# Advancing the Implementation of Medication-Assisted Treatment in Residential Treatment

Centers

Georgette Quie

Edson College of Nursing and Health Innovation, Arizona State University

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To assess the attitudes and knowledge of behavioral health technicians (BHTs) towards opioid overdose management and to assess the effect of online training on opioid overdose response on BHTs' attitudes and knowledge, and the confidence to identify and respond to opioid overdose situations.

**Design/Methods:** Pre-intervention Opioid Overdose Knowledge Scale (OOKS) and Opioid Overdose Attitude Scale (OOAS) surveys were administered electronically to five BHTs in 2020. Data obtained were de-identified. Comparisons between responses to pre-and post-surveys questions were carried out using the standardized Wilcoxon signed-rank statistical test(*z*). This study was conducted in a residential treatment center (RTC) with the institutional review board's approval from Arizona State University. BHTs aged 18 years and above, working at this RTC were included in the study.

**Interventions:** An online training was provided on opioid overdose response (OOR) and naloxone administration and on when to refer patients with opioid use disorder (OUD) for medication-assisted treatment.

**Results:** Compared to the pre-intervention surveys, the BHTs showed significant improvements in attitudes on the overall score on the OOAS (mean=  $26.4 \pm 13.1$ ; 95% *CI* = 10.1 - 42.7; *z* = 2.02; *p* = 0.043) and significant improvement in knowledge on the OOKS (mean=  $10.6 \pm 6.5$ ; 95% *CI* = 2.5 - 18.7; *z* =2.02, *p* = 0.043).

**Conclusions and Relevance:** Training BHTs working in an RTC on opioid overdose response is effective in increasing attitudes and knowledge related to opioid overdose management. opioid overdose reversal in RTCs.

Keywords: Naloxone, opioid overdose, overdose education, overdose response program

# Advancing the Implementation of Medication-Assisted Treatment in Residential Treatment Centers

Between 1999 and 2014, nearly two million people in the United States (US) suffered from prescription opioid use disorder, with approximately 400 000 opioid overdose deaths (Hughes et al., 2015). Opioid use disorder (OUD) is often linked to legal, interpersonal, and work-related issues. The opioid crisis has resulted in unprecedented demand for services and increasing the use of medication-assisted treatment (MAT) is often a successful intervention in combating the rising overdose death rate.

#### **Problem Statement**

An opioid overdose is a serious problem not only in the United States, but all over the world. Furthermore, the opioid crisis is expected to cost the US \$78 billion a year (Ordeda et al., 2015). The continued underutilization of medication-assisted care, a validated form of opioid addiction treatment, is exacerbating the OUD issue. The US Department of Health and Human Services has made fighting the opioid crisis one of its highest national priorities (United States Department of Health and Human Services, 2016).

#### **Purpose and Rationale**

The purpose of this paper is to examine the risk factors for opioid overdose among patients in a residential treatment center, to educate behavioral health technicians on the risk factors of OUDs, pre-lapse behaviors for OUDs, and on when to recommend MAT services. Understanding these factors will help with the identification of high-risk patients, to facilitate the development of policies and procedures to reduce the risk of opioid-related adverse drug events, and to improve patient outcomes. Also, dissemination of addiction education into a residential treatment center can help in bridging the gap in treatment utilization for MAT.

# **Background and Significance**

# **Internal Evidence**

A residential treatment center located in the Southwestern U.S. has identified the underutilization of MAT services as an area for improvement within their organization. Behavioral health technician's make-up a high percentage of the staff and are front-line staff who care for residents with substance use disorder. Key stakeholders at these residential treatment centers denied providing clinical supervision and education to BHT staff.

Current practice is that peer support specialists are also responsible for referring patients for MAT. BHTs check on residents every two hours, use dogs to detect drugs on property, and distribute Narcan to residents. Overdose deaths impact not only other residents and their relatives, but also the BHT, and the medical and psychiatric providers. Increased opioid use has resulted in an increase in overdose rates (Baker, 2017). Opioid abuse has been linked to an increased risk of infection with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and Hepatitis C. (Ordeda et al., 2015). In British Columbia, the Canadian province most affected by the opioid crisis, the number of deaths attributed to illegal drug overdose rose by 78 percent in 2016 compared to the previous year (British Columbia Coroners Service, 2017).

### **Medication-Assisted Treatment**

Health services have built and tested models to incorporate medication-assisted treatment (MAT), also known as opioid-assisted treatment, into primary care settings over the last 15 years (Lagisetty et al., 2017). To treat patients with OUD, MAT is an evidence-based clinical approach that includes pharmacological therapies as well as psychosocial care (Lagisetty et al., 2017). Family therapy, counseling, and peer support services are examples of behavioral health therapy that can be used in conjunction with MAT. These programs are recommended to go along with MAT medicine and are thought to be the best (Saxon & Ef, 2016). MAT, on the other hand, appears to be underutilized. In 2012, the difference between OUD prevalence and evidence-based MAT capability was nearly one million people (Jones et al., 2015). Just about half of privately funded substance abuse recovery services have MAT, and only about a third of patients with opioid addiction receive it (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2016). From 35 percent in 2002 to 28 percent in 2012, the proportion of opioid addiction admissions with treatment plans that included taking drugs decreased (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2016).

Insufficient institutional support is frequently cited as a barrier to implementation (Chou et al., 2016). Also, patients in these residential treatment centers are referred for MAT services by BHTs who have little or no education on when to recommend MAT services. Other reasons for the underutilization of MAT services, as reported by key informants, include inadequate resources and staffing for coordination and integration of care, inadequate provision of nonphysician and nursing staff with expertise in OUDs, in order to implement a team-based approach.

#### **PICOT Question**

Among Behavioral Health Technicians taking care of patients diagnosed with opioid use disorder in a residential treatment center, does increase awareness through an online educational intervention on naloxone and opioid overdose response, when to refer patients with opioid use disorders for MAT, and on the screening, brief intervention, and referral for treatment (SBIRT) model, at one-week post-intervention, increase their attitude and knowledge of when to refer patients for MAT services?

#### **Search Strategy**

This literature review included an exhaustive search of the most current evidence to answer the PICOT question. Three databases were extensively searched- PsychINFO, Pubmed, and CINAHL. The database searches included a combination of the following keywords: *Barriers to medication-assisted treatment, opioid use disorder, peer support, overdose risk assessment, opioid overdose, residential treatment, MAT referral rates*, and *pre-lapse behaviors*.

# **PsychINFO Search Strategy**

The initial search of PyschINFO included the key terms *barriers to MAT*, *improving MAT*, *MAT Treatment*, and *MAT referral rates*. The following is a full electronic search strategy employed for PsychINFO database articles published since 2015: (*Barriers to MAT*) [All Fields] OR (*Improving MAT*) [All Fields] OR (*MAT treatment*) [All Fields] OR (*MAT referral rates*) [All Fields] AND (Opioid use disorder) [All Fields]. The search yielded 86 results. Other filters such as English language, peer-reviewed, qualitative, systematic review, and meta-analysis were applied to lower the article count to 13 results.

# **Pubmed Search Strategy**

A database search of PubMed utilizing key terms *medication-assisted treatment* (MeSH Terms), *opioid overdose*, and *residential treatment* were used. The following is a full electronic search strategy employed for PubMed database articles published since 2015: (*Medication-assisted treatment*) [All Fields] AND (*Opioid overdose*) [All Fields] OR (*Residential treatment*) [All Fields]. The search yielded 123 results. Additional filters such English language, meta-analysis, qualitative, systematic review, and peer-reviewed articles were added to limit the search count to 26 results.

# **CINAHL Search Strategy**

The initial CINAHL search included the key terms *peer support, medication-assisted education*, and *overdose risk assessment for opioid use*. The following combination was used for the CINHAL database: (*Peer support*) [All Fields] OR (*medication-assisted education*) [All Fields] AND (*overdose risk assessment for opioid use*) [All Fields]. Boolean terms were used to broaden the search. The search yielded 41 results. Filters applied included date of publication (2015 to 2020), English language, and peer-reviewed journal articles. The search produced 11 results. Also, adding the search terms *overdose risk assessments for opioid use disorders* and *pre-lapse behaviors for opioids* increased the articles to 16.

A gray literature search for unpublished studies, using combinations of search terms and concepts derived from electronic reference database search using Google Scholar was used. The top 30 results were reviewed for articles meeting inclusion criteria. Websites of key medical associations, addiction, and government publications from the United States Department of Health and Human Services and the National Institute on Drug Abuse were also searched and reviewed.

All studies of people aged 18 years old or older, evaluating peer recovery support services and recovery coaching services for substance use disorders were included. Studies evaluating FDA approved MAT for OUD were eligible, regardless of the route of administration. Peer-reviewed articles published in English language from 2015 to present was also included. Also, studies were limited to hospitals(inpatient), and residential rehabilitation settings. However, studies of humans younger than 18 years of age, pregnant women, and studies before 2015 excluded.

#### **Critical Appraisal and Synthesis**

The Melnyk and Fineout-Overholt's (2019) rapid critical appraisal was used to evaluate the quality and strength of the ten articles selected for this literature review. All ten studies included were systematic reviews and presented high-level evidence. Nine of the ten systematic reviews included articles that were carried out in both an inpatient and outpatient setting. The systematic review conducted by Maglione et al. (2018) only included articles that were studied in an outpatient setting. Six of the ten articles reported their source of funding, and no bias was recognized in any of the studies. All of the ten studies had an adequate sample size, and the number of articles was greater than or equal to ten in their systematic reviews. The literature review included an intercontinental sampling with all ten of the studies originating in North America (the USA and Canada). Current or previous substance use, past OUD, and a previous mental health diagnosis were listed as risk factors for OUDs in all ten studies. Four of the ten studies identified male sex as a risk factor for OUD. This inconsistency in whether male sex is a risk factor may be due to an interaction between sex and age. Only one of the ten studies conducted a systematic literature search that proved that peer support staff could improve outcomes for patients engaged in inpatient and/or outpatient psychiatric treatment for substance

use disorder and co-occurring mental disorders. Most of the interventions across the studies included recognizing the higher risk of opioid misuse in patients with a previous or concurrent history of substance use and mental health diagnoses and recommending withholding prescribed opioids to patients who fall in this category.

