473	% Appended to the end of each file
	name to make names unique
1474	%
1475	filenamemod = [' — wb eq ' strrep(
	num2str(wb), '. ', 'p ') ' DT '];
1476	$\% \qquad titlemod = ['   h = ' num2str(h) '$
	$\operatorname{sec}$ '];
1477	titlemod = '';
1478	
1479	% ******
1480	%
1481	% STEP RESPONSE — OUTPUT y
1482	%
1483	
1484	figure(figcount)
1485	
1486	for $hcount = 1: length_hvec$
1487	
1488	$t_plot = t_cell \{hcount, wbcount\};$
1489	$y_plot = y_cell \{hcount, wbcount\};$
1490	
1491	$h_{fig} = plot(t_{plot}, y_{plot});$
1492	$set(h_fig, 'LineWidth', 2);$

1494	if hcount $= 1$
1405	hold on:
1435	and
1496	enu
1497	
1498	end % END for bwfraccount
	$= 1: length_bwfracvec$
1499	
1500	% FORMATTING
1501	grid on;
1502	<pre>title(['Output Response y' titlemod])</pre>
1503	$lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\});$
1504	set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best'); %
	Put legend in empty spot
1505	<pre>xlabel('Time (s)')</pre>
1506	<pre>ylabel('y(t)')</pre>
1507	$xlim([0,t_plot(end)])$
1508	
1509	% SAVE FIGURE
1510	<pre>filename = [ 'y_ct ' filenamemod];</pre>
1511	if savefigs
1512	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);
1513	end

1515	figcount = figcount + 1; %	
	Increment figure counter	
1516		
1517	% *****	
1518	%	
1519	% STEP RESPONSE — CONTROL u	
1520	%	
1521		
1522	figure(figcount)	
1523		
1524	for $hcount = 1: length_hvec$	
1525		
1526	$t_plot = tu_cell \{hcount, wbcount\}$	;
1527	$u_plot = u_cell \{hcount, wbcount\};$	
1528		
1529	$h_{fig} = plot(t_{plot}, u_{plot});$	
1530	$set(h_fig, 'LineWidth', 2);$	
1531		
1532	if hcount $= 1$	
1533	hold on;	
1534	end	
1535		
1536	end % END for bwfraccoun	t
	$= 1: length_bwfracvec$	

```
% FORMATTING
1538
        grid on;
1539
         title (['Control Response u' titlemod
1540
            ])
        lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:, wbcount\});
1541
        set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best');
                                                    %
1542
             Put legend in empty spot
        xlabel('Time (s)')
1543
        ylabel('u(t)')
1544
        xlim([0, t_plot(end)])
1545
1546
        % SAVE FIGURE
1547
        filename = ['u_ct' filenamemod];
1548
         if savefigs
1549
             savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
1550
                 );
        end
1551
1552
         figcount = figcount + 1;
                                               %
1553
            Increment figure counter
1554
                           % END for hcount = 1:
   \operatorname{end}
1555
       length_hvec
1556
1557 end
```

```
1558
1559
   %
1560
1561
1562
1563 % **************
1565 %
1566 % H–INFINITY EQUIVALENT GENERALIZED PLANT
       G_{eq}, d FREQUENCY RESPONSES
1567 %
1568 % ***************
   % ************
1569
1570
1571 % **************
1572 %
1573 % H–INFINITY EQUIVALENT GENERALIZED PLANT
       G_{eq,d} MAGNITUDE RESPONSE
1574 %
1575
  plotbode_Geqd = 0;
1576
1577
   if plotbode_Geqd
1578
1579
   for i = 1: size(Geqd, 1)
1580
```

1582 for 
$$j = 1: size(Geqd, 2)$$

```
G_{-temp} = Geqd(i, j);
```

1586 figure (figcount);

```
systemname = ['G_{eq,d}] ('
num2str(i) ', ' num2str(j) ')'
];
```

1590	% See plotbode.m under the folder
	"SDToolbox" for documentation
1591	$sys\_cell = \{G\_temp\};$
1592	plottypes = $[1;0];$
1593	$ttl_cell = \{[' ' system name', (e^{})\}$
	$j \in [h]   '];$
1594	$lgd_text = \{\};$
1595	$axes_cell = \{\};$
1596	
1597	<pre>plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_d,</pre>
	plottypes, ttl_cell, lgd_text,
	<pre>axes_cell , figcount);</pre>

```
xline(wn, '-.', '\setminus omega_n', ...
```

'LineWidth', 1.25, '

LabelOrientation', 'horizontal'

,...

'FontWeight', 'bold', 'FontSize'

,15);

1602

1601

1600

end

1608

1607

1609

figcount = figcount + 1; %

Increment figure counter

1620	
1621	for $i = 1: size(Teqd, 1)$
1622	
1623	for $j = 1: size(Teqd, 2)$
1624	
1625	$T_{temp} = Teqd(i, j);$
1626	
1627	<pre>figure(figcount);</pre>
1628	
1629	systemname = $['T_{-} \{eq, d w_{-}'\}$
	$num2str(j)$ 'z_' $num2str(i)$ '}'
	];
1630	
1631	% See plotbode.m under the folder
	"SDToolbox" for documentation
1632	$sys\_cell = \{T\_temp\};$
1633	plottypes = $[1;0];$
1634	$ttl_cell = \{ [' ' system name', (e^{}) \}$
	$j \in [h]   ; ];$
1635	$lgd_text = \{\};$
1636	$axes_cell = \{\};$
1637	
1638	plotbode(sys_cell, wvec_d,

plottypes , ttl\_cell , lgd\_text , axes\_cell , figcount);

figcount = figcount + 1; %

Increment figure counter

1659 % 1660 % H–INFINITY EQUIVALENT GENERALIZED PLANT  $G_{eq}, d$  SINGULAR VALUES % 1661 1662plotsvs = 1; 1663 1664 if plotsvs 16651666 for wbcount =  $1: length_wbvec$ 1667 1668 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1669 % 1670 % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb 1671% 1672wb = wbvec(wbcount);16731674% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1675% 1676% FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS 1677% 1678% Appended to the end of each file 1679name to make names unique % 1680 filenamemod = [', '];1681

1682	% titlemod = ['   $h = ' num2str(h)$ '	
	$\operatorname{sec}$ '];	
1683	titlemod = '';	
1684		
1685	% *****	
1686	%	
1687	% DETERMINE X-AXIS LIMITS	
1688	%	
1689	$tmp = wvec_d_cell\{1,1\};$	
1690	wmin = $tmp(1)$ ;	
1691	$tmp = wvec_d_cell\{1, end\};$	
1692	wmax = $tmp(end)$ ;	
1693		
1694	% ******	
1695	%	
1696	% LOOP ON h	
1697	%	
1698	figure (figcount)	
1699		
1700	for $hcount = 1: length_hvec$	
1701		
1702	% Extract frequency vector	
1703	$wvec_d = wvec_d_cell \{hcount,$	
	$wbcount \};$	

1705	% Calculate singular values
1706	$svs = sigma(Geqd_cell{hcount},$
	$wbcount \}, wvec_d);$
1707	$sv_max = max(svs);$ % Max svs
1708	$sv_{min} = min(svs);$ % Min svs
1709	
1710	% Append data together for one
	plot entry
1711	$wvec_d_ap = [wvec_d nan wvec_d];$
1712	$svs_ap = [sv_min nan sv_max];$
1713	
1714	$h_{fig} = semilogx(wvec_d_ap , 20*)$
	$log10(svs_ap));$
1715	$\operatorname{set}(h_{\operatorname{fig}}, \operatorname{'LineWidth'}, 2);$
1716	
1717	if hcount == 1
1718	hold on;
1719	end
1720	
1721	end % END for bwfraccount
	$= 1: length_b w fracvec$
1722	
1723	% FORMATTING
1724	grid on;
1725	title('Max. and Min. Singular Values

```
of G_{-}\{eq,d\}', 'fontsize', 20)
        lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:, wbcount\});
1726
        set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best');
                                                  %
1727
            Put legend in empty spot
        xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)', 'fontsize'
1728
            ,20)
        ylabel('Singular Values (dB)','
1729
           fontsize',20)
        xlim ([wmin,wmax])
1730
        set(gca, 'fontsize',20);
1731
1732
        % SAVE FIGURE
1733
        filename = ['Geqd_svs_vs_h'
1734
           filenamemod];
        if savefigs
1735
             savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
1736
                );
        end
1737
1738
        figcount = figcount + 1;
                                             %
1739
           Increment figure counter
1740
1741
                          % END for hcount = 1:
1742 end
       length_hvec
```

17431744end 174517461747%174817491750 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1751 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1752 % 1753 % H–INFINITY EQUIVALENT GENERALIZED PLANT  $G_{eq}, d$  CHARACTERISTICS 1754 % 1755 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 17561757 1758 for wbcount =  $1: length_wbvec$ 17591760 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1761% 1762% CURRENT BANDWIDTH wb 1763% 1764wb = wbvec(wbcount);1765

% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1767 1768 % FILE NAME/PLOT TITLE MODIFIERS 1769 % 1770 % Appended to the end of each file 1771 name to make names unique % 1772filenamemod = [', ];1773 titlemod = [' | h = ' num2str(h) '1774 %  $\operatorname{sec}$  ']; titlemod = ''; 17751776 % \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1777 % 1778 % NUMBER OF INPUTS VS. h 1779 % 1780figure(figcount); 17811782 %  $h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec),$ Geqd\_numin\_mat(:,wbcount), 'bo',... 1783 % hvec , Geqd\_numin\_mat(:, wbcount) , 'b−−');  $\operatorname{set}(h_{\operatorname{fig}}(1), \operatorname{LineWidth}', 2);$ 1784 🖔 1785 %  $\operatorname{set}(h_{\operatorname{fig}}(2), \operatorname{LineWidth}', 2);$  $h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec, Geqd_numin_mat)$ 1786 (:, wbcount));

1787	$\operatorname{set}(h_{\operatorname{fig}}, \operatorname{'LineWidth'}, 2);$
1788	hold on;
1789	
1790	grid on;
1791	title('Number of Inputs of $G_{\text{-}}\{eq,d\}$
	vs. h')
1792 %	$lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\});$
1793 %	$\operatorname{set}\left(\operatorname{lgd}, \operatorname{'Location'}, \operatorname{'Best'}\right);$
	% Put legend in empty spot
1794	<pre>xlabel('h (sec)')</pre>
1795	<pre>ylabel('Number of Inputs')</pre>
1796	ylim([3,5])
1797	
1798	
1799	% SAVE FIGURE
1800	filename = ['Geqd_numin_vs_h'
	filenamemod];
1801	if savefigs
1802	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);
1803	end
1804	
1805	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter

1807		
1808		% *****
1809		%
1810		% NUMBER OF OUTPUTS VS. h
1811		%
1812		<pre>figure(figcount);</pre>
1813	%	$h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec),$
		$Geqd_numout_mat(:,wbcount), 'bo', \dots$
1814	%	${\rm hvec}\;,\;\;{\rm Geqd\_numout\_mat}(:,{\rm wbcount}$
		), 'b');
1815	%	$\operatorname{set}(h_{fig}(1), \operatorname{LineWidth}, 2);$
1816	%	$\operatorname{set}(h_{fig}(2), \operatorname{LineWidth}, 2);$
1817		$h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec),$
		$Geqd_numout_mat(:,wbcount));$
1818		$set(h_fig, 'LineWidth', 2);$
1819		hold on;
1820		
1821		grid on;
1822		title('Number of Outputs of $G_{\text{-}}\{eq,d\}$
		vs. h')
1823	%	$lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\});$
1824	%	$\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{lgd}, \operatorname{'Location'}, \operatorname{'Best'});$
		% Put legend in empty spot
1825		<pre>xlabel('h (sec)')</pre>
1826		ylabel('Number of Outputs')

ylim([3,5]) 182718281829 % SAVE FIGURE 1830 filename = ['Geqd\_numout\_vs\_h' 1831 filenamemod]; if savefigs 1832 savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename 1833 ); end 1834 1835 figcount = figcount + 1;% 1836 Increment figure counter 1837 1838% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1839% 1840 % NUMBER OF INPUTS AND OUTPUTS VS. h 1841 % 1842figure(figcount); 1843 $h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec, Geqd_numin_mat)$ 1844(:,wbcount)); set(h\_fig, 'LineWidth',2); 1845hold on; 1846  $h_{-}fig = semilogx(hvec,$ 1847

## $Geqd_numout_mat(:,wbcount));$

1848	$\operatorname{set}(h_{\operatorname{fig}}, \operatorname{'LineWidth'}, 2);$
1849	
1850	grid on;
1851	title ('Number of Inputs and Outputs
	of $G_{-}\{eq,d\}$ vs. h')
1852	lgd = legend('Inputs', 'Outputs');
1853	set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best'); %
	Put legend in empty spot
1854	<pre>xlabel('h (sec)')</pre>
1855	<pre>ylabel('Number of Inputs/Outputs')</pre>
1856	ylim([3,5])
1857	
1858	
1859	% SAVE FIGURE
1860	filename = ['Geqd_numin_numout_vs_h'
	filenamemod];
1861	if savefigs
1862	savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
	);
1863	end
1864	
1865	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter

```
1867
        % **********
1868
        %
1869
        % ORDER OF GENERALIZED PLANT G_{eq}, d
1870
             VS. h
        %
1871
        figure(figcount);
1872
        h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec, Geqd_order_mat)
1873
            (:, wbcount));
        set(h_fig, 'LineWidth',2);
1874
        hold on;
1875
1876
         grid on;
1877
         title ('Order of G_{-} \{eq, d\} vs. h')
1878
        xlabel('h (sec)')
1879
        ylabel('Order of G_{-}\{eq,d\}')
1880
1881
1882
        % SAVE FIGURE
1883
        filename = ['Geqd_order_vs_h'
1884
            filenamemod];
         if savefigs
1885
             savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
1886
                 );
        end
1887
```