Measurement tools and intervention designs were heterogeneous across all ten studies. However, MAT has shown to reduce overdose rates for OUD in six of ten of the studies. Also, nine of 10 studies were useful to the PICOT since similar concepts were discussed and educating peer support on the risk factors for OUD can increase referral rates for MAT, thereby decreasing mortality and morbidity associated with OUD. Strong reliability and validity can be assumed for all the ten studies due to the priori research designs, a comprehensive search of electronic databases, duplicate study selection, quality assessment of measurement tools, methodology, and results that are statistically significant.

#### Conclusion

These studies indicate that a successful strategy for delivering OUD treatment and increasing MAT access in primary care necessitates multidisciplinary and organized care delivery models. MAT has shown to reduce illicit opioid use significantly and increasing access to MAT can reduce overdose fatalities. Also, BHT staff have shown to offer unique advantages to engaging difficult-to-engage populations, improving substance-related outcomes, and reducing substance use. Therefore, educating BHT staff on the benefits of MAT can increase the use of MAT in patients with OUD, thereby, decreasing mortality and morbidity associated with OUD. However, despite the recent adoption of BHTs within substance use treatment programs, there are relatively limited studies rigorously evaluating outcomes of their services. Since patients who report current or previous substance use, past OUD, and a previous mental health diagnosis were

common risk factors for OUDs in all ten studies, and alternative pain relief treatments should be prioritized for these at-risk patients.

#### **Conceptual Framework and Evidenced-based Practice Model**

The Chronic Care Model (CCM) was selected as the project's conceptual framework (See Figure 1). Despite the fact that patients with OUDs have been mistaken in the past as a bad habit or a moral failure, recent research shows that long-term therapies can be successful in treating patients with substance use disorders. As a result, it's fair to consider an opioid use disorder to be a chronic illness that necessitates long-term treatment. Since OUD is a chronic, relapsing disorder with high medical and psychiatric comorbidity, continuous treatment that includes screening, early intervention, support, and monitoring is crucial (Hser et al., 2017). The CCM is a treatment model that describes the most critical aspects of chronic disease management (Grover & Joshi, 2014). The model emphasizes proactive, patient-centered, multidisciplinary treatment, community resource use, and evidence-based practices (Wagner, 1998). The CCM allows nurses, social workers, and patients to engage in their own care, resulting in a systemic shift in the way people with chronic illnesses are cared for (Hser at al., 2017). The six main elements that interact to facilitate quality treatment for patients with chronic disease are included within this model. Patient protection, cultural competency, care planning, regional policy, and case management are among the other topics covered in the current CCM (Grover & Joshi, 2014). Through offering tools for planning and integration of treatment for patients with OUDs, CCM will ensure a multidisciplinary and team-based approach. The CCM tends to be a valuable framework for achieving evidence-based treatment for OUD with the same care team, deployed in similar ways as for other chronic diseases management.

## Methods

# **Inclusion criteria**

- Currently employed in the organization as a behavioral health technician.
- Adults 18 years of age or older.
- Able to speak, write, and understand English language.
- Able to provide informed consent.
- Willing to participate in the study that requires filling out pre- and post-intervention surveys, time commitment for education, and weekly follow-ups.

## **Exclusion criteria**

• Individuals who do not fully meet inclusion criteria.

# **Study Procedures**

- No funding was received for the project.
- IRB approval was initially obtained from ASU on 10/01/2020 but due to a change in the project site, another approval was obtained on 11/23/2020.
- The initial step was the recruitment phase. The student emailed the recruitment invites to the facility administrator for him to disseminate the project information to interested and qualified participants.
- Once potential participants responded to the invite, agreeing to participate in the project, a consent form was emailed along with a request for their availability for a phone call to discuss the project further and go over the consent form to ensure that they understood the purpose of the project and what was required of them. The phone call also provided an opportunity for the student and the participants to go over the consent form and to clarify any questions or concerns that participants they had.

- The student emailed the pre-intervention surveys to assess for knowledge and attitude of opioid overdose management among participants. Participants were expected to return the survey within 7 days or less after receipt. The student had obtained permission from the author of the pre- and post-intervention surveys to use the survey tools. Five participants who met inclusion criteria were recruited to participate in the study.
- A zoom invite was sent to participants. During the meeting, the student went over a 2hours PowerPoint presentation on naloxone and opioid overdose response, when to refer patients with opioid use disorders for MAT, and on the screening, brief intervention, and referral for treatment (SBIRT) model.
- A recording of the zoom presentation was emailed to participants who could not attend the zoom meeting. A follow-up email was sent to participants after a week of emailing the link to the recorded presentation. This intervention phase lasted for about 7 days.
- All five participants completed a post-intervention survey one week after the intervention to assess participants knowledge and attitudes towards opioid overdose management to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention to the participants. The student also gathered subjective data regarding participant's experience on participating in the study. This phase took about seven days.
- The timeline for the implementation phase for this EBP project lasted about 4-6 weeks.

#### **Privacy and Confidentiality**

- The documents such as recruitment papers, signed consent forms, pre-and postintervention surveys, and responses received from participants were printed from the student's email for hard copies, filed, and labeled in separate folders.
- The soft copy of the data collected was stored on a server called drop box with the link to the data accessible only to the student and the student's mentor. The student's mentor had to access the data for feedback, guidance, and recommendations.
- An encrypted server, password protection, and antivirus barriers were used to secure access to data.
- The student developed a master list of the participants indicating their participant ID code which was randomly assigned to ensure de-identification.
- An identification code was created and used on all questionnaires to identify participants.
- Participants assigned themselves IDs using the year they were born and the last four digits of their phone number. The IDs were entered on the master list and kept in a folder stored in the locked filing cabinet. The participants were informed not to share their IDs with anyone to ensure the maintenance of confidentiality.
- The identification code was used to link data from questionnaires to maintain confidentiality.
- All personal information about the participants and the organization was de-identified.
- Email addresses were collected to distribute cover letters, distribute pre/post-education questionnaires, and distribute link for zoom meetings.

- During the interaction activity done through zoom, participants were assigned a pseudo name (a name different from their real name). The zoom video of participants was turned off except for the co-investigator(student) video to maintain confidentiality.
- Email addresses were saved and managed in a separate file from other project data stored on a secure computer accessible only to the student and the principal investigator.
- An encrypted server, password protection, and antivirus barriers were used to secure access to data.
- All data collected was deleted and destroyed after data analysis was completed.
- All individuals with direct access to the data were formally trained in protecting human subjects before working with human subjects or collecting any data.
- The risks associated with breaches of confidentiality were minimized by taking the necessary precautions listed above.

# **Applying Evidence to Practice**

Increased morbidity and mortality among patients with OUD, exacerbated by continued underutilization of an evidence-based form of opioid addiction treatment known as MAT, puts pressure on health care providers to find new and creative ways to improve MAT use OUD in a variety of settings. According to the evidence, increasing OUD-related overdose deaths affect not only patients and their families but also staff, researchers, health policy, professional organizations, and federal lawmakers, according to key stakeholders. Because of the challenges of incorporating MAT in residential treatment facilities, effective implementation methods are likely to include multifactor approaches. It could include payer-clinic partnerships that include funding, contracting, policy reform, process development to improve operation, and consumer input to help organizations change. Data on possible barriers to MAT implementation, including resources necessary and how barriers differ depending on the environment, should be collected. Data on how many peer support workers are confident in recognizing patients with pre-lapse habits and withdrawal symptoms for OUD should also be collected. The institutional support through funded preparation, funding, and personnel for planning, alignment of treatment, and provision of non-provider staff with experience in OUD to adopt a team-based approach may all be used to improve MAT referral rates. Another initiative would be to educate peer support personnel to recognize patients with OUD and refer them to the appropriate care settings to initiate treatment. It is consistent with the evidence that peer-delivered rehabilitation support programs are a valuable addition to care for people suffering from drug use disorders (Tracy and Wallace, 2016). Staff awareness of when to refer patients to MAT services and referral rates for MAT services was calculated as outcomes.

### **Potential Outcomes**

Patients with OUD, their families, and the national healthcare system will all benefit from the implementation of initiatives aimed at increasing MAT use. MAT reduces opioid use, overdose deaths caused by opioids, drug activity, and the spread of infectious diseases (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2016). MAT improves social functioning and care adherence. Methadone or buprenorphine treatment for opioiddependent pregnant women improves their babies' outcomes (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2016). The 21st Century Cures Act of 2016 provides states with a onebillion-dollar grant over two years to expand MAT and develop health-care professional capability. By training BHTs, the aim is to increase referrals for MAT services while lowering mortality, morbidity, and OUD-related costs.

#### Results

Two surveys were administered to five BHTs before and after the educational intervention, including the Opioid Overdose Knowledge (OOKS) and Attitudes (OOAS) Scales. Three subscales and an overall score were calculated for the OOAS and four subscales and an overall score were calculated for the OOKS. In addition, a six-item Overdose Training Evaluation Form was administered at the end of the study. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to determine the significance of improvement in the BHTs' knowledge of and attitudes towards opioid overdose. The results for the OOAS are shown in Table 1. The BHTs showed significant improvements on the overall score and on all three subscales of the OOAS (p < .05).