1888	
1889	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter
1890	
1891	
1892	% ********
1893	%
1894	% ORDER OF MINIMUM REALIZATION OF
	GENERALIZED PLANT minreal( $G_{-}$ {eq,d
	}) VS. h
1895	%
1896	<pre>figure(figcount);</pre>
1897	$h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec),$
	Geqd_minreal_order_mat(:,wbcount))
	;
1898	$set(h_fig, 'LineWidth', 2);$
1899	hold on;
1900	
1901	grid on;
1902	title('Order of minreal( $G_{-}$ {eq,d}) vs.
	h ' )
1903	<pre>xlabel('h (sec)')</pre>
1904	ylabel('Order of minreal( $G_{-}$ {eq,d})')
1905	

1907		% SAVE FIGURE
1908		filename = ['Geqd_minreal_order_vs_h'
		filenamemod];
1909		if savefigs
1910		savepdf(figcount, relpath, filename
		);
1911		end
1912		
1913		figcount = figcount + 1; %
		Increment figure counter
1914		
1915		
1916		
1917		% *****
1918		%
1919		$\%$ OPTIMAL PERFORMANCE gamma_opt VS. h
1920		%
1921		<pre>figure(figcount);</pre>
1922	%	$h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec, gamma_d_mat)$
		$(:, wbcount), ibo', \dots$
1923	%	$hvec, gamma_d_mat(:, wbcount),$
		b');
1924	%	$\operatorname{set}(h_{-}\operatorname{fig}(1), \operatorname{'LineWidth'}, 2);$
1925	%	$\operatorname{set}(h_{fig}(2), \operatorname{LineWidth}', 2);$
1926		$h_{fig} = semilogx(hvec, gamma_d_mat(:,$

	wbcount));
1927	$\operatorname{set}(h_{fig}, '\operatorname{LineWidth}', 2);$
1928	hold on;
1929	
1930	grid on;
1931	title('Optimal Performance $\mbox{gamma}_{\$
	opt } vs. h')
1932 %	$lgd = legend(lgd_cell\{:,wbcount\});$
1933 🖔	set(lgd, 'Location', 'Best');
	% Put legend in empty spot
1934	<pre>xlabel('h (sec)')</pre>
1935	$ylabel(' \otimes amma_{opt}')$
1936 %	ylim ([2,6])
1937	
1938	
1939	% SAVE FIGURE
1940	filename = ['gamma_opt_vs_h'
	filenamemod];
1941	if savefigs
1942	<pre>savepdf(figcount , relpath , filename</pre>
	);
1943	end
1944	
1945	figcount = figcount + 1; %
	Increment figure counter

194619471948 1949 1950 1951 % END for hcount = 1: end 1952length\_hvec 1953 1954 % Generate discrete controllers using the Bilinear approximation (c2d with " tustin") 1955 $Kz_cell = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 1956% Holds Discretized controllers ; (Bilinear approximation)  $Kz2_{cell} = cell(length_hvec, length_wbvec)$ 1957% Holds Discretized controllers ); (Direct Design) 1958% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1959% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1960 1961 🖔 1962 % H–INFINITY CONTROLLERS DISCRETIZED USING THE BILINEAR APPROXIMATION

```
1963 %
1964 % ***********
   % ***********
1965
1966
    for wbcount = 1: length_wbvec
1967
1968
        % **********
1969
        %
1970
        % CURRENT BANDWIDTH wg
1971
        %
1972
        wb = wbvec(wbcount);
1973
1974
1975
        for hcount = 1: length_hvec
1976
1977
            % ********
1978
            %
1979
            % CURRENT SAMPLING PERIOD h
1980
            %
1981
            h = hvec(hcount);
1982
1983
1984
            % ********
1985
            %
1986
            % DISCRETIZE THE ANALOG
1987
```

## CONTROLLER FOR THIS h USING

## THE BILINEAR

1988	% APPROXIMATION
1989	%
1990	$[A, B, C, D] = \operatorname{ssdata}(\operatorname{Kc});$
1991	$[\operatorname{Abt}, \operatorname{Bbt}, \operatorname{Cbt}, \operatorname{Dbt}] = f_{-} \operatorname{bilin} (A,$
	B, C, D, h);
1992	% $Kz_cell{hcount}, wbcount} = c2d($
	$\operatorname{Kc}$ , h, 'tustin');
1993	$Kz_{cell}$ {hcount, wbcount} = ss (Abt,
	$Bbt,\ Cbt,\ Dbt,\ h);$
1994	$Kz2\_cell{hcount, wbcount} =$
	direct_design(Gc, h);
1995	
1996	end
1997	
1998	
1999	end
2000	%% SD Time Domain Simulations using "
	sdlsim" and Induced-L2 Norm
2001	
2002	%
2003	% CREATE VECTORS FOR THE NORMS
2004	%
2005	
20072008 % close all % figcount = figcount + 1;2009 Increment figure counter 2010 %  $_{2011}$  % "sdlsim": Time response of sampled-data feedback system 2012 % 2013  $_{2014}$  % Create a string to be used as the legend  $\operatorname{str} = [];$ 2015for  $hcount = 1: length_hvec$ 2016temp = ['h = ', num2str(hvec(hcount) 2017 .\*1e6), 'us '];  $str\{hcount\} = temp;$ 2018end 20192020 close all; 20212022  $_{2023}$  H1 = [];  $_{2024}$  H2 = [];  $_{2025}$  H3 = []; for  $hcount = 1: length_hvec$ 2026

2021	
2028	fprintf('\n\n ITERATION: $\%3.2d$ OF
	$3.2d \ (n n', hcount, length_hvec);$
2029	% Store the hcount^th controller
2030	$Kd_temp = Kd_cell{hcount};$
2031	$Kz_temp = Kz_cell \{hcount\};$
2032	$Kz2_temp = Kz2_cell{hcount};$
2033	
2034	% Store the hcount th time vector and
	final time
2035	$t_t = t_simulink_cell{hcount};$
2036	$t_final = t_temp(end);$
2037	
2038	% Reference signal
2039	$ref = ones(length(t_temp), 1);$
2040	
2041	$\%$ Generalized plant: r $\rightarrow$ y
2042	$Gry = \begin{bmatrix} 0, & P & ; \end{bmatrix}$
2043	F, -F*P ] ;
2044	%
2045	$[n_x p, ] = size(Ap);$
2046	$[n_xf,] = size(Af);$
2047	nw = 1;
2048	nz = 1;
2049	nu = 1;

2050	%				
2051	$A_ry = [$	Ap,	zeros(1	n_xp,n_xf	)
	;				
2052		-Bf*Cp	, Af	]	;
2053	%				
2054	$B1_ry = [$	zeros (	n_xp, nw)	) ;	
2055		Bf	]	;	
2056	$B2_ry = [$	Вр		;	
2057		-Bf*Dp	]	;	
2058	$B_ry = [B1]$	ry, B2	2_ry ]	;	
2059	%				
2060	$C1_ry = [$	Cp,	zeros (1	nz, nxf)	
	];				
2061	$C2_ry = [$	-Df*Cp	, Cf		
	];				
2062					
2063	$C_{-}ry = [$	C1_ry			;
2064		$C2_ry$	]		;
2065	%				
2066	D11_ry =	[ ze	ros(nz, r	nw) ]	;
2067	$D12_ry =$	[ Dp		]	;
2068	$D21_ry =$	[ Df		]	;
2069	$D22_ry =$	[ —D	)f*Dp	]	;
2070					
2071	$D_{-}ry =$	[ D1	1_ry,	D12_ry	

		;
2072		D21_ry, D22_ry]
		;
2073		%
2074		$G_{-ry} = ss(A_{-ry}, B_{-ry}, C_{-ry}, D_{-ry});$
2075		
2076	%	G_ry IS CORRECT !!!
2077	%	disp('CHECK TH E MODELS:');
2078	%	$\operatorname{disp}(\operatorname{'CORRECT}\operatorname{MODEL}\operatorname{FOR}\operatorname{COMPARISON}$
		: ');
2079	%	$\mathrm{zpk}(\mathrm{Gry})$
2080	%	disp('MODEL DERIVED:');
2081	%	$\mathrm{zpk}\left(\mathrm{G_{-}ry} ight)$
2082	%	
2083	%	load handel
2084	%	$\mathrm{sound}(\mathrm{y},\mathrm{Fs})$
2085		
2086		$\%$ Generalized plant: r $\rightarrow$ u
2087		one = $6e3/(s+6e3);$
2088		Wr = ss(one);
2089		$\left[\operatorname{Ar}, \operatorname{Br}, \operatorname{Cr}, \operatorname{Dr}\right] = \operatorname{ssdata}(\operatorname{Wr});$
2090		$[n_{xr}, ] = size(Ar);$
2091		%
2092		$\mathrm{Gru} = [ 0, \qquad \mathrm{one} \qquad ;$
2093		F, -F*P ] ;

% \_\_\_\_\_ 2094  $A_r u = [Ap,$ zeros 2095  $(n_xp, n_xf), zeros(n_xp, n_xr)$ ; -Bf\*Cp, Af, 2096 zeros (  $n_xf$ ,  $n_xr$ ) ; zeros (n\_xr, n\_xp), zeros 2097  $(n_xr, n_xf)$ , Ar

];

% ------2098  $B1_ru = [$  zeros (n\_xp, nw) 2099 ; Bf ; 2100 zeros(n\_xr, nw) ] ; 2101 $B2_ru = [$ Bp ; 2102-Bf\*Dp ; 2103 $\operatorname{Br}$ ; ] 2104 $B_ru = [B1_ru, B2_ru];$ 2105% \_\_\_\_\_ 2106  $C1\_ru = \begin{bmatrix} z eros(nz, n\_xp), \end{bmatrix}$ 2107  $zeros(nz, n_xf)$ ,  $\operatorname{Cr}$ ];  $C2_ru = [ -Df*Cp,$ 2108Cf, **zeros**(ny, n\_xr) ];

2109C\_ru = [ C1\_ru ; 2110 $C2_ru$ ] ; 2111% -21122113 $\begin{bmatrix} z \operatorname{eros}(nz, nw) \end{bmatrix}$  $D11_ru =$ ] ; 2114 $\begin{bmatrix} zeros(nz, nu) \end{bmatrix}$  $D12_ru =$ ] 2115;  $\mathrm{Df}$  $D21_ru =$ ſ ] 2116 ;  $D22_ru =$ [ -Df\*Dp ] ; 2117 2118 D11\_ru, D12\_ru D\_ru = ſ 2119 ; D22\_ru D21\_ru, ] 2120 ; % -2121  $G_{ru} = ss(A_{ru}, B_{ru}, C_{ru}, D_{ru});$ 212221232124 % G\_ru IS CORRECT !!! 2125 % disp ('CHECK THE MODELS:'); disp ('CORRECT MODEL FOR COMPARISON 2126 % : '); zpk(Gru) 2127 🚿 disp('MODEL DERIVED:'); 2128 🖔 2129 % zpk(G\_ru)

2130 % 2131 % load handel sound(y, Fs)2132 % 2133 % Generalized plant: r  $\rightarrow$  e 2134Gre = [Wr, -Wr\*P; ]2135F, -F\*P ] ; 2136% \_\_\_\_\_ 2137 $A_{-}re = [Ap,$ zeros 2138  $(n_xp, n_xf), zeros(n_xp, n_xr)$ ; -Bf\*Cp, Af, 2139zeros (  $n_xf$ ,  $n_xr$ ) ; zeros(n\_xr,n\_xp), zeros 2140 $(n_xr, n_xf), Ar$ ] ; % — 2141 $B1_re = \begin{bmatrix} zeros(n_xp, nw) \end{bmatrix}$ ; 2142Bf 2143;  $\operatorname{Br}$ ] ; 2144 $B2_re = [$ Bp ; 2145-Bf\*Dp 2146 $zeros(n_xr, nu)$ ] ; 2147 $B_{re} = [B1_{re}, B2_{re}];$ 2148

2149 %  
2150 
$$C1\_re = [$$
  $zeros(nz, n\_xp),$   
 $zeros(nz, n\_xf), Cr$   
];  
2151  $C2\_re = [$   $-Df*Cp,$   
 $Cf,$   $zeros(ny, n\_xr)$   
];  
2152  
2153  $C\_re = [$   $C1\_re$  ;  
2154  $C2\_re$  ] ;  
2155 %  
2156 2157  $D11\_re = [$   $zeros(nz, nw)$  ] ;  
2158  $D12\_re = [$   $zeros(nz, nu)$  ] ;  
2159  $D21\_re = [$   $Df$  ] ;  
2159  $D21\_re = [$   $Df$  ] ;  
2160  $D22\_re = [$   $-Df*Dp$  ] ;  
2161 2162  $D\_re = [$   $D11\_re,$   $D12\_re$   
;  
2163  $D\_re = [$   $D11\_re,$   $D12\_re$  ;  
2164 %  
G\\_re = ss(A\\_re, B\\_re, C\\_re, D\\_re);  
2166