 Pre- to post-intervention improvement in attitudes towards opioid overdose

	Pre-interv	ention	Post-interv	ention		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	<b>z*</b>	р
Opioid Overdose	Attitude Sca	ale (OOAS	)			
Competence	32.60	8.71	41.80	3.90	2.03	0.042
Concerns	21.00	7.62	31.20	4.09	2.03	0.042
Readiness	39.40	5.64	46.40	2.51	2.02	0.043
Total	93.00	19.04	119.40	8.17	2.02	0.043

\* standardized Wilcoxon signed rank test



The results are further displayed in Figure 1.

*Figure 1. Pre- to post-intervention improvement in attitudes towards opioid overdose The results of the pre- to post-intervention comparison of knowledge concerning opioid* 

overdose are summarized in Table 2. As shown, the BHTs displayed a significant improvement in knowledge overall (z = 2.02, p = 0.043), and on two of the four subscales, including Signs and Naloxone Use (z = 2.03, p = 0.042).

# Table 2

Pre- to post-intervention improvement knowledge of opioid overdose

	Pre-intervention		Post-inter	vention				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	z*	р		
Opioid Overdose Knowledge Scale (OOKS)								
Risk	6.00	2.00	6.20	1.10	0.14	0.892		
Signs	5.20	2.17	8.80	0.45	2.03	0.042		
Action	9.20	1.48	9.80	0.84	0.82	0.414		
Naloxone Use	7.40	2.07	13.60	0.89	2.03	0.042		
Total	27.80	6.30	38.40	1.82	2.02	0.043		

\* standardized Wilcoxon signed rank test

These results are illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Pre- to post-intervention improvement in knowledge of opioid overdose

The six-item Overdose Training Evaluation Form that was administered at the end of the study indicated a high level of positive responses from the BHTs. (See Figure 3.)



Figure 3: Overdose Training Evaluation

# Discussion

The results from the study indicate that training BHTs working in a residential treatment center on opioid overdose response is effective in increasing attitudes and knowledge related to opioid overdose management. The OOKS and the OOAS assessment tools were used to measure knowledge and attitudes respectively. These assessment tools have been validated and used in previous research for similar evaluations. Following the educational session, the overall cumulative scores on the OOKS and OOAS increased, suggesting that awareness and knowledge regarding opioid overdose had improved. Two of the OOKS subscores, Signs and Naloxone Use,

improved significantly after the educational session. According to previous studies, general practitioners (GPs) have a negative attitude about dealing with patients with SUDs. This conclusion was contradicted by the current research, which found that BHTs provided positive support to patients with SUDs. Although some GPs believed community naloxone coverage was more suitable for specialist drug services, research from Scotland showed that some GPs demonstrated tentative willingness to participate (Leece et al., 2015). The study's results indicate that BHTs might have clear information gaps when it comes to naloxone use. Such particular knowledge gaps may indicate wider deficiencies in opioid overdose response in clinical practice. The results of the study back up previous studies by Binswanger et al. (2015), who discovered that clinical staff sometimes lacks information about how to use naloxone rescue kits in outpatient settings. Brief naloxone preparation and education will improve awareness and attitudes about overdose prevention (Behar et al., 2015).

The small sample size, single geographic area, convenience sampling, unique demographics of the patients, and unique clinical environment of a residential treatment center, are all limitations of this study. As a result, the results may not apply to other situations. Also, the interval between follow-ups was extremely variable. This was partly due to the fact that the follow-up interviews were delayed due to a lack of response from participants. Longer follow-up periods could have minimized information retention from the intervention and bias findings away from the observed effect. Despite these shortcomings, the study does point to important research directions for the future, including evaluating the impact of educating all health care workers on opioid overdose response in reducing opioid overdose fatalities.

In conclusion, the efficacy of an educational initiative in enhancing patient awareness of opioid overdose and naloxone was demonstrated in this study. Training BHTs working in an

RTC on opioid overdose response is effective in increasing attitudes and knowledge related to opioid overdose management. Overdose education have been shown to minimize overdose deaths in the past, and this research adds to the evidence for making this a compulsory part of care for health care workers taking care of patients with OUDs.

#### References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2016). Medication-Assisted treatment models of care for opioid use disorder in primary care settings. https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/opioid-use-disorder\_technicalbrief.pdf
- Baker, D. (2017). History of the Joint Commission's pain standards: Lessons for today's prescription opioid epidemic. *JAMA*, 317(11), 1117–1118. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.0935
- Behar, E., Santos, G.-M., Wheeler, E., Rowe, C., & Coffin, P. O. (2015). Brief overdose education is sufficient for naloxone distribution to opioid users. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 148, 209–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.12.009
- Binswanger, I. A., Koester, S., Mueller, S. R., Gardner, E. M., Goddard, K., & Glanz, J. M. (2015). Overdose Education and Naloxone for Patients Prescribed Opioids in Primary Care: A Qualitative Study of Primary Care Staff. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, *30*(12), 1837–1844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3394-3
- British Columbia Coroners Service. (2017). *Illicit drug overdose deaths in British Columbia*. http://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/public-safety-and-emergency-services/deathinvestigation/statistical/illicit-drug.pdf
- Chou, R., Korthuis P.T., & Weimer, M. (2016). Medication-Assisted Treatment Models of Care for Opioid Use Disorder in Primary Care Settings [E-book edition]. Healthcare Research and Quality. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK402343/

- Cragg, A., Woo, S. A., Liu, C., Doyle-Waters, M. M., & Hohl, C. M. (2019). Risk factors for misuse of prescribed opioids: A systematic review. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 74(5), 634–646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.04.019
- Department of Vermont Health. (2020). Hub and Spoke. https://blueprintforhealth.vermont.gov/about-blueprint/hub-and-spoke
- Eddie, D., Hoffman, L., Vilsaint, C., Arby, A., Bergman, B., Hoeppner, B., Weinstein, C., & Kelly, J. F. (2019). Lived experiences in new models of care for substance use disorder:
  A systematic review of peer recovery support services and recovery coaching. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 1052. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01052
- Grover, A., & Joshi, A. (2014). An overview of chronic disease models: a systematic literature review. Global journal of health science, 7(2), 210–227. https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v7n2p210
- Haffajee, R. L., Bohnert, A. S., & Lagisetty, P. A. (2018). Policy pathways to address provider workforce barriers to buprenorphine treatment. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 54(6 Suppl 3), S230–S242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.12.022
- Hser, Y. I., Mooney, L. J., Saxon, A. J., Miotto, K., Bell, D. S., Zhu, Y., Liang, D., & Huang, D.
- (2017). High Mortality Among Patients with Opioid Use Disorder in a Large Healthcare System. Journal of addiction medicine, 11(4), 315–319.

https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.000000000000312

Hughes, A., Williams, M. R., Lipari, R. N., Bose, J., Copello, E. A., & Kroutil, L. A. (2015).
 Prescription drug use and misuse in the United States: Results from the 2015 national survey on drug use and health. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

- Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-FFR2-2015/NSDUH-FFR2-2015.htm
- Jones, C. M., Campopiano, M., Baldwin, G., & McCance-Katz, E. (2015). National and state treatment need and capacity for opioid against medication-assisted treatment. *American Journal of Public Health*, 105(8), e55–e63. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302664

Kirane, H., Ketteringham, M., Bereket, S., Dima, R., Basta, A., Mendoza, S., & Hansen, H.
(2016). Awareness and Attitudes Toward Intranasal Naloxone Rescue for Opioid
Overdose Prevention. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 69, 44–49.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2016.07.005

- Klimas, J., Gorfinkel, L., Fairbairn, N., Amato, L., Ahamad, K., Nolan, S., Simel, D. L., & Wood, E. (2019). Strategies to identify patient risks of prescription opioid addiction when initiating opioids for pain: A systematic review. *JAMA Network Open*, 2(5). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.3365
- Lagisetty, P., Klasa, K., Bush, C., Heisler, M., Chopra, V., & Bohnert, A. (2017). Primary care models for treating opioid use disorders: What actually works? A systematic review. *PloS One*, *12*(10), e0186315. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186315
- Leece, P., Orkin, A., Shahin, R., & Steele, L. S. (2015). Can naloxone prescription and overdose training for opioid users work in family practice? Perspectives of family physicians. *Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien*, *61*(6), 538–543.
- Maclean, R. R., Sofuoglu, M., Brede, E., Robinson, C., & Waters, A. J. (2018). Attentional bias in opioid users: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 191, 270–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.07.012

- Maglione, M. A., Raaen, L., Chen, C., Azhar, G., Shahidinia, N., Shen, M., Maksabedian, E., Shanman, R. M., Newberry, S., & Hempel, S. (2018). Effects of medication assisted treatment (MAT) for opioid use disorder on functional outcomes: A systematic review. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *89*, 28–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2018.03.001
- Malta, M., Varatharajan, T., Russell, C., Pang, M., Bonato, S., & Fischer, B. (2019). Opioid related treatment, interventions, and outcomes among incarcerated persons: A systematic review. *PLoS Medicine*, *16*(12), e1003002. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003002
- Murphy, S. M., & Polsky, D. (2016). Economic evaluations of opioid use disorder intervention: A systematic review. *PharmacoEconomics*, 34(9), 863–887. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-016-0400-5
- National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2016). *Effective treatments for opioid addiction*. https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/effective-treatments-opioid-addiction/effective-treatments-opioid-addictio
- Ordeda, G., Lake, J., Rudell, K., Roland, C., & Masters, E. (2015). Prevalence and economic burden of prescription opioid misuse and abuse systematic review. *Value in Health*, *18*(3), A296.www-sciencedirectcom.ezproxy1.lib.asu.edu/science/article/pii/S1098301515017830
- Saxon, A. J., & Ef, M.-K. (2016). Some additional considerations regarding the American Society of Addiction Medicine National Practice Guideline for the use of medications in the treatment of addiction involving opioid use. *American Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 10(3), 140–142. https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.00000000000219

- Sordo, L., Barrio, G., Bravo, M., Indave, B., Degenhardt, L., Wiessing, L., Ferri, M., & Pastor-Barriuso, R. (2017). Mortality risk during and after opioid substitution treatment:
  Systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMJ*, 357, J1550.
  https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j1550
- Tracy, K., & Wallace, S. P. (2016). Benefits of peer support groups in the treatment of addiction. *Substance Abuse and Rehabilitation*, *7*, 143–154. https://doi.org/10.2147/SAR.S81535
- United States Department of Health and Human Services. (2016). *Opioid Epidemic: By the numbers*. https://www.hhs.gov/opioids/
- Wagner, E. H. (1998). Chronic disease management: What will it take to improve care for chronic illness. Effective Clinical Practice, 1(1), 2-4 Webster, L. R. (2017). Risk factors for opioid-use disorders and overdose. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 125(5), 1741–1748

# Appendix A

# **Evaluation and Synthesis Tables**

# Table 1Evaluation Table

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Cragg et	Inferred to be	Design:	Distinct records	IV1: Any	Two	ORs	IV1 (OR	LOE: 1
al., (2019).	theory of Self-	Systematic	identified (N=	current or	independent	synthesized	3.55; 95%CI	Conclusion:
Risk	Transcendence	review of	9,629)	previous	reviewers	from	2.62 to 4.82),	The findings of
factors for		literature	Full text	substance	screened	individual	<b>IV2</b> (OR	the study
misuse of		and meta-	reviewed	use and its	publications	studies by	2.45; 95% CI	depicted that
prescribed		analysis of	(n=1114)	link to	for inclusion.	using	1.91 to 3.15),	younger
opioids: A		observationa	Final sample	opioid	Outcome	inverse-	IV3 (OR	victims are at
systematic		1 studies.	(n=65 studies)	misuse	ascertainment	variance	2.19; 95% CI	twice the risk
review and					methods	weighted	1.81 to	of opioid

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
meta-		Purpose:	Sample	IV2: any	included	random-	2.64), <b>IV4</b>	misuse than the
analysis		То	<b>Demographics:</b>	mental	clinical	effects meta-	(OR 1.23;	older victims.
Funding:		synthesize	a systematic	health	opinion, use of	analysis.	95% CI 1.10	Younger-
Canadian		the available	literature review	diagnosis	chart or	I-squared	to 1.36).	opioids naïve
Institutes		evidence	which adhered to	and its link	administrative	statistic		victims are
of Health		about	PRISR	to opioid	records, urine	Chi-square		five-times
Research		patient-,	and MAG, as	misuse	toxicology	test.		vulnerable to
Foundation		prescriber-,	well as the Meta-	IV3:	screening,			misuse of
Grant		medication-,	analysis of	younger age	patient self-			opioids
		and system-	Observational	and its link	report, family			compared to
Bias: None		level risk	Studies in	to opioid	or clinic staff			older ones.
<b>Countries</b> :		factors for	Epidemiology	misuse	report, opioid			Grade: Strong
USA and		developing	Guidelines, for	IV4: male	agreement			recommendatio
Canada		misuse	the reporting of	sex and its	violation, or			n. Recognizing
		among	systematic	link to	enrollment in a			the higher risk
		patients	reviews.		rehabilitation			of opioid

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
		prescribed		opioid	program. Two			misuse
		opioids for		misuse	reviewers			associated with
		noncancer	Setting:		independently			a previous or
		pain.	Inpatient and		appraised each			concurrent
			outpatient studies		included study			history of
			in Canada and		for potential			substance use
			USA.		sources of			and mental
			Nine electronic		bias.			health
			sources were		Reviewers			diagnosis,
			searched:		used versions			guidelines
			MEDLINE,		of the NIHCE			should
			EMBASE,		tool, for			recommend
			Cochrane,		sources of			careful
			Central Register		cofounding			prescription of
			of Controlled		and selection			opioids to these
					and		1	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			Trials, Database		measurement			group of
			of Abstracts		bias.			patients.
			of Reviews of					Strengths:
			Effects, the					Removal of
			Cumulative					studies with the
			Index to Nursing					narrowest CIs
			and Allied Health					in each meta-
			Literature, the					analysis
			Science Citation					reduced
			Index (Web					heterogeneity.
			of Science Core					Weaknesses:
			Collection),					All studies
			PsycINFO,					included in the
			Social Sciences					quantitative
			Citation Index					synthesis were
			(Web of Science					observational

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			Core Collection),					therefore the
			and the					findings have
			Sociology					the potential to
			Collection.					be affected by
			Inclusion					residual and
			Criteria:					controlled
			Studies in which					confounding.
			adults or children					Application to
			were first					patient
			exposed to an					population:
			opioid through a					Providers can
			prescription.					consider
			Exclusion					prioritizing
			criteria:					alternative pain
			Studies in which					management
			all patients					strategies by

~		<b>—</b> • ·	~			-		
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			reported first					identifying
			being exposed to					high-risk
			illicit opioids,					patients thereby
			were prescribed					decreasing the
			opioids for					risk of opioid
			cancer pain, or					misuse.
			were receiving					Utility to
			palliative care					PICOT:
			were excluded.					Educating peer
			Attrition: None					support on the
								risk factors for
								OUD can
								increase
								referral rates
								for MAT,
								thereby

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								decreasing mortality and morbidity associated with OUD. Feasibility: One eight-hour education session on the risk factors for MAT is feasible. Harm: None identified

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Eddie et	Inferred to be	Design:	Distinct records	<b>DV1:</b>	Title screen	I-squared	<b>DV1:</b>	LOE: 1
al., (2019).	the	Systematic	identified N=158	Effects of	removed 101	test	participants	<b>Conclusion:</b>
Lived	theoretical	review of		peer referral	duplicate		receiving	PRSS is
experience	framework of	literature.	PubMed	on patient's	records, and 11		intensive	beneficial in
s in new	phenomenogra		(n=14)	participation	records on		referral were	substance
models of	phy	Purpose:	EMBASE	in a 12-step	non-relevant		more likely	detoxification
care for		To report the	(n=26)	meeting on	topics.		over the past	units, since
substance		most up to	CINAHL	decreasing	An abstract		year have	successfully
use		date research	(n=55)	the risks	review		attended at	connecting
disorder: A		on PRSS.	PsychINFO	associated	removed 17		least one	individuals to
systematic			(n=63)	with OUDs.	records. All		meeting per	care following
review of			Final sample	<b>DV2:</b>	studies were		week (OR=	detoxification
peer			(n=24 studies)	Effects of	checked for		1.38) and had	is a persistent
recovery				peer support	accuracy by		greater 12 -	and vexing
support			<b>Demographics:</b>	referral on	project leads.		Step group	problem for
services			A systematic	patient's	Ouality		involvement	providers.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
and			review of	initiations	assessment		(d=0.23) and	Grade: High
recovery			literature of	of substance	form was used.		abstinence	because the
coaching.			humans of all age	abuse	Sources of bias		rates (OR=	quality of
			ranges.	treatment	for each study		1.61). 12 -	evidence
Funding:			Setting:	and	were evaluated		Step	supporting
No grants			PubMed,	completion	with the		involvement	significant
Bias: None			EMBASE,		QUADAS		mediated the	differences is
			CINAHL, and		tool.		association	high.
<b>Country:</b>			PsychInfo data				between	Strengths:
USA			bases were				referral group	Priori research
			searched.				and alcohol	design,
			Inclusion				and drug	comprehensive
			criteria:				outcomes and	search of
			Studies were				was	electronic data
			limited to RCTs,				associated	bases duplicate
			quasi-				with better	study selection

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			experimental				outcomes	and data
			studies, single				above and	abstraction of
			and multi-group				beyond	study.
			prospective and				group.	Weaknesses:
			retrospective				<b>DV2:</b> PRSS	Review did not
			studies, and				was	distinguish
			cross-				associated	between paid
			sectional/descript				with faster	peer support
			ive studies				outreach, and	workers such
			related to SUD.				shorter	as recovery
			Available				latency to	coaches, who
			outcomes were				initial clinical	are expected to
			included				assessment	have formal
			Exclusion				(d=0.16), and	training and
			criteria: Non				higher rates	certification,
			peer reviewed				of any	and untrained

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			items such as				treatment	volunteer peer
			book chapters				service	support who
			and dissertations				initiation.	may facilitate
			were excluded				Those	brief
			Attrition: None				receiving	interventions
							PRSS were	akin to 1-step
							less likely to	calls.
							complete	Application to
							treatment.	patient
								population:
								Peer support
								could be a cost-
								effective way
								to bridge the
								gap between
								detoxification
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
----------	------------	---------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	----------	-----------	------------------
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								and longer-
								term SUD by
								helping patients
								enter
								residential
								programs
								and/or engage
								with recovery
								programs in the
								community.
								Utility to
								PICOT: Since
								patients at CR
								are referred for
								MAT by peer
								support staff.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								educating peer
								support staff on
								the importance
								of MAT could
								increase
								utilization rates
								for MAT
								services
								thereby
								decreasing
								OUD-related
								deaths.
								Feasibility:
								Three 8-hours
								education
								session on

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								MAT is
								feasible.
								Harm: None
								identified

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Klimas et	Inferred to be	Design:	Distinct records	<b>DV1:</b>	Two	Population	<b>DV1:</b>	LOE: 1
al., (2019).	the theory of	Systematic	identified	Identifying	investigators	incidence of	A history of	<b>Conclusion:</b>
Strategies	Caregiving	review and	(N=1287)	risk factors	independently	prescription		While a
to identify	Dynamics	meta-		associated	assessed	OUD after	opioid use	
patient		analysis of	Full text	with opioid	quality to	opioid	disorder (LR	history of
risks of		literature.	reviewed	addiction.	exclude biased	prescription		substance
prescriptio		Purpose: To	(n=102)	<b>DV2:</b>	or unreliable	was	range, 17-	
n opioid		review the		Examining	study designs	estimated by	22) or other	use disorder,
addiction		evidence	Final sample	screening	and extracted	collating		certain mental
when		examining	(n=10 studies)	tools for	data from	data on	substance	
initiating		factors		identifying	higher quality	opioid	use disorder	health
opioids for		associated	Sample	adult	studies. The	dependence		diagnoses
pain: A		with opioid	demographic:	patients at	PRISMA-DTA	and abuse	(LR range,	ulagriceee,
systematic		addiction.	Studies of adult	high Vs low	and STARD	from	4 2-17)	and
review		and	humans that	risks of	reporting	previous	,,	concomitant
Funding:		screening	evaluated	developing		reviews on		