2185	% COMPUTE THE INDUCED L2 NORM FOR Try
2186	$fprintf('\n Try: \n');$
2187	$[GAML, GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_ry,$
	Kz_temp);
2188	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2189	disp(['(Bilinear) Induced L2 Norm of
	T: w $\rightarrow$ yp is ', num2str( norm )]);
2190	$Try_norm_bilin(hcount) = norm;$
2191	
2192	$[GAML, GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_ry,$
	$Kz2\_temp);$
2193	<b>norm</b> = $(\text{GAML+GAMU}) / 2;$
2194	disp(['(Bilinear) Induced L2 Norm of
	T: w $\rightarrow$ yp is ', num2str( norm )]);
2195	$Try_norm_dd(hcount) = norm;$
2196	
2197	$[GAML, GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_ry,$
	Kd_temp);
2198	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2199	disp(['(SD) Induced L2 Norm of T: w
	$\rightarrow$ yp is ', num2str( norm )]);
2200	$Try_norm_sd(hcount) = norm;$
2201	
2202	$fprintf('\n Tru: \n');$
2203	$[GAML,GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_ru,$

## $Kz_temp$ );

2204	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2205	disp(['(Bilinear) Induced L2 Norm of
	T: w $\rightarrow$ u is ', num2str( norm )]);
2206	$Tru_norm_bilin(hcount) = norm;$
2207	
2208	$[GAML, GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_ru,$
	$\mathrm{Kd}_{\mathrm{-temp}}$ ;
2209	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2210	disp(['(SD) Induced L2 Norm of T: w
	$\rightarrow$ u is ', num2str( norm )]);
2211	$Tru_norm_sd(hcount) = norm;$
2212	
2213	$fprintf('\n Tre: \n');$
2214	$[GAML,GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_re,$
	Kz_temp);
2215	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2216	disp(['(Bilinear) Induced L2 Norm of
	T: w $\rightarrow$ e is ', num2str( norm )]);
2217	$Tre_norm_bilin(hcount) = norm;$
2218	
2219	$[GAML,GAMU] = sdhinfnorm(G_re,$
	$\mathrm{Kd}_{\mathrm{-temp}}$ ;
2220	norm = (GAML+GAMU) / 2;
2221	disp(['(SD) Induced L2 Norm of T: w

	$\rightarrow$ e is ', num2str( norm )]);
2222	$Tre_norm_sd(hcount) = norm;$
2223	
2224	% Time response of SD System from
	MATLAB (Plot the SD Time Response
2225	% (using "sdlsim") and store the data
	)
2226	$[VT, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }] = sdlsim(Gry, Kd_temp, ref$
	, $t_temp$ , $t_final$ );
2227	$t_{-}ry = VT\{1\};$
2228	$y_t = VT\{2\};$
2229	
2230	$[VT, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }] = sdlsim(Gre, Kd_temp, ref$
	, $t_temp$ , $t_final$ );
2231	$t_{-}re = VT\{1\};$
2232	$e_{-}t = VT\{2\};$
2233	
2234 %	$[VT, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }, \tilde{\ }] = sdlsim(Gru, Kd_temp,$
	$ref$ , $t_temp$ , $t_final$ );
2235 %	$t_{-}ru = VT\{1\};$
2236 %	$\mathbf{u}_{-}\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}\{2\};$
2237	
2238	
2239	% Plot the time domain responses
2240	<pre>figure(figcount);</pre>

2241	$h1 = plot(t_re, e_t, ': ', 'linewidth')$
	, 2);
2242	grid on; hold on;
2243	<pre>xlabel('Time (sec)', 'fontsize', 20);</pre>
2244	ylabel('Error: $e(t)$ ', 'fontsize', 20);
2245	title('SD Time Response: Error (
	sdlsim)', 'fontsize', 20);
2246	<pre>set(gca, 'fontsize', 20);</pre>
2247	H1 = [H1, h1];
2248	
2249	<pre>figure(figcount+1);</pre>
2250	$h2 = plot(t_ry, y_t, ': ', 'linewidth')$
	, 2);
2251	grid on; hold on;
2252	<pre>xlabel('Time (sec)', 'fontsize', 20);</pre>
2253	<pre>ylabel('Output: y(t)', 'fontsize', 20)</pre>
	;
2254	title('SD Time Response: Output (
	sdlsim)', 'fontsize', 20);
2255	<pre>set(gca, 'fontsize', 20);</pre>
2256	$legend(h2, str{hcount});$
2257	H2 = [H2, h2];
2258	
2259	% figure (figcount+2);
2260	% $h3 = plot(t_ru, u_t, ': ', '$

linewidth ', 2);

2261	% grid on; hold on;
2262	% xlabel('Time (sec)', 'fontsize', 20)
	;
2263	% ylabel('Control: u(t)', 'fontsize',
	20);
2264	% title('SD Time Response: Control (
	sdlsim)','fontsize', 20);
2265	% set(gca, 'fontsize', 20);
2266	$\%$ legend (h3, str{hcount});
2267	% H3 = [H3, h3];
2268	
2269	
2270	end
2271	legend(H1,str);
2272	legend(H2,str);
2273	legend(H3,str);
2274	
2275	%% Continuous-time System and H-infinity
	Norms
2276	
2277	$npts = length(Tre_norm_bilin);$ %
	NUMBER OF POINTS
2278	

2279 % CONTINUOUS-TIME CLOSED-LOOP MAPS

```
Try = lft(Gry, Kc);
2280
   Tre = lft (Gre, Kc);
2281
   Tru = lft (Gru, Kc);
2282
2283
   % H-INFINITY NORMS
2284
    Try_hinf_norm = hinfnorm(Try);
2285
   Try_hinf_norm = Try_hinf_norm * ones(1,
2286
       npts);
   Tre_hinf_norm = hinfnorm(Tre);
2287
    Tre_hinf_norm = Tre_hinf_norm * ones(1,
2288
       npts);
    Tru_hinf_norm = hinfnorm(Tru);
2289
    Tru_hinf_norm = Tru_hinf_norm * ones(1,
2290
       npts);
   %% Plot the induced L2 Norms
2291
2292
   hvec_us = hvec * 1e6;
2293
2294
    figure(figcount+2);
2295
   plot(hvec_us, Tre_norm_bilin, 'b:', '
2296
       linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2297
   plot(hvec_us, Tre_hinf_norm, 'g-', '
2298
       linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2299
```

```
plot (hvec_us, Tre_norm_sd, 'r-', '
2300
      linewidth', 2);
   xlabel('h (in usec)', 'fontsize', 19);
2301
   ylabel('||T_{re}||_{L2}', 'fontsize', 19)
2302
       ;
   title ('Induced-L2 Norm of Sensitivity, |
2303
      Tre ', 'fontsize', 19);
   set(gca, 'fontsize', 19);
2304
   legend('bilin', 'HINF norm', 'sd');
2305
2306
   figure (figcount +3);
2307
   plot(hvec_us, Tru_norm_bilin, 'b:', '
2308
       linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2309
   plot (hvec_us, Tru_hinf_norm, 'g-', '
2310
      linewidth', 2);
2311 grid on; hold on;
_{2312} plot (hvec_us, Tru_norm_sd, 'r-', '
      linewidth', 2);
2313 xlabel('h (in usec)', 'fontsize', 19);
2314 ylabel('||T_{ru} || [L2]', 'fontsize', 19)
       ;
2315 title ('Induced-L2 Norm of Sensitivity, |
      Tru | ', 'fontsize', 19);
<sup>2316</sup> set (gca, 'fontsize', 19);
```

```
legend('bilin', 'HINF norm', 'sd');
2317
2318
   figure (figcount+4);
2319
   plot(hvec_us, Try_norm_bilin, 'b:', '
2320
       linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2321
   plot (hvec_us, Try_norm_dd, 'r:', '
2322
      linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2323
<sup>2324</sup> plot (hvec_us, Try_norm_sd, 'g_', '
       linewidth', 2);
   grid on; hold on;
2325
   plot (hvec_us, Try_hinf_norm, 'k:', '
2326
       linewidth', 2);
   xlabel('h (in usec)', 'fontsize', 19);
2327
   ylabel('||T_{ry}||_{L2}, ||T_{ry}||_{H-
2328
       Inf { ', 'fontsize', 19);
   title ('Induced-L2 Norm of Closed-loop
2329
       System, |Try|', 'fontsize', 19);
   set(gca, 'fontsize', 19);
2330
   legend ('Indirect Design', 'Direct Design'
2331
       , 'Lifting-based Design', 'HINF norm')
       ;
2332
        load handel
2333
```

```
224
```

sound(y, Fs)

2335

```
2336 % save('Data to Compare – Aug 9 – 2021', 'Tre_norm_bilin');
```

2337

2338 % Closed-loop SD Time Responses

2339

2340 % CHECK Try TOO !!!

2341

2342 % MEANING OF THE SUBSCRIPTS:

```
_{2343} % z \rightarrow bilinear transformation of the cont.-time controller
```

2344 % d -> direct-sd controller design

2345

```
2346 % Switching Frequency: 4.5455 kHz, h = 220 us (unstable)
```

```
_{2347} h_unstable = hvec(end);
```

```
_{2348} Kz_unstable = Kz_cell{end};
```

 $_{2349}$  Kz2\_unstable = Kz2\_cell{end};

```
_{2350} Kd_unstable = Kd_cell{end};
```

2351

```
_{2352} [ET, \tilde{, , , , }] = sdlsim(Gre, Kz_unstable, ref
```

, 
$$t_temp$$
,  $t_final$ );

 $_{2353}$  t\_z\_unstable = ET{1};

2354  $\operatorname{error}_{z_{unstable_t}} = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};$ 

```
[ET, \tilde{,}, \tilde{,}] = sdlsim(Gre, Kz2\_unstable,
2356
        ref, t_temp, t_final);
    t_z 2_u nstable = ET\{1\};
2357
     \operatorname{error}_{z2}\operatorname{unstable}_{t} = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};
2358
2359
     [ET, \tilde{,}, \tilde{,}] = sdlsim(Gre, Kd_unstable, ref
2360
         , t_{t_{min}}, t_{final};
    t_d_unstable = ET\{1\};
2361
     \operatorname{error}_d \operatorname{unstable}_t = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};
2362
2363
    figure (figcount+6);
2364
    plot (t_z_unstable.*1e3,
2365
        error_z_unstable_t , 'b:', 'linewidth',
          2);
    grid on; hold on;
2366
_{2367} plot (t<sub>z</sub>2_unstable.*1e3,
        error_z2_unstable_t , 'r:', 'linewidth'
         , 2);
    grid on; hold on;
2368
<sup>2369</sup> plot (t_d_unstable.*1e3,
        error_d_unstable_t , 'g-', 'linewidth'
         , 2);
2370 xlabel('t (in msec)', 'fontsize', 19);
2371 ylabel('e(t)', 'fontsize', 19);
```

```
title ('Error, e(t). h = 220 \mbox{mus}, f_{-} \{sw\}
2372
        = 4.5455 \text{ kHz}', \text{ 'fontsize'}, 19);
    set(gca, 'fontsize', 19);
2373
2374 legend ('Indirect Design', 'Direct Design'
         , 'Lifting-based Design');
2375
2376 % Switching Frequency: 100 kHz, h = 10 us
          (stable)
    h_{stable} = hvec(1);
2377
     Kz\_stable = Kz\_cell{1};
2378
     Kz2\_stable = Kz2\_cell{1};
2379
     Kd_stable = Kd_cell \{1\};
2380
2381
     [ET, \tilde{,}, \tilde{,}] = sdlsim(Gre, Kz_stable, ref,
2382
         t_temp, t_final);
     t_z stable = ET{1};
2383
     \operatorname{error}_{z}\operatorname{stable}_{t} = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};
2384
2385
     [ET, \tilde{,}, \tilde{,}] = sdlsim(Gre, Kz2_stable, ref,
2386
          t_temp, t_final);
     t_z 2_s table = ET\{1\};
2387
     \operatorname{error}_{z2}\operatorname{stable}_{t} = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};
2388
2389
     [ET, \tilde{,}, \tilde{,}] = sdlsim(Gre, Kd_stable, ref,
2390
         t_temp, t_final);
```

```
t_d_stable = ET{1};
2391
    \operatorname{error}_d_{\operatorname{stable}_t} = \operatorname{ET}\{2\};
2392
2393
    figure (figcount+7);
2394
    plot(t_z_stable.*1e3, error_z_stable_t, '
2395
       b:', 'linewidth', 2);
    grid on; hold on;
2396
   plot(t_z2_stable.*1e3, error_z2_stable_t,
2397
        'r:', 'linewidth', 2);
    grid on; hold on;
2398
   plot(t_d_stable.*1e3, error_d_stable_t, '
2399
       g-', 'linewidth', 2);
    xlabel('t (in msec)', 'fontsize', 19);
2400
    ylabel('e(t)', 'fontsize', 19);
2401
    title ('Error, e(t). h = 10 \mbox{mus}, f_{-} {\rm sw} =
2402
        100 kHz', 'fontsize', 19);
   set(gca, 'fontsize', 19);
2403
2404 legend ('Indirect Design', 'Direct Design'
        , 'Lifting-based Design');
2405
   %% COMPUTE % DECREASE IN POWER LOSS
2406
2407
   P_{-}start = 716.9;
                                               % W,
2408
       see ACC 2022 paper draft for
       computation
```

```
2409 P_final = 32.3; % W,
see ACC 2022 paper draft for
computation
2410
2411 percent_dec = 100 * (P_start - P_final)/
abs(P_start);
2412
2413 fprintf('\n\n There was a %3.2d %%
decrease in the power dissipation \n\n
', percent_dec);
```

2414

## 2415 % ANALYZE THE OPEN LOOP OF THE CONTINUOUS-TIME SYSTEM

2416

2417	Ls = series(Kc,P);	%
	Open loop (continuous-time)	
2418	margin = allmargin(Ls);	%
	Margins (including UGC)	
2419	BW_w = margin.PMFrequency;	% UGC
	(in rad/s)	
2420	$BW_f = BW_w/(2*pi);$	% UGC
	(in Hz)	
2421		
2422	fprintf('\n	

-\

n ');

```
2423 fprintf('\n OPEN LOOP BANDWIDTH (

CONTINUOUS-TIME) = \%3.2 \text{ f kHz } n', BW.f

*1e-3);

2424 fprintf('\n OPEN LOOP PHASE MARGIN (

CONTINUOUS-TIME) = \%3 \text{ f deg } n', margin

. PhaseMargin);

2425

2426 w_vec = logspace(1, 8, 20e3);
```

2427

```
_{^{2428}} [mag, ph] = bode(Ls, w_vec);
```

```
_{2429} \text{ mag} = \text{squeeze}(\text{mag}(1, 1, :));
```

```
_{2430} mag = transpose (mag);
```

```
_{^{2431}} mag = mag2db(mag);
```

```
_{2432} ph = squeeze(ph(1,1,:));
```

```
_{2433} ph = transpose(ph);
```

```
2434
```

```
_{2435} figure (figcount+8);
```

```
_{2436} Prepfig(15);
```

```
2437 subplot(2, 1, 1);
```

```
2438 semilogx(w_vec,mag); grid on; ylabel('
Magnitude (in dB)', 'fontsize', 19);
```

grid on;

```
2439 set(gca, 'fontsize', 19); set(gca, '
```

```
GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
```

```
axis([1e1 \ 1e8 \ -50 \ 50])
2440
   hold on;
2441
    title('Open Loop (Lo)');
2442
   subplot(2, 1, 2);
2443
   semilogx(w_vec,ph); grid on; ylabel('
2444
        Phase (in deg)', 'fontsize', 19); grid
          on;
2445 set(gca, 'fontsize', 19); set(gca, '
        GridLineStyle ', '-', 'linewidth ',2);
<sup>2446</sup> h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
    \operatorname{set}(h1, '\operatorname{linewidth}', 3);
2447
    xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)', 'fontsize',
2448
        19);
2449
    w_vec = logspace(0, 6, 20e3);
2450
2451
     [mag, ~] = bode(W, w_vec);
2452
    mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
2453
    mag = transpose(mag);
2454
    mag = mag2db(mag);
2455
    [\operatorname{mag2}, \tilde{}] = \operatorname{bode}(\operatorname{inv}(W), w_{-}\operatorname{vec});
2456
    mag2 = squeeze(mag2(1, 1, :));
2457
    mag2 = transpose(mag2);
2458
```

 $_{2459} mag2 = mag2db(mag2);$ 

```
_{2461} figure (figcount+9);
```

```
_{2462} Prepfig (15);
```

```
semilogx(w_vec,mag); grid on; ylabel('
2463
       Magnitude (in dB)', 'fontsize', 19);
       grid on;
2464 set (gca, 'fontsize', 19); set (gca, '
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   hold on;
2465
   semilogx(w_vec,mag2); grid on; ylabel('
2466
      Magnitude (in dB)', 'fontsize', 19);
       grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',19); set(gca, '
2467
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   title('Weight');
2468
   set(gca, 'fontsize',19); set(gca, '
2469
       GridLineStyle ', '-', 'linewidth ',2);
_{2470} h1 = findobj (gcf, 'type', 'line');
2471 set (h1, 'linewidth', 3);
2472 xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)', 'fontsize',
       19);
_{2473} legend (W(s)', W^{(s)'});
 1 \% m File: example 13_7_2 .m
 2
 3 clc
 4 clear
```

```
5 close all
 6 format short
\overline{7}
_{8} % see Section 13.7 and Example 13.7.2
9 % | A | B1 B2
10 % -----
11 % | C1 | D11 D12 |
12 % | C2 | D21 D22 |
13
_{14} A = 0;
_{15} B1 = 1;
16 B2 = -1;
^{17} C1 = 1;
^{18} C2 = 1;
19 D11 = 0;
_{20} D12 = 0;
_{21} D21 = 0;
_{22} D22 = 0;
23
_{24} B = [B1 B2];
_{25} C = [C1; C2];
_{26} D = [D11 D12; D21 D22];
27
_{28} G = ss(A,B,C,D);
29
```

 $_{30}$  h = 1.5; 31 % Compute the norm of the Hilbert-Schmidt operator as a lower bound of the  $_{32}$  % gamma vector 33 % %  $_{34}$  gamma\_min = 1e-5; Initial lower-bound estimate of || D11\_|| %  $_{35}$  gamma\_max = 5; Initial upper-bound estimate of || D11\_|| %  $tol_D11 = 1e-3;$ Desired tolerance of calculation of || D11\_ || 37  $normD11_operator = norm_D11_(A, B1, C1, h)$ 38, gamma\_min, gamma\_max, tol\_D11); 39 disp(['Norm of the Hilbert-Schmidt operator (from the function) is, ||D11  $|| = ', num2str(normD11_operator)|);$  $_{40}$  % pause  $^{41}$  $_{42}$  h = 1:0.01:1.89; 43<sup>44</sup> l2norm = NaN. \* ones (1, length(h));

 $L2_Norm = NaN.*ones(1, length(h));$ 4546disp('USING THE L2 NORM FUNCTION:'); 47for ii = 1: length(h)48 disp(','); 49disp(['h = ',num2str(h(ii))),',50iteration number ',num2str(ii),' of ', num2str(length(h)), ', i.e. ', num2str( 100\*ii./length(h) ), '%']) ; 51Kd = tf(1, 1, h(ii));52% Controller for given value of h 53% Compute the induced L2 norm using 54our function [12norm(ii),~] = sdl2norm (G, Kd, h(55ii)); 56% Compute the induced L2 norm using 57MATLAB's function [L2\_Norm(ii), ~] = sdhinfnorm (G, Kd 58); 59 end

60  $_{61}$  save ('Data - Ex 13\_7\_2'); 62 10% 63 % 64 % DATA FROM THE BOOK 65 %  $_{66}$  % (1, 1), (1.1, 1), (1.2, 1), (1.3, 1.05)(1.4, 1.4), (1.5, 1.6), $_{67}$  % (1.6, 2.1), (1.7, 3), (1.8, 5.1), (1.9,11)<sup>68</sup> Twz = [1.\* ones(1,10), 1.\* ones(1,10)]1.05.\* ones (1,10), 1.4.\* ones (1,10),  $1.6.* ones(1,10), \ldots$ 2.1 \* ones(1, 10), 3 \* ones(1, 10), 69 5.1 \* ones(1, 10), 11 \* ones(1,10)]; 7071 % 72 % 73 % PLOT THE DATA 74 %  $_{75}$  figure (1); <sup>76</sup> plot(h, l2norm, 'b:', 'linewidth', 2);

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

```
<sup>77</sup> hold on;
<sup>78</sup> plot (h, L2_Norm, 'r-', 'linewidth', 2);
<sup>79</sup> hold on;
so % plot(h, Twz, 'k:', 'linewidth', 2);
<sup>81</sup> plot(h, ones(1, length(h)), 'k—', '
      linewidth', 2);
_{82} ylabel('||T_{zw}||_{L2}', 'fontsize', 20)
      ;
ss xlabel('T_s', 'fontsize', 20);
st title ('Figure 13.11 on page 340','
      fontsize', 20);
  grid on;
85
se set(gca, 'fontsize', 20);
s7 lgd_text = { 'Chen', 'Bamieh', 'Hinf Norm' };
_{ss} lgd = legend(lgd_text);
set (lgd, 'Location', 'Best'); % Put
       legend in empty spot
90
91
  indices = find (l2norm = -1);
92
```

```
_{93} h_check = h(indices);
```

## APPENDIX B

## B MATLAB CODE: BUCK CONVERTER RIPPLE

This m file computes the output voltage ripple for a vector of capacitor values. For each plant design, we estimate the plant ripple using a variety of methods as described in chapter 3.

```
<sup>1</sup> % m File:
      Compare_Our_Theory_VoltRipple_n_Terms.
      m
\mathbf{2}
3 clear;
4 close all; clc;
\mathbf{5}
6 % Time Vector
7 tic;
8
  tau = 2*330*10^{-}-6*10;
9
  Ts = 1/100000;
10
n_{-samples} = 1000;
                                         % The
      switching interval is sampled
      n_samples time
                                          %
t_{-}final = 15*tau;
      Limiting the time vector to 0.099 sec
_{13} dT = Ts/1000;
_{14} t = 0: dT: t_{-}final;
<sup>15</sup> %sim('pulse_gen_simelec'); % Takes
      866.282543 seconds.
<sup>16</sup> %save('Pulses');
```

```
<sup>17</sup> load ('Pulses');
  \operatorname{toc};
18
19
20
_{21} % Compute the index for t = 0.12 sec
      where we want to compute the ripple
22 tic;
23
24 % Compute the Voltage Ripple from Our
      Theory (Simplified plant model, 3
<sup>25</sup> % Harmonics)
26
                           % Duty Ratio
_{27} D = 0.24;
^{28} A = 5;
                           % Amplitude of the
      Square Wave/ Input DC voltage
29 % DC Component
                           % DC Component of the
_{30} C0 = A*D;
       Fourier Series that we want to pass
      through the Filter
<sup>31</sup> % Switching Frequency
w0 = 2*pi*100000;
33
_{34} L = 380 * 10<sup>-</sup>-6;
_{35} fs = 100000;
_{36} Ts = 1/fs;
```

```
_{37} Vin = 5;
_{38} Vo = 1.2;
<sup>39</sup> D = Vo/Vin;
_{40} dI = D*(1-D)*[(Vin*Ts)/L];
_{41} Ro = 10;
42
   toc;
43
44
_{45} % C = (0.2:(25-0.2)/400:25) * 10^{-6};
_{46} C = (0.2:(25-0.2)/10:25) * 10^{-6};
_{47} n_{-}vec = [3, 9, 12];
48
49
   for ii = 1: length(C)
50
        for jj = 1: length(n_vec)
51
   tic;
52
       %disp(ii);
53
        fprintf('\nITERATION NUMBER %3.2d OF
54
           \%3.2d', ii , length(C));
        fprintf('\nITERATION NUMBER %3.2d OF
55
           \%3.2d', jj, length(n_vec));
56
_{57} \text{ dV} = (\text{dI}/\text{C(ii)})/(8*\text{fs});
_{58} s = tf('s');
<sup>59</sup> Simpl_LPF_Vo = [1/(L*C(ii))]/s^2;
```

60 %Simpl\_LPF\_IL = [1/(L)]/s; 61 62 DPVR\_Trad(ii,jj) = dV\*100/Vo; 63 64 n = n\_vec(jj); NUMBER OF HARMONICS 65 % Generate the Voltage Output for n

harmonics

 $_{66}$  LPF\_output = C0;

 $_{67}$  for kk = 1:n

 $C_{-} = C0*(\sin(kk*D*pi)/(kk*pi*D))*exp(-1i*kk*D*pi);$ 

69  $C_r = abs(C_-);$ 

70 C\_theta =  $angle(C_-);$ 

 $_{71}$  [mv, phv] = bode (Simpl\_LPF\_Vo, kk\*w0);

```
<sup>72</sup> LPF_output = LPF_output + 2*C_r*mv*cos(kk
*w0*t+C_theta+phv*(pi/180));
```

73 end

74

```
75 % Compute the Desired % Voltage Ripple (
DPVR) from the New Theory
```

 $_{76}$  Volt\_Ripple = LPF\_output - C0;

```
<sup>77</sup> Volt_Ripple = Volt_Ripple (end -1000:end);
```

% We only want the voltage

```
ripple for the last switching interval
78
  V_{max} = \max(Volt_{Ripple});
79
  V_{min} = \min(Volt_{Ripple});
80
81
  DPVR_FT(ii , jj) = ((V_max-V_min)/1.2)
82
      *100;
83
84
85
  \% Full SS Model of the Plant (Rl = 0 and
86
       Rc = 0) with Rectangular Wave Input
87
  A_{-ss} = [0 \ (-1/L); \ (1/C(ii)) \ -1/(C(ii)*Ro))
88
      ];
  B_{-}ss = [(1/L); 0];
89
  C_{-ss} = [0 \ 1];
90
  D_{ss} = 0;
91
92
  P = ss(A_ss, B_ss, C_ss, D_ss);
93
94
95 %load('u_pulse');
_{96} % Using the Latest pulses 8/6/2016
97 % load ( 'CorrectInput20Tau ');
_{98} u = u_pulse;
```
```
[Y, \tilde{, r}] = lsim(P, u, t);
99
100
   volt_ripple = Y - C0;
101
   volt_ripple = volt_ripple (end -1000:end);
102
               \% We only want the voltage
       ripple for the last switching interval
   v_{max} = max(volt_ripple);
103
   v_{min} = min(volt_ripple);
104
105
   APVR(ii, jj) = ((v_max - v_min)/1.2) *100;
106
107
   % Output of the Full S.S. Model with n
108
       Harmonics at the Input
109
<sup>110</sup> % Generate the Voltage Output for n
       harmonics
   LPF_{-}output = C0;
111
   for kk = 1:n
112
<sup>113</sup> C_{-} = C0*(sin(kk*D*pi)/(kk*pi*D))*exp(-1i*
      kk*D*pi);
   C_r = abs(C_r);
114
   C_{-}theta = angle (C_{-});
115
   [mv, phv] = bode(P, kk*w0);
116
<sup>117</sup> LPF_output = LPF_output + 2*C_r*mv*cos(kk
       *w0*t+C_{theta+phv}*(pi/180));
```

```
end
118
119
120 % Compute the Desired % Voltage Ripple (
      DPVR) from the New Theory
   Volt_Ripple = LPF_output - C0;
121
   Volt_Ripple = Volt_Ripple(end - 1000:end);
122
             % We only want the voltage
      ripple for the last switching interval
123
  V_{max} = max(Volt_Ripple);
124
   V_{min} = \min(Volt_{Ripple});
125
126
   PLANT_FT(ii, jj) = ((V_max - V_min)/1.2)
127
                 % in %
      *100;
128
```

```
129 % Compute the Errors Between the Actual
and the Theories
```