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
CIHR and		tools for	prescription	symptoms	guideline was	the topic	certain	prescription of
European		identifying	characteristics,	of	followed.	Incidence of		p
commissio		adult	patient	prescription		OUD	mental	certain
n grant		patients at	characteristics,	opioid		prescription	health	psychiatric
Bias: None		high Vs low	and screening	addiction.		was	····	poyoniaano
<b>Country:</b>		risk of	tools assessing			calculated	diagnoses	medications
North		developing	symptoms of			using	(e a	appeared
America		symptoms of	prescription			random	(0.9,	appearea
Bias: None		prescription	opioid addiction			effects	personality	useful for
<b>Countries:</b>		opioid	in the context of			estimate	disorder:	identifving
Australia,		addiction	pain management			from the		laonarying
Canada,		when	Setting:			included	LR, 27; 95%	patients at
Northern		initiating	MEDLINE and			studies and	CI 18-41)	higher risk
Europe,		prescription	Embase records			performed	01, 10 +1),	nighter nak,
Middle		opioids for	from January			via	and	few quality
Eastern		pain.	1946 to			comprehensi		

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Europe,			November 2018			ve meta-	concomitant	studies were
USA			were			analysis		
			systematically			software	prescription	available and
			searched.			version 3.	of certain	no symptoms.
			Inclusion			Data was		
			criteria:			entered into	psychiatric	signs, or
			Original studies			Microsoft	medications	screening
			that were			Excel	, , , , ,	
			included			spreadsheets	(eg, atypical	tools were
			compared			predesigned	antipsychoti	particularly
			symptoms, signs,			to calculate		
			risk factors, and			the	cs: LR, 1/;	useful for
			screening tool			sensitivity,	95% CL 15-	identifvina
			among patients			Specificity,		laonarying
			who developed			LRs, and	18)	
			prescription					

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			opioid addiction			their 95%	appeared	those at lower
			and those who			CI.		
			did not.				useful for	risk.
			Exclusion				identifying	Grade:
			Criteria:					low grade
			Studies of				patients at	few quality
			opioid-naïve				high risk of	studies
			patients newly				aniaid	available to
			starting opioid				οριοια	help health care
			medications for				addiction.	professionals
			pain and studies				Among	determine
			assessing for a				Among	which patients
			alagnosis of				individual	are likely to
			UUD among				findingo	develop OUD
			patients already				mangs,	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			receiving opioid				only the	Strengths:
			medications.				, ,	Priori research
			Attrition: None				absence of	design, risk of
							a mood	bias
							alia a val a v	assessments,
							alsoraer	and quality
							(negative	assessment
								tools used.
							LK, 0.30,	Weaknesses:
							95% CI,	Few studies were included
							0.45-0.52)	in the
							was	systematic
							associated	meta-analysis

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							with a lower	Application to patient
							risk of opioid	population:
							addiction.	This review
							DV2: Most	found that a
								opioid or
							screening	nonopioid
							tools	substance use
							involving	disorder,
								concomitant
							combination	prescription of
							s of	certain
							au cantinua a	psychiatric
							questions	medications,
								prolonged

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
-								practice
							were based	duration of
								opioid
							on low-	prescriptions
							quality	$(\geq 30 \text{ days}),$
								higher daily
							studies or,	opioid doses,
							when	and a history of
							die eve e etie	certain mental
							diagnostic	health disorders
							performance	may be useful
								for identifying
							was	patients at high
							assessed	risk for
							among high	prescription
							among nign-	UUD.
	1						1	1

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							quality	Utility to
								PICOT:
							studies,	Educating peer
							demonstrate	support on the
								risk factors for
							d poor	can increase
							performance	referral rates
							p =	for MAT,
							in helping to	thereby
							identify	decreasing
								mortality and
							patients at	morbidity
							high vs low	associated with
								OUD.
							risk.	Feasibility:
								One eight-hour

	1	1		1	1			T
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	i i		Decision for
						i i		practice/
						i i		application to
								practice
Lagisetty	Systems	Design:	Records	<b>DV1-</b> Effect	Two authors	I-squared		education
et al.,	Engineering	Systematic	identified	of MAT on	independently	statistic		session on the
(2017).	Initiative for	review and	through database	patient	screened titles	Tau-squared		risk factors for
Primary	Patient Safety	meta-	searching	outcomes	and abstracts	statistic		MAT is
care		analyses OF	(N=1844)	(health	for eligibility.	SMD		feasible.
models for		RCTs or		outcomes	The PRISMA			Harm: None
treating		quasi	Full-text articles	for the	recommendati			identified
opioid use		experimental	Assessed for	patient).	on was			
disorders:		trials and	eligibility		followed in			
What		observationa	(n=104)		conducting the			
actually		1 studies	Final sample		SR. Two			
works? A		Purpose:	(n=41 studies)		authors used a			
systematic		То	Demographics:		standardized			
review		systematicall	Studies of adults		form adapted			
Funding:		y analyze	18 years old or		from the	i i		
No grants		current	older with OUD		Cochrane	1		

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Bias:		evidence-	Setting:		Collaboration			LOE: 1
None		based,	PubMed,		to extract data			Conclusion:
<b>Countries:</b>		primary care	CINAHL,		from the			By evaluating
North		OUD MAT	EMBASE, and		included			not only patient
America,		interventions	PsychInfo data		studies,			efficacy, but
Europe,		and identify	bases were		independently			also structural
and		program	searched.		and in			characteristics
Australia.		structures	Inclusion:		duplicate.			of primary care
		and	Articles were		Two authors			models for
		processes	included if the		independently			delivering
		associated	intervention: (1)		assessed risk			MAT, this
		with	evaluated a		of bias via the			review
		improved	primary care-		validated			provides key
		patient	based health		Downs and			insights for
		outcomes in	delivery model		Black tool.			PCPs and
		order to	where primary					researchers

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
		guide future	care was defined					about ways to
		policy and	as care delivered					build upon
		implementati	in a general					existing
		on in	practice setting					resources and
		primary care	(i.e. private					personnel to
		settings.	practice,					more
			academic					effectively
			primary care					deliver OUD
			clinic) by a					treatment
			general medical				<b>DV1:</b>	Grade: Strong
			internist and/or				Treatment on	recommendatio
			family medicine				buprenorphin	n. With the
			physician only,				e was	need to rapidly
			(2) targeted				positively	disseminate
			adults (18 years				associated	primary care-
			or older) with				with	based models

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			OUD defined as				achieving a	to provide
			patients engaged				recommended	MAT, this
			in care to treat				QHI	study
			their opioid				score[(AOR)	highlights that
			addiction, (3)				= 2.19;95 %	policy makers
			evaluated patient-				CI=1.18-	and health care
			level outcomes				4.04].	professionals
			(e.g. patient					should strive to
			retention, urine					provide and
			toxicology					pragmatically
			screens,					evaluate at the
			satisfaction,					very least, the
			effect on health					provision of
			screening for					some
			comorbidities,					coordinated
			etc.), and (4)					care.

<u> </u>	<b>TE1</b> /		a 1 ( a			D (	<b>D</b> ' 1' /	T 1/0 1'
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			evaluated the					Strengths: By
			care model using					using the
			qualitative or					SEIPS
			quantitative					framework,
			methods.					systems design
			Exclusion					elements within
			criteria:					each
			Studies that did					intervention
			not include a					were described
			description of the					rather than
			care delivery					focusing only
			model evaluated,					on the broad
			focused					organizational
			exclusively on					framework of
			comparing					the
			intervention					intervention.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			settings without a					Comprehensive
			detailed					search of
			description of the					electronic data
			primary care					bases; Risk of
			intervention/prog					bias
			ram design, and					assessments.
			concentrated on					Weaknesses:
			specialty based					Only studies
			primary care					that were
			outside of a PCP					published in
			led primary care					peer-reviewed
			practice were					literature were
			excluded.					included.
			Attrition: None					Therefore,
								interventions
								that may be in

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								the pilot phase
								or have
								outcomes
								presented via
								other grey
								literature such
								as
								websites/forum
								s were not
								captured.
								Application to
								patient
								population:
								With the need
								to rapidly
								disseminate

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								primary care-
								based models
								to provide
								MAT, this
								study
								highlights that
								policy makers
								and health care
								professionals
								should strive to
								provide and
								pragmatically
								evaluate at the
								very least, the
								provision of
							1	some

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								coordinated
								care thereby
								decreasing
								mortality and
								morbidity
								associated with
								not using
								MAT.
								Utility to
								Picot:
								Educating peer
								support at CR
								on the positive
								outcomes of
								using MAT for
								OUD can