130

# <sup>131</sup> % DIVIDE EVERYTHING BY 100 TO CONVERT % TO FRACTION

```
%Error1(jj) = ( (DPVR(jj)-DPVR2(jj)) );
133 Theory1(ii, jj) = DPVR_Trad(ii, jj)/100;
134 Theory2(ii, jj) = DPVR_FT(ii, jj)/100;
135 Theory3(ii, jj) = PLANT_FT(ii, jj)/100;
136 Actual(ii, jj) = APVR(ii, jj)/100;
```

#### 138 % COMPUTE THE ERRORS

```
Image: Error_Trad(ii, jj) = abs(Actual(ii, jj))-
Theory1(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory1(ii, jj) = abs(Actual(ii, jj))-
Theory2(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory3(ii, jj) = abs(indicate theory3(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory3(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory3(ii, jj) = abs(indicate theory3(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory3(ii, jj) = abs(indicate theory3(ii, jj))*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj);
Image: Theory3(ii, jj) = abs(indicate theory3(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(ii, jj)*100/Actual(
```

143 % Output of the Full Model with 3H at the Input

```
144
```

%Vin = C0; 145 $_{146}$  % for jj = 1:n; C = C0\*(sin(jj\*D\*pi)/(jj\*pi\*D))\*exp147 % (-1i \* jj \* D\* pi);%  $C_r = abs(C);$ 148149 %  $C_{theta} = angle(C);$ 150 %  $Vin = Vin + 2*C_r * \cos(jj * w0 * t +$  $C_{-}$ theta); 151 %end 152 $^{153}$  %[Y2,~,~] = lsim(P,Vin,t); 154 $_{155}$  %volt\_ripple2 = Y2 - C0;

```
_{156} %volt_ripple2 = volt_ripple2 (end -1000:end
      );
                  % We only want the voltage
      ripple for the last switching interval
_{157} %v_max2 = max(volt_ripple2);
  \%v_{min2} = min(volt_{ripple2});
158
159
   \text{%DPVR}_Full_Model_3H(ii) = ((v_max2-v_min2))
160
      )/1.2) * 100;
161
_{162} %Theory3(ii) = DPVR_Full_Model_3H(ii)
      /100;
<sup>163</sup> %Error_3(ii) = abs(Actual(ii)-Theory3(ii)
      ) *100/Actual(ii);
164
  \% Cap(ii , jj) = C(ii , jj)/10^-6;
165
166
   fprintf(' \ n');
167
   toc;
168
        end
169
   end
170
171
172 % Save the Data
173 % save('
      Volt_Ripple_12H_Theory_400_Points_L_380
       ', 'DPVR_EM', 'DPVR_FT', 'APVR', 'u', 'Cap
```

```
', 'Error_1', 'Error_2', 'Theory1', '
      Theory2');
174 % Plot the Data
   close all;
175
176
   figure (1);
177
  \log \log (APVR(:, 1), Error_Trad(:, 1), '
178
      LineWidth ',2);
   grid on;
179
   xlabel('Actual % Volt Ripple', 'FontSize'
180
       ,20);
  ylabel ('% Error (Voltage Ripple)', '
181
      FontSize', 20);
  title ( '%Error = || ( Actual - Theory ) || x
182
      100 / Actual ');
183
   figure(2);
184
  \log \log (APVR(:, 1), Error_Trad(:, 1), 'k',
185
       'LineWidth',2);
   hold on;
186
   \log \log (APVR(:, 1), Error_FT(:, 1), 'b:', '
187
      LineWidth ',2);
   hold on;
188
  \log \log (APVR(:, 1), Error_FT(:, 2), 'r:', '
189
      LineWidth',2);
```

```
<sup>190</sup> hold on;
```

```
191 loglog (APVR(:, 1), Error_FT(:, 3), 'g:','
LineWidth',2);
```

<sup>192</sup> grid on;

```
193 legend('Traditional Small Ripple theory',
            'Fourier (3 harmonics)', 'Fourier (9
            harmonics)', 'Fourier (12 harmonics)');
```

```
194 xlabel('Actual % Volt Ripple', 'FontSize'
,20);
```

```
195 ylabel('% Error (Voltage Ripple)','
FontSize',20);
```

```
196 title('%Error = ||(Actual - Theory)|| x
100 / Actual; (Approx. T.F.)');
```

```
197
```

```
iss figure(3);
iss figure(3);
iss loglog(APVR(:, 1), Error_Trad(:, 1), 'k',
            'LineWidth',2);
iss hold on;
iss loglog(APVR(:, 1), Error_SS_FT(:, 1), 'b:'
            ,'LineWidth',2);
```

```
<sup>202</sup> hold on;
```

```
203 loglog (APVR(:, 1), Error_SS_FT(:, 2), 'r:'
, 'LineWidth', 2);
```

```
<sup>204</sup> hold on;
```

```
loglog(APVR(:, 1), Error_SS_FT(:, 3), 'g:'
```

, 'LineWidth',2);

206 grid on;

```
207 legend('Traditional Small Ripple theory',
        'Fourier (3 harmonics)', 'Fourier (9
        harmonics)', 'Fourier (12 harmonics)');
208 xlabel('Actual % Volt Ripple', 'FontSize'
        ,20);
209 ylabel('% Error (Voltage Ripple)', '
        FontSize',20);
210 title('%Error = ||(Actual - Theory)|| x
        100 / Actual; (Exact S.S.)');
211
```

212 load handel

```
_{213} sound (y, Fs)
```

### APPENDIX C

C MATLAB CODE: HIGHER ORDER FILTERS

This m file plots a family of filter Bode plots. The filters are 2<sup>nd</sup> (LC), 4<sup>th</sup> (LCLC) and 6<sup>th</sup> (LCLCLC) order filters. The frequency responses are obtained from
Simulink by building each circuit in Simulink and then using MATLAB's Frequency Response Estimation functionality. The data are then stored in .MAT files and plotted in the script shown next.

<sup>1</sup> % m File: HIGHER\_ORDER\_FILTERS.m

2 <sup>3</sup> clc; 4 clear; 5 close all; 6 % 7 % MODELS OF 4th AND 6th ORDER FILTERS HAVE BEEN STORED 8 % 9 % THESE WERE OBTAINED FROM SIMULINK FORM THE CIRCUIT BY USING A 10 % SMALL-SIGNAL PERTURBATION AT THE INPUT AND MEASURING THE OUTPUT IN ORDER 11 % TO GET THE FREQUENCY RESPONSE % 12131415 %% TOTAL INDUCTANCE AND CAPACITANCE. LOAD RESISTANCE

16

```
17 Ro = 100;
^{18} L = 6.8 e - 9;
19 C = 4e - 9;
20
  %% 2nd ORDER FILTER
21
22
  s = tf('s');
23
24
  b = 1/(L*C);
25
  a = 1/(C*Ro);
26
  P_2nd_Order = b / (s^2 + a*s + b) ;
27
28
  %% 4th ORDER FILTER
29
30
  load ('Linear 4th Order Model from
^{31}
      Simulink Circuit.mat')
  P_4th_Order = linsys1;
32
33
  disp('4th Order Plant:');
34
  zpk(P_4th_Order)
35
36
  %% 6th ORDER FILTER
37
38
  load ('Linear 6th Order Model from
39
      Simulink Circuit.mat')
```

```
P_{-}6th_{-}Order = linsys1;
40
41
  disp('6th Order Plant:');
42
  zpk(P_6th_Order)
43
44
  %% FAMILY OF BODE PLOTS
45
46
  wvec = logspace(7, 10, 100e3);
47
48
  % GENERATE THE MAGNITUDE AND PHASE
49
     RESPONSES FOR ALL 3 PLANTS:
50
  % 2nd ORDER
51
  [mag2, ph2] = bode(P_2nd_Order, wvec);
52
  mag2 = squeeze(mag2(1, 1, :));
53
  mag2 = transpose(mag2);
54
  mag2 = mag2db(mag2);
55
  ph2 = squeeze(ph2(1, 1, :));
56
  ph2 = transpose(ph2);
57
58
  % 4th ORDER
59
  [mag4, ph4] = bode(P_4th_Order, wvec);
60
  mag4 = squeeze(mag4(1, 1, :));
61
  mag4 = transpose(mag4);
62
mag4 = mag2db(mag4);
```

```
_{64} ph4 = squeeze(ph4(1,1,:));
_{65} ph4 = transpose(ph4);
66
  % 6th ORDER
67
   [mag6, ph6] = bode(P_6th_Order, wvec);
68
  mag6 = squeeze(mag6(1, 1, :));
69
  mag6 = transpose(mag6);
70
  mag6 = mag2db(mag6);
71
_{72} ph6 = squeeze(ph6(1,1,:));
  ph6 = transpose(ph6);
73
74
   figure (1);
75
  Prepfig(15);
76
  subplot(2, 1, 1);
77
rs semilogx(wvec, mag2); hold on; semilogx(
      wvec, mag4); hold on; semilogx(wvec,
     mag6);
<sup>79</sup> grid on; ylabel('Magnitude (in dB)','
      FontSize',19); grid on;
  set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
80
      GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
  hold on;
81
  title('Buck Converter (Plant)');
82
subplot (2, 1, 2);
semilogx(wvec,ph2); hold on; semilogx(
```

```
wvec, ph4); hold on; semilogx(wvec,
      ph6);
<sup>85</sup> grid on; ylabel('Phase (in deg)', '
      FontSize', 19); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
86
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
  h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
87
   set(h1, 'linewidth',3);
88
89
  legend ('2nd Order (LC)', '4th Order (LCLC
90
      )', '6th Order (LCLCLC)');
91
   %
92
  % WHEN IS THE 2nd ORDER BEST ?
93
   %
94
   Indices2ndOrder = find (mag2 < mag4);
95
   Index2ndOrder_Best = max(Indices2ndOrder)
96
       ;
   w_2nd = wvec(Index2ndOrder_Best);
97
   Best_2nd_Order_Mag = mag2(
98
      Index2ndOrder_Best);
                                          \% mag2
      is already in dB
99
   disp(['2nd Order LC filters are best till
100
        ', \operatorname{num2str}(w_2 \operatorname{nd} * 1 \operatorname{e} - 6), ' Mrad/s, i.e.
```

```
', num2str(w_2nd/(2*pi*1e6)), 'MHz']);
   disp (['This corresponds to an attenuation
101
       of ', num2str(Best_2nd_Order_Mag), 'dB
      ']);
   fprintf(' \setminus n \setminus n');
102
103
   %
104
  % WHEN IS THE 4th ORDER BEST ?
105
  %
106
   Indices 4 th Order = find (mag 4 < mag 6);
107
   Index4thOrder_Best = max(Indices4thOrder)
108
      ;
   w_4th = wvec(Index4thOrder_Best);
109
   Best_4th_Order_Mag = mag4(
110
                                        \% mag4
      Index4thOrder_Best);
      is already in dB
111 disp (['4th Order LCLC filters are best
      till ', num2str(w_4th*1e-6), 'Mrad/s, i
      .e.', num2str(w_4th/(2*pi*1e6)), 'MHz'
      ]);
<sup>112</sup> disp(['This corresponds to an attenuation
       of ', num2str(Best_4th_Order_Mag), 'dB
      ']);
```

### APPENDIX D

## D MATLAB CODE: INVERTER INNER-OUTER LOOP

These m files design the inner and outer controllers for the inverter as described in

```
Chapter 5.
```

```
<sup>1</sup> % m File: Design_Methodology.m
\mathbf{2}
3 %% Clear the workspace data, clear the
       screen and cloase all plots
4 clear all; clc; close all;
\mathbf{5}
6
7 %% Plant
8
9 s=tf('s');
10 w1=2*pi*60;
<sup>11</sup> %wvec=logspace(-2,5,10000);
  wvec = logspace(2, 5, 10000);
12
13
_{14} rl = 1;
_{15} \text{ wg} = 2*\text{pi}*60;
_{16} En = 120 * sqrt(3);
_{17} Vg = 120;
_{18} Pn = 5000;
  In = Pn./(3*Vg);
19
   Zbase = En^2./Pn;
20
_{21} Lbase = Zbase/wg;
<sup>22</sup> Cbase = 1/(wg*Zbase);
```

23 %L = Lt \* Lb;  
24 Vdc = 400;  
25 wg = 2\*pi\*60;  
26 wsw = 2\*pi\*15000;  
27 x = 10 \* wg;  
28 y = 0.5 \* wsw;  
29  
30  
31 % Resistances  
32 R1 = 0;%10^-6;  
33 R2 = 0;%10^-6;  
34  
35 L1 = 
$$(0.04951/2)$$
\*Lbase;  
36 L2 =  $(0.04951/2)$ \*Lbase;  
37 Cd =  $0.05$ \*Cbase;  
38 Rd =  $0;%10^-6;$   
39  
40 A =  $[-(Rd+R1)/L1 (Rd/L1) (-1/L1);$   
41  $(Rd/L2) -(Rd+R2)/L2 (1/L2);$   
42  $(1/Cd) (-1/Cd) 0];$   
43 B =  $[(1/L1);$   
44  $0;$   
45  $0];$   
46 Bdo =  $[0;$   
47  $(-1/L2);$ 

0];  $^{48}$ 49 C = [0]0]; 1  $_{50}$  D = 0; 51 $_{52} P = ss(A, B, C, D);$ 53Vdc = 400;5455P = (Vdc/2) \* P;5657disp('Poles and zeros of the Plant'); 58damp(pole(P)) 59damp(zero(P)) 60 61disp('Transfer Function of the Plant'); 62zpk(P)63 64% We get an incorrect phase plot 65figure; 66 bode (P, wvec); 67grid on; 68 opts=bodeoptions; 69 opts.InputLabels.FontSize = 12;70opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12; 7172 opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;

```
opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
73
  opts. Title. FontSize = 20;
74
  h_{line} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
75
  set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
76
  h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
77
  set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
78
     GridAlpha', 0.25);
  title('Nominal Plant');
79
80
  [mag, ph] = bode(P, wvec);
81
  mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
82
  mag = transpose(mag);
83
  mag = mag2db(mag);
84
  ph = ph + 360;
85
  ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
86
  ph = transpose(ph);
87
88
  figure;
89
  Prepfig(15);
90
  subplot (2,1,1);
91
  semilogx(wvec,mag); grid on; ylabel('
92
      Magnitude (in dB)'); grid on;
93 set (gca, 'fontsize', 15); set (gca, '
      GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
94 hold on;
```

```
title ('Plant (P)');
95
_{96} subplot (2, 1, 2);
97 semilogx(wvec, ph); grid on; ylabel('Phase
        (in deg)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
98
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
99
   set(h1, 'linewidth',3);
100
101
   [wn, zeta] = damp(P);
102
   wres = wn(end);
103
104
   %% Inner loop Controller
105
106
_{107} m = 20.86
  k = 0.942
108
  z = sqrt([(1-k^2)/(2*k^2)]/m)
109
110
<sup>111</sup> wh = [sqrt(m) + (2/sqrt(m))] * wres * sqrt((1-k))
       (2)/2)
_{112} wn = k*wres
113
   wh_again = (m+2)*z*wn
114
115
_{116} kc = [(L1+L2)*wh - m*z*wn^3*L1*L2*Cd]/[
```

```
Vdc/2]
117
   Ki = kc * s / (s + wh)
118
119
   disp('Poles and zeros of the Inner
120
      Controller');
   pole(Ki)
121
   zero(Ki)
122
123
   disp('Transfer Function of the Inner
124
      Controller');
   zpk(Ki)
125
126
   %% Modified Plant
127
128
   Pmod = feedback(P, Ki, +1);
129
130
   disp('Poles and zeros of the modified
131
      Plant');
   damp(pole(Pmod))
132
   damp(zero(Pmod))
133
134
   disp('Transfer Function of the modified
135
      Plant');
   zpk(Pmod)
136
```

```
137
   figure;
138
   rlocus(Pmod);
139
   grid off;
140
   h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
141
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
142
143
   figure;
144
   bode(Pmod, wvec);
145
   grid on;
146
   opts=bodeoptions;
147
   opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
148
   opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12;
149
   opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
150
   opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
151
   opts.Title.FontSize = 20;
152
   h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
153
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
154
   h_axes = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
155
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
156
      GridAlpha', 0.25);
   title('Modified Plant');
157
158
159
   figure;
160
```

```
rlocus(Pmod);
161
   grid off;
162
   h_{line} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
163
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
164
   h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
165
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
166
      GridAlpha', 0.25);
  % title ('Modified Plant');
167
168
  %% Checking the peak of Pmod with more
169
      points
170
   wvec1 = logspace(2, 4, 20000);
171
   wvec2 = logspace(4, 4.1, 20000);
172
   wvec3 = logspace(4.1, 5, 20000);
173
174
   w = [wvec1, wvec2, wvec3];
175
176
   figure;
177
   bode (Pmod, w);
178
   grid on;
179
   opts=bodeoptions;
180
   opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
181
   opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12;
182
   opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
183
```

```
opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
184
   opts. Title. FontSize=20;
185
   h_{line} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
186
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
187
   h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
188
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
189
       GridAlpha', 0.25);
   title('Modified Plant (more points)');
190
191
   % %% Li = P*Ki
192
   %
193
<sup>194</sup> \% Li = series (P, Ki);
   %
195
<sup>196</sup> % figure;
<sup>197</sup> % rlocus(Li);
   % h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
198
<sup>199</sup> % set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
200 % title('L_i');
201 % grid off;
   %
202
203 % figure;
_{204} % rlocus(1-Li);
205 % h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
_{206} % set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
_{207} % title ('1 - L<sub>-</sub>i');
```

208 % grid off;

209 % Designing a PR Controller (Ayyanar, R 2015, Current controller design for dc -ac stage of single phase PV inverters , lecture notes, Renewable Electric Energy Systems EEE598 Arizona State University, delivered October 2015) wc = 1000 \* (2\*pi);% 1 kHz 210[m, ~] = bode(Pmod, wc);211kp = 1/m212wl = 59.3 \* (2\*pi);213[Gp, ~] = bode(Pmod, wl);214 $k_{-}sys = s/(s^{2} + w1^{2});$ 215 $[mag, ~] = bode(k_sys, wl);$ 216ki = [(1000/Gp)-kp]/mag217218 $_{219}$  K<sub>-</sub>pr = kp + (ki\*s)/(s<sup>2</sup> + 10<sup>-</sup>-6\*s + w1<sup>2</sup>) ; 220 disp('Poles and zeros of the PR 221Controller'); damp(pole(K\_pr)) 222damp(zero(K\_pr)) 223224disp('Transfer Function of the PR 225

```
Controller');
   zpk(K_pr)
226
227
   %% Outer Controller
228
   % Ko (1st design)
229
   Ko1 = 0.15 * K_{-}pr;
230
231
   disp('Poles and zeros of the Outer
232
      Controller');
   pole(Ko1)
233
   zero(Ko1)
234
235
   disp('Transfer Function of the Outer
236
      Controller');
   zpk(Ko1)
237
238
   w = logspace(2, 3, 200000);
239
240
   figure;
241
   bode (Ko1, w);
242
   grid on;
243
   opts=bodeoptions;
244
   opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
245
   opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12;
246
  opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
247
```

```
opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
248
   opts. Title. FontSize=20;
249
   h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
250
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
251
   h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
252
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
253
      GridAlpha', 0.25);
   title('Outer Controller');
254
255
   zeta = [ki/kp] * [1/(2*w1)]
256
   %% Modified Controller
257
258
   \text{Kmod1} = \text{Ki} - \text{Ko1};
259
260
   disp('Poles and zeros of the Modified
261
       Controller');
   pole(Kmod1)
262
   zero (Kmod1)
263
264
   disp('Transfer Function of the Modified
265
       Controller');
   zpk(Kmod1)
266
267
   %% Closed Loop Maps
268
269
```

```
270 % Build the Closed Loop System using "
      connect". There are 3 systems; Ko, Ki
_{\rm 271} % and P
272
  % Label the block I/Os
273
<sup>274</sup> Kol.u = 'e'; Kol.y = 'uo';
  Ki.u = 'y'; Ki.y = 'ui';
275
  P.u = 'up'; P.y = 'y';
276
  % Specify summing junctions
277
  Sum1 = sumblk('e = r - y');
278
   Sum2 = sumblk('u = uo + ui');
279
   Sum3 = sumblk('up = di + u');
280
  % Add analysis points
281
  up = AnalysisPoint('up');
282
   u = AnalysisPoint('u');
283
  e = AnalysisPoint('e');
284
  % Connect the blocks together
285
T0 = connect(P, Ko1, Ki, Sum1, Sum2, Sum3, { 'r '
      , 'di '},{ 'e ', 'up ', 'y ', 'u '},{ 'up ', 'u ', 'e
      '});
287 % getLoopTransfer (T, Locations, sign,
      openings)
  Lo1 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'e', -1);
288
  Lu1 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'up');
289
```

290

```
So1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'e');
291
   Su1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'up');
292
   To1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'y');
293
   Tu1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'u');
29^{4}
   KS1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'u');
295
   SP1 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'y');
296
297
   disp('Closed Loop Stability');
298
   isstable (To1)
299
300
   figure;
301
   margin(Lo1);
302
   grid on;
303
304
   disp('Peak So in dB');
305
   mag2db(norm(So1, Inf))
306
   disp('Peak Su in dB');
307
   mag2db(norm(Su1, Inf))
308
   disp('Peak To in dB');
309
   mag2db(norm(To1, Inf))
310
   disp('Peak Tu in dB');
31
   mag2db(norm(Tu1, Inf))
312
313
   figure;
314
   margin(Lu1);
315
```

```
grid on;
316
317
   wl = 59.3 * (2*pi);
318
   disp('The open loop magnitude at 59.3 Hz
319
      is ');
   [Lo_wl, \tilde{}] = bode(Lo1, wl)
320
321
322
   save('Check_Design_Methodology', 'Ko1', '
323
      Lo1', 'K_pr');
324
   Ksum = Ki - Ko1;
325
326
   disp('____');
327
   disp('Real Zero of Ksum');
328
   Ksum_zeros = zero(Ksum);
329
   Indx = find(imag(Ksum_zeros) == 0);
330
   Ksum_zeros(Indx)
331
   disp('____');
332
  %% Check that PM3u is associated with Tu
333
      and Su
334
   Mar = allmargin(Lu1);
335
   disp('Third phase margin of Lu');
336
  PMu3 = Mar. PhaseMargin(3)
337
```

```
\operatorname{disp}(\operatorname{mag2db}(1/\operatorname{abs}(2 * \sin(\operatorname{PMu3}/2)))))))
338
   mag2db(1/abs(2*sin(PMu3/2))))
339
340
   % Frequency at which we want to addd lag
341
342
   Mar = allmargin(Lu1);
343
   w_{lag} = Mar. PMFrequency(3);
344
345
   %% Add lag at the w_lag frequency
346
347
   phi_m = 25;
348
   z_p = [1 - sin(deg2rad(phi_m))]/[1 + sin(
349
       deg2rad(phi_m))];
   p = w_lag/sqrt(z_p);
350
   z = z_p * p;
351
352
   C_{-}lag = sqrt(z/p) * [(s+p) / (s+z)];
353
354
   figure;
355
   bode(C_lag,wvec);
356
   grid on;
357
   title('Lag network designed');
358
   h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
359
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
360
361
```

% 25 deg Lag in series with Kmod 362363  $Ko2 = Ko1 * C_{-}lag;$ 364 $Ki2 = Ki * C_{-}lag;$ 365 366 % Build the Closed Loop System using " 367 connect". There are 3 systems; Ko, Ki  $_{368}$  % and P 369 % Label the block I/Os 370 Ko2.u = 'e'; Ko2.y = 'uo';371Ki2.u = 'y'; Ki2.y = 'ui';372 P.u = 'up'; P.y = 'y';373 % Specify summing junctions 374Sum1 = sumblk('e = r - y');375Sum2 = sumblk('u = uo + ui');376 Sum3 = sumblk('up = di + u');377 % Add analysis points 378 up = AnalysisPoint('up');379u = AnalysisPoint('u');380 e = AnalysisPoint('e');381 % Connect the blocks together 382  $T0 = connect(P, Ko2, Ki2, Sum1, Sum2, Sum3, { 'r})$ 383 ', 'di '},{ 'e', 'up', 'y', 'u'},{ 'up', 'u', ' e '});

- 384 % getLoopTransfer (T, Locations, sign, openings) Lo2 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'e', -1);385 Lu2 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'up');386 387 So2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'e');388 Su2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'up');389 To2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'y');390 Tu2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'u');393 KS2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'u');392 SP2 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'y');393 394Mar = allmargin(Lo2);395  $BW_{Lo} = Mar. PMFrequency(1);$ 396 397 disp('----25deg Lag design----'); 398disp('Closed Loop Stability'); 399 isstable (To2) 400 disp('Peak Su (in dB)'); 401 mag2db(norm(Su2, Inf)) 402disp('Peak So (in dB)'); 403 mag2db(norm(So2, Inf)) 404 disp('Peak To (in dB)'); 405mag2db(norm(To2, Inf)) 406 disp('Peak Tu (in dB)'); 407
  - disp(foun fu (in db))),

```
mag2db(norm(Tu2, Inf))
408
   disp('Bandwidth of Lo');
409
   disp(BW_Lo);
410
411
   disp('Stability of all the closed loop
412
      maps for this design (25 deg lag)');
  if ( isstable (To2) & isstable (So2) &
413
      isstable (Su2) & isstable (Tu2) &
      isstable(KS2) & isstable(SP2))
        disp('All stable');
414
   else
415
        disp('Some of the maps are not stable
416
           ');
   end
417
418
419
   figure;
420
   margin(Lo2);
421
   grid on;
422
423
   figure;
424
   margin(Lu2);
425
   grid on;
426
427
  Pmod = feedback(P, Ki2, +1);
428
```