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Maclean et	Inferred to be	Systematic	N=1199 studies	IV1:	Lead author	Egger's test,		increase
al., (2018).	the theory of	review of	Full text articles	Attention	performed an	Begg's test,		referral rates
Attentional	Self-	literature	assessed for	bias in	initial	I-squared		for MAT and
bias in	Transcendence	and meta-	eligibility (n=40)	participants	screening and	test,		increase patient
opioid		analyses in	Final sample	with OUD,	then	Cochrane's		outcomes.
users: A		accordance	(n=21 studies)	non-	potentially	Q test, and		Feasibility:
systematic		with	<b>Demographics:</b>	dependent	relevant	Contour-		Three 8hours
review and		PRISMA	All adult	prescription	manuscripts	enhanced		education
meta-		standards	participants who	opioid	were discussed	funnel plots.		session on
analysis.		Purpose:	were opioid	users, and	and evaluated	Meta-		MAT is
Funding:		To conduct a	addicted or in	healthy	with other	analysis was		feasible.
No grants		systematic	treatment for	control.	authors.	conducted		Harm: None
Bias: None		review and	opioid use were	IV2:	Data extracted	using a		identified
<b>Countries:</b>		meta-	identified as	Attentional	from eligible	random		
UK, USA,		analysis of	"participants with	bias in	studies	effects		
Iran.		attentional	OUD".	participants	included study	model using		

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Netherland		bias studies	Setting:	with OUD	population,	Comprehens		LOE:1
s, China		for OUD.	Database	versus	sample size of	ive Meta-		The results of
			searches in	healthy	group(s),	Analysis 3.0		this systematic
			Google Scholar,	controls.	biological sex	software.		review and
			PubMed, and	Attentional	distribution in			meta-analysis
			PsychINFO of	bias refers	group(s),			suggest that
			studies published	to the	category of			individuals
			between 200 and	cognitive	opioid use,			with OUD
			2017.	processes in	treatment			exhibit robust
			Inclusion	which	setting,			attentional bias
			criteria:	attention is	attentional bias			to opioid cues
			Studies were	automaticall	task type,			when engaging
			included if they	y captured	stimuli used,			in MAT.
			(a) evaluated	by drug	experimental			Grade: Strong
			attentional bias in	cues and	setting, use of			recommendatio
			opioid users. (b)	maintained	attentional bias			n. If attentional

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			used a task to	on drug	modification,			bias precedes
			evaluate	cues.	primary			opioids use and
			attentional bias		attentional bias			relapse,
			that included		findings, and			interventions
			active response		association of			that reduce
			to study stimuli,		attentional bias			attentional bias
			(c) were peer-		with clinically			may be useful.
			reviewed, (d)		relevant			Strengths:
			calculated		findings.			Priori research
			attention bias by		To ensure		IV1:	design, risk of
			comparing		accuracy, two		There was	bias
			response to drug		authors in-		significant	assessment,
			and neutral		dependently		attentional	and
			stimuli, and (e)		extracted data		bias, i.e.,	comprehensive
			could isolate		and		attentional	search of
			attentional bias		inconsistencies			

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			specific to opioid		were discussed		bias differed	electronic
			versus neutral		until full		significantly	databases.
			stimuli from bias		agreement was		from zero	Weaknesses:
			to other salient		reached.		(M=	There were a
			stimuli.				35.53ms,	relatively small
			Exclusion				95% CIs =	number of
			criteria				23.45, 47.61,	studies that
			Studies that were				p< 0.001).	assessed
			not peer-				There was	attentional bias
			reviewed, studies				evidence of	between OUD
			of humans				heterogeneity	and healthy
			younger than 18				in attentional	controls, and
			years old, and				bias across	even fewer that
			studies of				studies, Q	assessed
			pregnant females.				(df=12) =	attentional bias
			Attrition: None				91 29 n<	in low-risk

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							0.001; I2=	prescription
							86.86%.	opioid users.
							IV2: There	Assessment
							was a	differed across
							significant	studies, with
							between-	different
							group	researchers
							difference in	using different
							attentional	tasks,
							bias (d= 0.72,	parameters, and
							95%CIs =	stimuli, which
							0.46, 0.98, p<	can complicate
							0.001). There	comparisons
							was no	across studies.
							evidence of	
							heterogeneity	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							in effect sizes	Application to
							across	patient
							studies,	population:
							Q(df=6) =	Patient
							8.713, p=	intervention
							0.19; I2=	that reduce
							31.14%.	attentional bias
							Egger's test	can be a useful
							for intercept	adjunct to
							provided no	MAT.
							evidence for	Utility to
							publication	PICOT:
							bias	Educating peer
							(intercept =	support on
							0.25, p=	interventions to
							0.91), and	reduce

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Maglione	Inferred to be	Meta-	N=6877	<b>DV1:</b> Effect	Two	I-squared	neither did	attentional bias
et al.,	the Cognitive-	analysis of	Full text articles	of MAT on	independent	statistic	Begg's test	can increase
(2018).	Behavioral	RCTs using	assessed for	cognitive	reviewers	Tau-squared	(p= 0.65).	outcomes of
Effects of	Frame of	the Hartung-	eligibility	processing.	screened	statistic	The funnel	patient on
medication	Reference	Knapp	(n=1411)	<b>DV2:</b> Effect	abstracts and	SMD	plot exhibited	MAT.
-assisted		method for	Final	of MAT on	full texts using		little evidence	Feasibility:
treatment		random-	sample(n=40)	Physical	predetermined		of publication	A two-hours
for opioid		effects	<b>Demographic:</b>	function.	eligibility		bias.	class on some
use		models.	Studies of adult		criteria. The			of the
disorder on		A priori	humans, 18 years	<b>DV3:</b> Effect	Cochrane Risk			interventions to
functional		research	of age or older.	of MAT on	of Bias tool			reduce
outcomes:		design	Setting:	social	was used for			attentional bias
А			PubMed,	behavioral	controlled			is feasible.
systematic		Purpose: To	PsychINFO,	function	trials. For			Harm: None
review.		synthesize	EMBASE	<b>DV4:</b> Effect	observational			identified
Funding:		evidence on	CINAHL,	of MAT on	studies,			

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
DCEPHTI		the effects of	Cochrane	neurological	representativen			LOE: 1
and		MAT for	Central,	function.	ess of the			Conclusion:
USDD		OUD on	and CDSR		MAT patients			The
		functional	databases were		and baseline			weaknesses in
Bias: None		outcomes,	searched.		similarity of			the body of
		including	Inclusion		compared			evidence
<b>Countries:</b>		cognitive,	criteria: Studies		groups were			prevent any
North		physical,	were limited to		assessed.			strong
America		occupation,	outpatient					conclusions
and Europe		social/	settings, studies					about the
		behavioral	were limited to					effects of MAT
		and,	controlled trials,					on functional
		neurological	with or without					outcomes or
		function.	random					difference
			assignment, and					among
								medication

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			observational					types. Some
			studies.					studies that
			Exclusion					compared
			criteria:					MAT patients
			Pregnant women,					to persons with
			studies carried-					OUD who did
			out in inpatient					not receive
			hospitals and					MAT reported
			residential					significant
			rehabilitation					beneficial
			facilities, cross-				DV1:	effects
			sectional studies.				Compared	regarding
			Attrition: None				with matched	criminal
							controls with	activity.
							no history of	Grade: Low
							opioid use,	because the

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							methadone	quality of
							patients in	evidence
							shorter RCT	supporting
							had higher	significant
							verbal	differences is
							memory	low.
							scores (SMD	Strengths:
							0.81; 95CI	Priori research
							0.25, 1.36).	design,
							<b>DV2:</b> Fewer	duplicate study
							methadone	selection and
							patients	data abstraction
							(50%)	of study
							reported	information,
							fatigue than	comprehensive
							did the	search of

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Malta et al., (2019). Opioid- related treatment, interventio ns, and outcomes among incarcerate d persons: A systematic review.	Inferred to be the theory of self-efficacy	Systematic review of peer- reviewed literature and meta- analyses in accordance with PRISMA 2019 checklist. <b>Purpose:</b> To assess	Distinct records identified through database searching N=2356 Full text reviewed (n=186) Final sample (n=46 studies) <b>Sample</b> <b>Demographics:</b> Studies of incarcerated adult	IV1: Opioid use intervention s during incarceratio n. IV2: Opioid use intervention s post- incarceratio n.	Two reviewers independently screened all articles in a two-step screening process-first screening the titles/abstracts followed by the full-text articles. When consensus could not be	<i>t</i> -test	untreated opioid users (RR 0.78; CI 0.56,1.09) <b>DV3:</b> No significant difference found at four weeks (SMD 0.69; CI-0.05, 1.42) <b>DV4:</b> The difference in mean score	electronic databases, and risk of bias assessments. Weaknesses: None noted Not applicable to patient due to weakness in the body of the evidence. Study will not be used due to limited
		related	or older.		reached among		statistically	evidence.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
<b>Funding:</b>		interventions	Setting:		reviewers, a		significant	LOE: 1
Support		delivered	The search		third reviewer		(SMD 0.12;	Conclusion:
from		during and	included the		became		CI-0.46,	This review
CIHR, The		after	following		involved to		0.69).	highlights the
Chair in		incarceration	scientific		resolve			need to
Addiction		among adult	literature		standing			implement and
(University		population	databases:		conflicts.			scale up
of		correctional	Criminal Justice		Dalayant			evidence-based
Toronto)		populations.	Abstracts,		Kelevant			strategies to
And from			Embase,		Information			ensure
the			MEDLINE,		was extracted			incarcerated
HGFCAR,			National		and inputted			individuals
University			Criminal Justice		into a			with OUD are
of			Reference		form All			able to access
Auckland.			Service		101111. All			adequate
Bias: None			(NCJRS),		eligible studies			treatment and

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Country:			PsycINFO,		were assessed			care during and
North			Scopus, and Web		for quality			post-
America,			of Science.		using the			incarceration.
Australia,			Articles reviewed		Joanna Briggs			Grade: Strong
Asia, and			were published		Institute			recommendatio
Europe.			between 2008-		Critical			n.
			2019.		Appraisal			Health
			Inclusion		Tools			professionals,
			criteria:					policy makers,
			The review				<b>IV1:</b> 76.9%	researchers,
			included studies				received	and legislators
			conducted among				OAT while	can work
			adult participants				incarcerated,	together to
			who (1) were				mortality of	build a system
			opioid users at				opioid-	that helps with
			the time of the				dependent	identifying