429 [PMOD, ~] = bode(Pmod, 100)430 [LO2, ~] = bode(Lo2, 100)431 432figure; 433 bode(Lo2,wvec, 'b'); 434hold on; 435bode (Pmod, wvec, 'r--'); 436 opts=bodeoptions; 437 opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12; 438 opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12; 439opts.XLabel.FontSize=14; 440 opts.YLabel.FontSize=14; 441 opts.Title.FontSize=20; 442 $h_{line} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');$ 443 set(h\_line, 'LineWidth',2); 444 $h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');$ 445set(h\_axes, 'LineWidth',1, 'FontSize',14,' 446GridAlpha', 0.25); legend('Lo', 'Pmod'); 447448figure; 449bode(Lo2,wvec, 'b'); 450hold on; 451bode (Pmod\*(LO2/PMOD), wvec, 'r—'); 452

```
opts=bodeoptions;
453
   opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
454
   opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12;
455
   opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
456
   opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
457
   opts.Title.FontSize=20;
458
   h_{-}line = findobj (gcf, 'type', 'line');
459
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
460
   h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
461
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
462
      GridAlpha', 0.25);
   legend ('Lo', 'Pmod *(15.5/2.5k)');
463
464
   %% 20 deg of lag
465
466
   phi_m = 20;
467
  z_p = [1 - sin(deg2rad(phi_m))]/[1 + sin(
468
      deg2rad(phi_m))];
   p = w_lag/sqrt(z_p);
469
   z = z_p * p;
470
471
   C_{-}lag = sqrt(z/p) * [(s+p) / (s+z)];
472
473
  Ko3 = Ko1 * C_lag;
474
  Ki3 = Ki * C_{-}lag;
475
```
476477 % Build the Closed Loop System using " connect". There are 3 systems; Ko, Ki  $_{\rm 478}$  % and P 479% Label the block I/Os 480Ko3.u = 'e'; Ko3.y = 'uo';481 Ki3.u = 'y'; Ki3.y = 'ui';482 P.u = 'up'; P.y = 'y';483 % Specify summing junctions 484 Sum1 = sumblk ('e = r - y'); 485Sum2 = sumblk('u = uo + ui');486Sum3 = sumblk('up = di + u');487 % Add analysis points 488 up = AnalysisPoint('up');489u = AnalysisPoint('u');490e = AnalysisPoint('e');491% Connect the blocks together 492 $T0 = connect (P, Ko3, Ki3, Sum1, Sum2, Sum3, { 'r})$ 493', 'di '},{ 'e', 'up', 'y', 'u'},{ 'up', 'u', ' e '}); <sup>494</sup> % getLoopTransfer (T, Locations, sign, openings) <sup>495</sup> Lo3 = getLoopTransfer (T0, 'e', -1);

<sup>496</sup> Lu3 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'up');

```
So3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'e');
498
   Su3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'up');
499
   To3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'y');
500
   Tu3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'u');
501
   KS3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'u');
502
   SP3 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'y');
503
504
   Mar = allmargin(Lo3);
505
   BW_{Lo} = Mar. PMFrequency(1);
506
507
   disp('----20deg Lag design----');
508
   disp('Closed Loop Stability');
509
   isstable (To3)
510
   disp('Peak Su (in dB)');
511
   mag2db(norm(Su3, Inf))
512
   disp('Peak So (in dB)');
513
   mag2db(norm(So3, Inf))
514
   disp('Peak To (in dB)');
515
   mag2db(norm(To3, Inf))
516
   disp('Peak Tu (in dB)');
51'
   mag2db(norm(Tu3, Inf))
518
   disp('Bandwidth of Lo');
519
   disp(BW_Lo);
520
```

521

```
disp('Stability of all the closed loop
522
      maps for this design (20 deg lag)');
<sup>523</sup> if ( isstable (To3) & isstable (So3) &
       isstable (Su3) & isstable (Tu3) &
       isstable(KS3) & isstable(SP3))
        disp('All stable');
524
   else
525
        disp ('Some of the maps are not stable
526
           ');
   end
527
528
529
   \% 15 deg of lag
530
531
   phi_m = 15;
532
  z_{-}p = [1 - sin(deg2rad(phi_m))]/[1 + sin(
533
      deg2rad(phi_m))];
  p = w_lag/sqrt(z_p);
534
   z = z_p * p;
535
536
   C_{-}lag = sqrt(z/p) * [(s+p) / (s+z)];
537
538
   Ko4 = Ko1 * C_lag;
539
   Ki4 = Ki * C_{-}lag;
540
541
```

```
542 % Build the Closed Loop System using "
      connect". There are 3 systems; Ko, Ki
_{543} % and P
544
  % Label the block I/Os
545
  Ko4.u = 'e'; Ko4.y = 'uo';
546
  Ki4.u = 'y'; Ki4.y = 'ui';
547
  P.u = 'up'; P.y = 'y';
548
  % Specify summing junctions
549
  Sum1 = sumblk('e = r - y');
550
   Sum2 = sumblk('u = uo + ui');
551
   Sum3 = sumblk('up = di + u');
552
  % Add analysis points
553
  up = AnalysisPoint('up');
554
   u = AnalysisPoint('u');
555
   e = AnalysisPoint('e');
556
   \% Connect the blocks together
557
  T0 = connect (P, Ko4, Ki4, Sum1, Sum2, Sum3, { 'r})
558
      ', 'di '},{ 'e', 'up', 'y', 'u'},{ 'up', 'u', '
      e '});
<sup>559</sup> % getLoopTransfer (T, Locations, sign,
      openings)
_{560} Lo4 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'e', -1);
  Lu4 = getLoopTransfer(T0, 'up');
561
```

562

```
So4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'e');
563
   Su4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'up');
564
   To4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'y');
565
   Tu4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'u');
566
   KS4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'r', 'u');
567
   SP4 = getIOTransfer(T0, 'di', 'y');
568
569
   Mar = allmargin(Lo4);
570
   BWLo = Mar. PMFrequency(1);
573
572
   disp('---15deg Lag design---');
573
   disp('Closed Loop Stability');
574
   isstable (To4)
575
   disp('Peak Su (in dB)');
576
   mag2db(norm(Su4, Inf))
577
   disp('Peak So (in dB)');
578
   mag2db(norm(So4, Inf))
579
   disp('Peak To (in dB)');
580
   mag2db(norm(To4, Inf))
581
   disp('Peak Tu (in dB)');
582
   mag2db(norm(Tu4, Inf))
583
   disp('Bandwidth of Lo');
584
   disp(BWLo);
585
586
   disp('Stability of all the closed loop
587
```

```
maps for this design (15 deg lag)');
  if ( isstable (To4) & isstable (So4) &
588
      isstable (Su4) & isstable (Tu4) &
      isstable(KS4) & isstable(SP4))
        disp('All stable');
589
   else
590
        disp('Some of the maps are not stable
591
           ');
   end
592
593
   %% Family of Plots
594
595
   Line1 = 10^{7}/(s+10^{7});
596
   Line2 = 2*Line1;
597
598
   [mag, ph] = bode(Lo2, wvec);
599
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
600
   mag = transpose(mag);
601
   mag = mag2db(mag);
602
   \%ph = ph + 360;
603
   ph = ph;
604
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
605
   ph = transpose(ph);
606
607
   figure;
608
```

```
Prepfig(15);
609
   subplot(2, 1, 1);
610
h_{11} h<sub>3</sub> = semilogx (wvec, mag, 'b'); grid on;
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
612
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   hold on;
613
   title('Open Loop');
614
_{615} subplot (2, 1, 2);
h4 = \text{semilogx}(\text{wvec}, \text{ph}, 'b'); \text{ grid on};
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
617
   hold on;
618
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
619
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
620
   set(h3, 'linewidth',3);
621
   set(h4, 'linewidth', 3);
622
_{623} % Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
       line to the phase
   disp('Lo2');
624
   Mar = allmargin(Lo2)
625
_{626} % x = Mar. PMFrequency (2);
_{627} % PM = Mar. PhaseMargin (2);
_{\rm 628} % semilogx ([x x],[-540 -540+PM], 'k', '
```

```
linewidth ',2);
```

```
_{629} % for ii = [1,3];
_{630} % x = Mar. PMFrequency(ii);
_{631} % PM = Mar. PhaseMargin (ii);
632 % semilogx ([x x],[-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
        linewidth ',2);
633 % hold on;
634 % end
   % Prepfig (15);
635
   x = Mar. PMFrequency;
636
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin;
637
    \underline{\texttt{semilogx}} ( \begin{bmatrix} x & x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -180 & -180 + PM \end{bmatrix}, \text{'k'}, \text{'}
638
        linewidth ',2);
639
    hold on;
640
641
    [mag, ph] = bode(Lo3, wvec);
642
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
643
    mag = transpose(mag);
644
    mag = mag2db(mag);
645
    ph = ph;
646
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
647
    ph = transpose(ph);
648
649
    Prepfig(15);
650
```

```
_{651} subplot (2, 1, 1);
```

```
h7 = \text{semilogx}(\text{wvec}, \text{mag}, 'r'); \text{ grid on};
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
653
      GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   hold on;
654
   title ('Open Loop (L_o)');
655
   subplot (2,1,2);
656
   h8 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'r'); grid on;
657
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
658
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
659
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
660
   set(h7, 'linewidth', 3);
661
   set (h8, 'linewidth',3);
662
   \% Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
663
       line to the phase
   disp('Lo3');
664
   Mar = allmargin(Lo3)
665
   \% x = Mar. PMFrequency (1);
666
  \% PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(1);
667
668 % semilogx ([x x], [180 180+PM], 'k', '
      linewidth ',2);
```

 $_{669}$  % for ii = 2: length (Mar. PMFrequency)

 $_{670}$  % x = Mar. PMF requency(ii);  $_{671}$  % PM = Mar. PhaseMargin (ii);  $_{\rm 672}$  % semilogx ([x x],[-180 -180+PM], 'k', ' linewidth ',2); 673 % hold on; 674 % end 675 % Prepfig(15);  $_{676}$  x = Mar. PMFrequency; PM = Mar. PhaseMargin;677 semilogx([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '678linewidth ',2); 679 hold on; 680 681 [mag, ph] = bode(Lo4, wvec);682 mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));683mag = transpose(mag);684 mag = mag2db(mag);685 ph = ph;686 ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));687 ph = transpose(ph);688 689 Prepfig(15); 690 **subplot** (2,1,1); 691 h11 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'y'); grid on; 692

```
ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
693
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   hold on;
694
   semilogx ([10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>5</sup>], [0 0], 'k', 'linewidth
695
       ',2);
   hold on;
696
   title('Open Loop (Lo)');
697
   subplot (2,1,2);
698
   h12 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'y'); grid on;
699
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
700
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
701
       GridLineStyle`, `-`, `linewidth`, 2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
702
   set(h11, 'linewidth',3);
703
   set(h12, 'linewidth',3);
704
   \% Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
705
       line to the phase
   disp('Lu3');
706
   disp('Lu3');
707
   Mar = allmargin(Lo4)
708
_{709} % x = Mar. PMFrequency(1);
_{710} % PM = Mar. PhaseMargin (1);
<sup>711</sup> % semilogx ([x x], [180 180+PM], 'k', '
```

linewidth ',2);

```
_{712} % for ii = 2: length (Mar. PMFrequency)
_{713} % x = Mar. PMFrequency (ii);
_{714} % PM = Mar. PhaseMargin (ii);
<sup>715</sup> % semilogx ([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
       linewidth ',2);
716 % hold on;
717 % end
718 % hold on;
_{719} x = Mar. PMFrequency;
_{720} PM = Mar. PhaseMargin;
  semilogx ([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
721
      linewidth',2);
722
   semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [180 180], 'k', '
723
      linewidth',2);
   hold on;
724
_{725} semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [-180 -180], 'k', '
      linewidth',2);
   hold on;
726
   semilogx([1e2 1e5],[-540 -540],'k','
727
       linewidth ',2);
   Prepfig(15);
728
729
   legend ([h3 h7 h11], 'Lo1', 'Lo2', 'Lo3');
730
```

```
731
   figure;
732
   bodemag(So2, wvec);
733
   hold on;
734
   bodemag(So3,wvec);
735
   hold on;
736
   bodemag(So4, wvec);
737
   hold on;
738
   bodemag(Line1, 'k—', wvec);
739
```

- 740 hold on;
- <sup>741</sup> bodemag(Line2, 'k—', wvec);

742 grid on;

743 opts=bodeoptions;

- <sup>744</sup> opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
- <sup>745</sup> opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12;
- <sup>746</sup> opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
- <sup>747</sup> opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
- <sup>748</sup> opts. Title. FontSize=20;
- $_{749}$  h\_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
- <sup>750</sup> set(h\_line, 'LineWidth',2);
- $_{751}$  h\_axes = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');

 $_{752}$  set (h\_axes , 'LineWidth ' ,1 , 'FontSize ' ,14 , '

## GridAlpha', 0.25);

- <sup>753</sup> title('So');
- <sup>754</sup> [hL, hObj]=legend('So1', 'So2', 'So3'); %

return the handles array set(hL, 'FontSize',14); 755hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'line'); % get 756the lines, not text set (hTL, 'LineWidth', 2) % set linewidth 757hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'Text'); % get 758the text set (hTL, 'FontSize', 14) % set fontsize 759760 figure; 761 bodemag(Su2, wvec); 762 hold on; 763 bodemag(Su3, wvec); 764hold on; 765 bodemag(Su4, wvec); 766 hold on; 767 bodemag(Line1, 'k—', wvec); 768hold on; 769 bodemag(Line2, 'k—', wvec); 770 grid on; 771opts=bodeoptions; 772 opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12; 773 opts.OutputLabels.FontSize=12; 774opts.XLabel.FontSize=14; 775 opts.YLabel.FontSize=14; 776

```
opts. Title. FontSize=20;
777
   h_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
778
   set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
779
   h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
780
   set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
781
      GridAlpha', 0.25);
   title('Su');
782
   [hL, hObj] = legend('Su1', 'Su2', 'Su3'); \%
783
      return the handles array
   set(hL, 'FontSize',14);
784
  hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'line'); % get
785
      the lines, not text
   set (hTL, 'LineWidth', 2) % set linewidth
786
   hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'Text'); % get
787
      the text
   set (hTL, 'FontSize', 14) % set fontsize
788
789
   figure;
790
   bodemag(To2,wvec);
791
   hold on;
792
   bodemag(To3, wvec);
793
   hold on;
794
   bodemag(To4, wvec);
795
   hold on;
796
   bodemag(Line1, 'k—', wvec);
797
```