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			study and/or had				incarcerated	incarcerated
			been diagnosed				persons was	persons with
			with OUD prior				significantly	OUD, who can
			to or during				lower among	from OAT, and
			incarceration and				those	reach similar
			(2) were				receiving	levels of
			incarcerated or				OAT in	treatment
			recently released				prison, hazard	adherence,
			into the				of all-cause	health and
			community (≤90				death was	social
			days post-				74% lower	improvements
			incarceration).				among those	as persons with
			Exclusion				receiving	OUD without
			criteria:				OAT in	incarceration
			Studies were				prison vs.	history
			excluded if				those onioid-	

Image: Control in the image: Contro

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			participants were				dependent not	Strengths:
			(1) not opioid				in OAT	Comprehensive
			users, (2) using				(AHR = 0.26,	search of
			opioids for				95% CI 0.13-	electronic
			medical purposes				0.50).	database
			(not including for				IV2:	search. First
			OUD), (3)				Incarcerated	study to
			released from				persons who	systematically
			incarceration for				continued	review the
			more than 90				MMT post-	literature to
			days, (4) on				release had a	assess the
			probation or				36% lower	effects of both
			parole at the time				risk of	treatment-
			of the study, or				recidivism vs.	based and
			(5) involved in				non-MMT-	preventive
			drug treatment				treated group	opioid-related

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			court or other				(AHR = 0.64,	interventions
			diversion				95% CI 0.47–	delivered
			programs.				0.88, p <	during and
			Attrition: None				0.01).	after
								incarceration
								among adult
								correctional
								populations.
								Weaknesses:
								The
								determination
								of whether
								there was a
								meaningful
								effect for each
							1	study outcome
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
----------	------------	---------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	----------	-----------	-----------------
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								was based on
								statistical
								significance,
								which does not
								necessarily
								represent
								clinical or
								population-
								level
								significance.
								Application to
								patient
								population:
								This study
								reinforces the
							l .	positive impact

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	_		Decision for
								practice/
						1		application to
								practice
								of providing
						1		OAT in
						1		correctional
						1		settings. OAT
								decreases
						1		mortality rates,
								reduces opioid
						1		use, and
						1		improves
						1		addiction
						1		treatment
						1		intake and
								retention post-
								incarceration.
						1		Utility to
							ĺ	<b>Picot:</b> Since

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								most of the
								patients at CR
								are incarcerated
								educating peer
								support on the
								importance of
								OAT can
								increase
								referral rate for
								OAT, thereby
								decreasing
								mortality and
								morbidity
								associated with
								OUD
								Feasibility:

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Murphy &	Inferred to be	Systematic	Articles	IV1:	A sensitive	Cost benefit		A three, eight-
Polsky,	the theory of	review and	identified	Economic	approach was	analysis.		hours class on
(2016).	Caregiving	meta-	through database	evaluation	used to ensure			some of the
Economic		analysis of	searches	of MMT	a			interventions to
evaluations		literature.	N=98		comprehensive			reduce
			Final sample		list of relevant			attentional bias
of opioid		A decision	(n=49 articles)		articles.			is feasible.
use		analytic	<b>Demographics:</b>		The			Harm: None
disorder		model.	Studies of adult		Drummond			identified
interventio		Purpose:	humans of age 18		checklist was			
n: A		To review	years old and		used to			
systematic		the literature	above.		evaluate and			
roviou		on economic	Setting:		categorize			
		evaluations	Searched		studies			
Funding:		of opioid use	databases		according to			
			included:		their quality.			

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
No funding		disorder	PubMed/MEDLI					LOE: 1
received.		intervention.	NE, Cochrane					Conclusion:
Bias: None			Library, Cost-					The evidence
Country:			Effectiveness					on MMT
North			Analysis					supports
America,			Registry, Web of					previous
Asia,			Science, JSTOR,					findings that
Europe,			ScienceDirect					MMT is an
and			and Google					economically
Australia			Scholar, UK					advantageous
			NHS Economic					opioid-use-
			Evaluation					disorder
			Library					therapy.
			Database,					Grade: Low
			EconLit,					because the
			PsvcINFO.					literature

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			SciELO Citation					comparing
			Index, Social					MMT to other
			Science Citation					OUD
			Index, and the					pharmacothera
			Derwent					pies is still
			Innovations					quite limited.
			Index.					Strengths:
			Inclusion					Quality
			criteria:					assessment of
			Articles that				IV1: Studies	studies and
			Articles that				of	comprehensive
			locused off				beneficiaries	electronic
			opioid-use				who received	search.
			treatment of				MMT had the	
			treatment of				lowest costs	
			opioia use				(\$7 163 [2004	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			disorders as an				USD]),	Weaknesses:
			outcome were				followed by	Among those
			included.				studies of	studies that did
			Evolucion				members	incorporate
			Exclusion				with 2 or	effectiveness
			criteria:				more	measures,
			Articles were				outpatient	many were
			excluded for not				addiction	clinical in
			containing				treatment	nature. One
			sufficient				visits and no	problem with
			information on				methadone	clinical
			costs or other				(\$14,157),	outcomes is
			pertinent				and members	that they fail to
			economic				with 1 or 0	capture many
			variables; articles				outpatient	of the
			that focused				addiction	consequences

		1	1					
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			solely on				treatment	associated with
			identifying the				visits and no	opioid misuse,
			costs of opioid				methadone	such as
			misuse or of				(\$18,694).	changes in
			providing a					quality of life.
			service; and					<b>Application to</b>
			articles that were					patient
			poster abstracts					population:
			published in					Not applicable
			conference					to patient
			proceedings;					population due
			Studies were					to limited
			excluded if they					evidence
			were not an					comparing
			economic					MMT to other
			evaluation of an					

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			OUD					forms of OUD
			intervention.					treatment.
								Utility to
			Attrition: None					PICOT: Not
								applicable due
								to limited
								evidence.
í -								

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Ordeda et	Inferred to be	A systematic	N=5281	IV1: The	Data from all	Cost benefit		
al., (2015).	the theory of	review was	Final sample	prevalence	selected	analysis		
Prevalence	self-efficacy	conducted to	(n=21)	of POMA	articles were			
and		update the	<b>Demographics:</b>	IV2: Cost	extracted by			
economic		2009 results	Studies of adult	of POMA	two			
burden of		by reviewing	humans 18 years		independent			
prescriptio		literature	of age or older.		reviewers, any			
n		involving	Setting:		discrepancies			
opioid		humans	PubMed,		between			
misuse and		published in	Embase, and		extractions			
abuse. A		English from	OpenSIGLE (for		were verified			
systematic		2009-2014.	gray literature)		for accuracy			
review.		Purpose:	databases were		by an			
Funding:		To update	ere searched with		independent			
CDC		and	focus on the cost		third reviewer.			
Bias: None		synthesize						

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Country:		all evidence	and prevalence of		Published			LOE:1
USA		around	POMA.		checklists were			Conclusion:
		prevalence	Inclusion		used to assess			This systematic
		and costs of	criteria:		the relevance			literature
		opioid abuse	Studies that		and credibility			review shows
		_	assessed abuse of		of			that abuse of
			prescription		observational			prescription
			opioids,		studies,			opioids is
			including OUD,		retrospective			characterized
			poisoning, and		database			by substantial
			fatal and non-		analyses, and			direct
			fatal overdose		economic			healthcare
			were included.		model studies.			costs, medical
			Exclusion					utilization, and
			criteria:					related societal
								costs

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			Studies that did					
			not provide					Grade:
			specific data for					Strong
			the prescription					recommendatio
			opioid abusing					n.
			subgroup of a					An improved
			broader					understanding
			population of					of the
			licit and illicit					magnitude of
			substance users				IV1: POMA	these costs will
			were excluded.				prevalence	inform policy
			Attrition: None				ranged from	making
							1.6 -	
							2.66/1000 in	Strengths:
							US privately	Relevance and
							insured and	credibility of