<sup>798</sup> hold on;

<sup>799</sup> bodemag(Line2, 'k—', wvec);

- soo grid on;
- <sup>801</sup> opts=bodeoptions;
- <sup>802</sup> opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
- <sup>803</sup> opts. OutputLabels. FontSize=12;
- $_{804}$  opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
- <sup>805</sup> opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
- so opts. Title. FontSize=20;
- so7 h\_line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
- sos set (h\_line, 'LineWidth',2);
- so9 h\_axes = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');

```
set (h_axes , 'LineWidth ' ,1 , 'FontSize ' ,14 , '
GridAlpha ' ,0.25);
```

- s11 title('To');
- <sup>812</sup> [hL, hObj] = legend('To1', 'To2', 'To3'); %

return the handles array

```
s13 set (hL, 'FontSize', 14);
```

<sup>814</sup> hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'line'); % get

the lines, not text

```
s15 set (hTL, 'LineWidth', 2) % set linewidth
```

```
816 hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'Text'); % get
the text
```

```
s17 set (hTL, 'FontSize', 14) % set fontsize
818
```

- <sup>819</sup> figure;
- $_{820}$  bodemag(Tu2, wvec);
- <sup>821</sup> hold on;
- $_{822}$  bodemag(Tu3, wvec);
- <sup>823</sup> hold on;
- $_{824}$  bodemag(Tu4, wvec);
- <sup>825</sup> hold on;
- $_{826}$  bodemag(Line1, 'k—', wvec);
- <sup>827</sup> hold on;
- <sup>828</sup> bodemag(Line2, 'k—', wvec);

829 grid on;

<sup>830</sup> opts=bodeoptions;

- <sup>831</sup> opts.InputLabels.FontSize=12;
- <sup>832</sup> opts. OutputLabels. FontSize=12;
- <sup>833</sup> opts.XLabel.FontSize=14;
- <sup>834</sup> opts.YLabel.FontSize=14;
- s35 opts. Title. FontSize=20;
- $h_{\text{line}} = \text{findobj}(\text{gcf}, \text{'type'}, \text{'line'});$
- $_{\rm 837}$  set (h\_line , 'LineWidth',2) ;
- s38 h\_axes = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
- set(h\_axes, 'LineWidth', 1, 'FontSize', 14, '
  GridAlpha', 0.25);
- 840 title('Tu');
- 841 [hL,hObj]=legend('Tu1','Tu2','Tu3'); %
  return the handles array

```
set(hL, 'FontSize',14);
842
  hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'line'); % get
843
      the lines, not text
   set(hTL, 'LineWidth',2) % set linewidth
844
   hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'Text'); % get
845
      the text
   set (hTL, 'FontSize', 14) % set fontsize
846
847
   disp('Check Tu');
848
   mag2db(norm(Tu2, Inf))
849
   mag2db(norm(Tu3, Inf))
850
   mag2db(norm(Tu4, Inf))
851
852
   %% Lu
853
854
   [mag, ph] = bode(Lu2, wvec);
855
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
856
   mag = transpose(mag);
857
   mag = mag2db(mag);
858
   \%ph = ph + 360;
859
   ph = ph;
860
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
861
   ph = transpose(ph);
862
863
   figure;
864
```

```
Prepfig(15);
865
   subplot(2, 1, 1);
866
  h3 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'b'); grid on;
867
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
868
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   hold on;
869
   title('Open Loop');
870
   subplot(2, 1, 2);
871
h4 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'b'); grid on;
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
873
   hold on;
874
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
875
       GridLineStyle`, `-`, `linewidth`, 2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
876
   set(h3, 'linewidth',3);
877
   \operatorname{set}(h4, '\operatorname{linewidth}', 3);
878
_{879} % Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
       line to the phase
   disp('Lu1');
880
   Mar = allmargin(Lu2)
881
   x = Mar. PMFrequency(2);
882
  PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(2);
883
ssa semilogx ([x x], [-540 -540+PM], 'k', '
```

```
linewidth ',2);
   for ii = [1,3];
885
   x = Mar.PMFrequency(ii);
886
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(ii);
887
   semilogx([x x],[-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
888
      linewidth',2);
   hold on;
889
   end
890
   Prepfig(15);
891
892
   hold on;
893
894
   [mag, ph] = bode(Lu3, wvec);
895
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
896
   mag = transpose(mag);
897
   mag = mag2db(mag);
898
   ph = ph;
899
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
900
   ph = transpose(ph);
901
902
   Prepfig(15);
903
   subplot (2,1,1);
904
  h7 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'r'); grid on;
905
      ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
```

```
906 set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
```

```
\label{eq:GridLineStyle} GridLineStyle`, `-`, `linewidth`, 2);
   hold on;
907
   title ('Open Loop (L_u)');
908
   subplot(2, 1, 2);
909
   h8 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'r'); grid on;
910
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
911
  set (gca, 'fontsize',15); set (gca, '
912
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
<sup>913</sup> %h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line ');
   set(h7, 'linewidth', 3);
914
   set (h8, 'linewidth', 3);
915
_{916} % Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
       line to the phase
  disp('Lu2');
917
   Mar = allmargin(Lu3)
918
  x = Mar. PMFrequency(1);
919
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(1);
920
   semilogx([x x],[180 180+PM], 'k', '
921
       linewidth ',2);
   for ii = 2: length (Mar. PMFrequency)
922
   x = Mar.PMFrequency(ii);
923
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(ii);
924
  semilogx([x x],[-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
925
      linewidth ',2);
```

```
hold on;
926
   end
927
   Prepfig(15);
928
929
   hold on;
930
931
   [mag, ph] = bode(Lu4, wvec);
932
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
933
   mag = transpose(mag);
934
   mag = mag2db(mag);
935
   ph = ph;
936
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
937
   ph = transpose(ph);
938
939
   Prepfig(15);
940
   subplot (2,1,1);
941
  h11 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'y'); grid on;
942
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
943
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   hold on;
944
   semilogx ([10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>5</sup>], [0 0], 'k', 'linewidth
945
       ',2);
   hold on;
946
947 title ('Open Loop (Lu)');
```

```
subplot (2,1,2);
948
  h12 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'y'); grid on;
949
      ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
950
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
951
      GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
952
   set(h11, 'linewidth',3);
953
   set(h12, 'linewidth',3);
954
  \% Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
955
      line to the phase
   disp('Lu3');
956
   disp('Lu3');
957
   Mar = allmargin(Lu4)
958
   x = Mar. PMFrequency(1);
959
  PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(1);
960
   semilogx ([x x], [180 180+PM], 'k', '
961
      linewidth',2);
   for ii = 2: length (Mar. PMFrequency)
962
   x = Mar.PMFrequency(ii);
963
  PM = Mar. PhaseMargin(ii);
964
   semilogx([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
965
      linewidth ',2);
   hold on;
966
```

967 end

```
hold on;
968
   semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [180 180], 'k', '
969
      linewidth ',2);
   hold on;
970
   semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [-180 -180], 'k', '
971
      linewidth ',2);
   hold on;
972
   semilogx([1e2 1e5],[-540 -540],'k','
973
      linewidth ',2);
   Prepfig(15);
974
975
   legend ([h3 h7 h11], 'Lu1', 'Lu2', 'Lu3');
976
977
   \% Compare Designs 1 and 4
978
979
   [mag, ph] = bode(Lo1, wvec);
980
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
981
   mag = transpose(mag);
982
   mag = mag2db(mag);
983
   \%ph = ph + 360;
984
_{985} ph = ph;
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
986
   ph = transpose(ph);
987
988
   figure;
989
```

```
Prepfig(15);
990
   subplot(2, 1, 1);
991
   h3 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'b'); grid on;
992
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
    set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
993
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   hold on;
994
   title('Open Loop');
995
   subplot(2, 1, 2);
996
   h4 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'b'); grid on;
997
       ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
998
   hold on;
999
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
1000
       GridLineStyle`, `-`, `linewidth`, 2);
   \%h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
1001
   set(h3, 'linewidth',3);
1002
   \operatorname{set}(h4, '\operatorname{linewidth}', 3);
1003
_{1004} % Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
       line to the phase
   disp('Lo2');
1005
   Mar = allmargin(Lo2)
1006
   x = Mar. PMFrequency;
1007
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin;
1008
   semilogx ([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
1009
```

```
linewidth ',2);
```

```
1010
    hold on;
1011
1012
    [mag, ph] = bode(Lo4, wvec);
1013
   mag = squeeze(mag(1, 1, :));
1014
   mag = transpose(mag);
1015
   mag = mag2db(mag);
1016
   ph = ph;
1017
   ph = squeeze(ph(1, 1, :));
1018
   ph = transpose(ph);
1019
1020
    Prepfig(15);
1021
    subplot (2,1,1);
1022
   h11 = semilogx(wvec, mag, 'r'); grid on;
1023
       ylabel('Magnitude (dB)'); grid on;
<sup>1024</sup> set (gca, 'fontsize', 15); set (gca, '
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth', 2);
   hold on;
1025
   semilogx ([10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>5</sup>], [0 0], 'k', 'linewidth
1026
        <sup>'</sup>,2);
   hold on;
1027
    title('Open Loop (Lo)');
1028
   subplot (2,1,2);
1029
   h12 = semilogx(wvec, ph, 'r'); grid on;
1030
```

```
ylabel('Phase (deg)'); grid on;
   xlabel('Frequency (rad/s)');
1031
   set(gca, 'fontsize',15); set(gca, '
1032
       GridLineStyle', '-', 'linewidth',2);
   %h1 = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
1033
   set(h11, 'linewidth',3);
1034
   set (h12, 'linewidth', 3);
1035
_{1036} % Draw a vertical line from the -180 \deg
       line to the phase
   disp('Lo4');
1037
   Mar = allmargin(Lo4)
1038
   x = Mar. PMFrequency;
1039
   PM = Mar. PhaseMargin;
1040
   semilogx([x x], [-180 -180+PM], 'k', '
1041
       linewidth',2);
1042
<sup>1043</sup> semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [180 180], 'k', '
       linewidth',2);
   hold on;
1044
   semilogx ([1e2 1e5], [-180 -180], 'k', '
1045
       linewidth ',2);
   hold on;
1046
   semilogx([1e2 1e5],[-540 -540],'k','
1047
       linewidth',2);
```

```
1048 Prepfig(15);
```

```
1049
<sup>1050</sup> legend ([h3 h11], 'Lo1', 'Lo4');
 1 % m File: Imp_Plot_PAPER.m
 2
 3 clear all;
 4 close all;
 5 clc;
 6 %% Load the Data;
 7
   load('Data.mat');
 8
 9
   %% Minium of the Plots
 10
 ^{11}
   disp('Design 1');
 12
   Min_kd_Tu1 = kd(find(PeakTu1 == min(
 13
       PeakTu1)))
 14
   %% Plot
 15
 16
   Tu_Ratio_PM3_1 = abs(1./[2*sin(deg2rad(
 17
       Lu1_PM3(:)/2))]);
 ^{18}
    figure;
 19
   plot(kd,mag2db(Tu_Ratio_PM3_1),'b','
 20
       LineWidth ', 2;
```

```
hold on;
21
  plot (kd, PeakTu1, 'b—', 'LineWidth', 2);
^{22}
  hold on;
23
  plot (kd, PeakSu1, 'b: ', 'LineWidth ',2);
24
  hold on;
25
  grid on;
26
  plot (kd,6*ones(size(PeakSu1)), 'k', '
27
      LineWidth ',2);
  hold on;
28
  semilogx ([Min_kd_Tu1 Min_kd_Tu1], [0 min(
29
      PeakTu1)], 'k', 'linewidth', 2);
  [hL, hObj] = legend('PMc3 Ratio', '|T_c|_{\{}
30
      \inf \{y\}', ' | S_c | _{\{ \inf fy \}' } ;
  h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
31
  set(h_axes, 'LineWidth', 2, 'FontSize', 18);
32
  h_{-}line = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'line');
33
  set(h_line, 'LineWidth',2);
34
  h_{axes} = findobj(gcf, 'type', 'axes');
35
  set (h_axes, 'LineWidth', 2, 'FontSize', 20);
36
  % set(hL, 'FontSize', 20);
37
  hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'line'); % get
38
      the lines, not text
  set (hTL, 'LineWidth',2) % set linewidth
39
40 hTL=findobj(hObj, 'type', 'Text'); % get
      the text (legend)
```

- 41 set(hTL, 'FontSize',20) % set fontsize
  42 xlabel('k\_i', 'FontSize',20);
- 43 ylabel(' $|1/[2 \sin(PM_{c_3})/2)]|$  and  $|S_c|_{(\inf ty)}, |T_c|_{(\inf ty)}'$ ;

44 title(' $|1/[2 \text{ sin}(PM_{-}\{c_{-3}\}/2)]|$  and  $|S_{-}c|_{-}$ 

 $\label{eq:constraint} \left\{ \left| \mbox{infty} \right. \right\}, \ \left| \mbox{T_cc} \right| \_ \left\{ \left| \mbox{infty} \right. \right\} \ \mbox{versus} \ \ \mbox{k_i'} \ \ \ ); \\$