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							5.0 -	studies
							8.7/1000 in	performed;
							Medicaid. 5-	duplicate study
							year VA	selection.
							prevalence	
							was	Weaknesses:
							11.1/1000.	Study designs
							Prevalence in	varied
							the US	considerably
							increased	making it
							from	difficult to
							1.8/1,000 to	directly
							5.0/1,000 in	compare
							Florida	findings.
							Medicaid and	
							0.5/1.000 to	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							1.6/1,000 in	Application to
							commercially	patient
							insured from	population:
							1999-2006.	Due to the
							Global illicit	increased cost,
							opioid	mortality, and
							dependence	morbidity
							rate was	caused by
							2.2/1000.	opioid use,
							IV2: Total	MAT has
							US societal	shown to
							costs of	reduce cost,
							POMA were	mortality, and
							\$53.4 -\$57.7	morbidity
							billion.	among people
							Prescription	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							opioid	dependent on
							poisoning	opioids.
							accounted for	Utility to
							\$15.9 billion.	PICOT:
							Excess	Educating
							annual	peers at CR on
							medical costs	the importance
							in	of MAT for
							commercial	opioids can
							claims data	increase
							for patients	referral rate for
							with	MAT, thereby
							diagnosed	decreasing
							opioid abuse	mortality and
							and	morbidity
							dependence	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Ouality
	Conceptual	Method	1 0	Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	5		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Sordo et	Inferred to be	Meta-	Distinct records	IV1: Cause	All abstracted	<i>t</i> -test	was \$9,456-	associated with
al., (2017).	theory of	analysis of	identified	and	data were	Poisson	\$20,546.	OUD.
Mortality	Caregiving	RCTs using	N=2033	overdose	checked for	distribution	Similar	Feasibility:
risk during	Dynamics	the Hartung-	Medline	mortality	accuracy by	for the	results were	Three 8-hours
and after		Knapp	(n=1215)	rates during	project leads.	observed	seen in	education
opioid		method for	Embase (n=729)	periods in	Two	number of	Medicaid and	session on
substitutio		random-	Lilacs(n=729)	and out of	investigators	deaths and	the VA which	MAT is
n		effects	PsychINFO	treatment	independently	fixed person	were	feasible.
treatment:		models.	(n=486)	with	reviewed the	years at risk.	~\$15,000.	Harm: None
Systematic		A priori	Other searches	methadone	titles and	Mortality	The per event	identified
review and		research	(n=102)	and	abstracts	rates in and	cost for	
meta-		design	n=20	Buprenorph	identified in	out	opioid abuse	
analysis of		Purpose:	Retained for	ine	the search, and	treatment	related	
cohort		To compare	review (n=328)	<b>IV2:</b>	retrieved	were jointly	ED/inpatient	
studies.		the risk for	Final sample	Evaluate	articles to	combined	care was	
		all cause and	(n=20 studies)	heterogeneit	determine	across	\$18,891	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
Funding:		OD	<b>Demographics:</b>	y of	eligibility, and	methadone		LOE: I
ISCIII		mortality in	prospective and	mortality	to extract study	and Subutex		<b>Conclusion:</b>
Network		people with	retrospective	rates over	data.	by using		Retention in
and		opioid	cohort studies in	time in and	Quality	multivariate		methadone and
EMCDDA		dependence	individuals with	out of	assessment	effects meta-		buprenorphine
Bias: None		during and	opioid	treatment,	form based on	analysis.		treatment, is
<b>Country:</b>		after	dependence that	particularly	standardized			associated with
Australia,		substitution	reported deaths	within the	and			substantial
Canada,		treatment	from all causes or	first few	extensively			reductions in
Northern		with	OD during	weeks after	used			the risk for all-
Europe,		methadone	follow-up periods	treatment	instruments			cause and
Middle		or	in and out of	initiation	was used:			overdose
Eastern		buprenorphi	opioid	and	The			mortality in
Europe,		ne as well as	substitution	cessation.	methodology			people who
USA		to	treatment with		checklist for			dependent on
		characterize			cohort studies			opioids.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
		trends in risk	methadone or		developed by			The induction
		of mortality	buprenorphine.		the SIGN. The			phase onto
		after the	Setting: Inpatient		checklist for			methadone and
		initiation	and outpatient		drug-related			the time
		and	studies in		studies			immediately
		cessation of	Australia,		developed by			after leaving
		treatment.	Canada, Northern		the NDARC,			treatment with
			Europe, Middle		Australia.			both drugs are
			Eastern Europe,					periods of
			and USA.				The pooled	particularly
			Medline,				all-cause	increased
			Embase,				mortality	mortality risk,
			PsychINFO, and				rates in the	which should
			LILACS				three	be dealt with
			databases were				buprenorphin	by both public
			searched by				e cohorts	health and

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			using specific				were 4.3 and	clinical
			index terms				9.5 deaths per	strategies to
			linked to the				1000 persons	mitigate such
			topics of opioid				years in and	risk.
			dependence,				out treatment	
			opioid				respectively.	Grade: Strong
			substitution				All-cause	recommendatio
			treatment,				mortality	n.
			mortality, and				rates varied	Precautions
			cohort studies				widely across	should be taken
			Inclusion				the 16	during and
			Criteria:				methadone	after opioid
			prospective or				cohorts	substitution
			retrospective				(P<0.001).	treatment to
			cohort studies in				The pooled	increase safety.
			people with					

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			opioid				all-cause	Strengths:
			dependence that				mortality	Synthesized
			reported deaths				rates were	evidence from
			during follow-up				11.3 and	cohort studies
			periods in and				36.1deaths	published until
			out of opioid				per100	2016, first
			substitution				persons years	study that
			treatment with				in and out of	quantified
			methadone or				methadone	mortality
			buprenorphine.				treatment	changes
			Exclusion				respectively	overtime
			criteria: No data				(unadjusted	during and
			in humans,				out-to-in rate	after
			people in prison				ratio of 3.20,	methadone
			or recently				95%	treatment.
			released no all				confidence	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
			cause or OD				interval 2.65	Weaknesses:
			mortality as				to 3.86). In	Did not include
			outcome or no				pooled trend	studies in low-
			deaths over				analysis, all-	and middle-
			follow-up				cause	income
			Study not				mortality	countries, study
			focused on				dropped	included
			people with				sharply over	observational
			opioid				the first four	studies, the
			dependence, no				weeks of	same patients
			original research.				methadone	are compared
			Attrition: None				treatment and	throughout
							decreased	follow-up
							gradually two	periods in and
							weeks after	out of
							leaving	substitution

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							treatment.	treatment, but
							All-cause	these patients
							mortality	leave and re-
							remained	enter treatment
							stable during	in a non-
							induction and	random way.
							remaining	Also, by the
							time on	study design,
							buprenorphin	overdose
							e treatment.	mortality was
							Overdose	not captured
							mortality	when opioid
							evolved	substitution
							similarly,	was obtained
							with pooled	on illicit drug
							overdose	markets.

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
							mortality	Application to
							rates of 2.6	patient
							and 12.7 per	population:
							1000 person	Due to the
							years in and	numerous
							out	deaths caused
							methadone	by opioid use,
							treatment	Methadone and
							(unadjusted	buprenorphine
							out-to-in rate	have shown to
							ratio 4.8, 2.90	reduce
							to 7.96) and	mortality
							1.4 and 1.6 in	among people
							and out of	dependent on
							buprenorphin	opioids.
							e treatment.	

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n	-		Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								Utility to the
								PICOT:
								Educating
								peers at CR on
								the importance
								of MAT for
								opioids can
								increase
								referral rate for
								MAT, thereby
								decreasing
								mortality and
								morbidity
								associated with
								OUD.
								Feasibility:

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality
	Conceptual	Method		Variables &	Instrumentatio	Analysis	Results	of Evidence;
	Framework			Definitions	n			Decision for
								practice/
								application to
								practice
								Ten 8 hours
								education
								session on
								MAT is
								feasible.
								Harm: None
								identified.

### Table 2

#### Synthesis Table

Author	Crag	Eddi	Klimas	Lagisett	Maclea	Maglione	Malta	Murphy &	Ordeda et al.	Sordo et al.
	g et al.	e et al.	et al.	y et al.	n et al.	et al.	et al.	Polsky		
Year	2019	2019	2019	2017	2018	2018	2019	2016	2015	2017
Design	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR	SR
LOE	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
					Theory/ Fran	Conceptual nework				
Theory of Self- Transcendence	Х				Х					
Theoretical framework of phenomenograp hy		X								
Theory of Caregiving Dynamics			Х					X		Х
Systems Engineering Initiative for Patient Safety				X						
Cognitive- Behavioral						Х				

Frame of										
Reference										
Theory of Self-							Х		Х	
Efficacy										
					Study Ch	aracteristics				
Inpatient										
Outpatient						X				
Inpatient and	Х	X	X	X	X		Х	X	Х	Х
Outpatient										
SR of adults			Х	X	X	X	Х	X	X	Х
only										
SR of adults and	Х	X								
Children										
Male (%)	72.8	NR	NR	38.32	61.3	NR	82	36.7	43.8	NR
Number of	65	24	10	41	21	40	46	49	21	20
studies Included										
in the SR										
	1		1	1	1		1	1	1	
Reliability of	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Instruments										
	1	1		1	Se	etting		1	1	
North America	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	X	Х
Europe			X	X	X	X	Х	X		Х
Asia					Х		Х	X		
Australia			X	X			X	X		Х
					Independ	ent Variable	s			
Any current or	X	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	Х
previous										
substance use										

Previous Mental	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х
health diagnosis										
Younger age	Х	X	X	X				X		
(18-30 years)										
Male sex	Х		Х	Х		X			X	
Past opioid use	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	X	Х
disorder										
					Depende	nt Variables				
Peer support		Х								
referral										
Identifying risk			Х	Х				Х		
factors										
associated with										
opioid addiction										
Examining			X							
screening tools										
for identifying										
adult patients at										
high Vs low										
risks of										
developing										
symptoms of										
prescription										
opioid addiction										
Attentional bias					X					
MAT outcomes				X		X	X	X		
on cognitive										
processing										

MAT on					X	Х		X		
Physical										
function										
MAT on social						Х		Х		
behavioral										
function										
Effect of MAT						Х		Х		
on neurological										
function.										
Cost of MAT								Х	X	
MAT mortality										X
rates										
					Eir	dinas				
	-				ГП	lunigs				
Effect of	1					luiigs				
Effect of younger age on	1									
Effect of younger age on OUDs	<b>↑</b>									
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer	<b>↑</b>	↓								
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral	↑ 	Ļ								
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of	1	↓ ↓	↑							
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous	1	↓	↑							
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use	↑ 	↓ ↓	↑							
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use MAT treatment	↑ 	↓ ↓	↑	↓*		↓ *	↓*	↓ *	↓ *	↓*
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use MAT treatment	↑ 	↓ ↓	↑	↓*		↓ *	↓ * 	↓ *	↓ *	↓*
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use MAT treatment	↑ 	↓ ↓	↑	↓*		↓ *	↓*	↓ *	↓ <b>*</b>	↓ *
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use MAT treatment	↑ 	↓ ↓	↑	↓ * ↑		↓ *	↓*	↓ *	↓ *	↓*
Effect of younger age on OUDs Effects of peer support referral History of previous substance use MAT treatment Attentional bias			↑	↓ * ↑		↓ *	↓*	↓*	↓*	↓ *

# Appendix C



## Figure 1

The Chronic Care Model



Wagner (1998).

## ADVANCING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MAT

Figure 2

Hubs and Spokes Model



Department of Vermont Health (2020).