Self-Help Mindfulness Group to Increase Mindfulness and Improve Stress Management in Those

with Substance Use Disorders

Min Kwon

Arizona State University

Abstract

Stress is the direct source of some health issues and the precursors to many illnesses. The effects of stress are felt by the majority of the population and is usually undertreated or overlooked as a norm of life rather than a potential source of illness. Though everyone has different thresholds of stress, chronic or constant stress is debilitating for some and can manifest itself in limitless ways (McEwen, 2017). For adults with substance use disorders (SUDs), research supports that mindfulness based interventions (MBIs) could be beneficial for stress management (Garland, Hanley, Baker, & Howard, 2017). The techniques incorporated in mindfulness based practices can decrease the baseline stress of its practitioners by increasing their awareness and mindfulness within daily life and during stressful situations. This increase in awareness and mindfulness has shown numerous benefits that may be crucial in increasing the likelihood of sobriety for those with SUDs. Some of these benefits may include, improved stress management, improved mitigation of craving symptoms, reduced incidences of relapse, and a better quality of life (Glasner et al., 2016). A 4-week self-help mindfulness pilot program was conducted twice within two separate residential substance recovery settings. The participant's satisfaction and the internalization of mindfulness concepts were measured within the pre and post implementation of a self-help mindfulness class. In the pilot program, participants rated high satisfaction of the mindfulness class and showed increased levels of mindfulness through the use of the client satisfaction questionnaire (CSQ-8) and the five facets of mindfulness questionnaire (FFMQ-39).

Keywords: Mindfulness, MBI, self-help mindfulness, meditation, stress, stress management, stress reduction, substance use disorder, addiction, drug use

Self-Help Mindfulness Group to Increase Mindfulness and Improve Stress Management in Those with Substance Use Disorders

Stress is a daily aspect of life. Though fundamentally unpleasant, stress is essential for growth, development, and adaptation; while small amounts of this discomfort may be healthy, a chronic and excessive amount is detrimental (McEwen, 2017). Studies have recognized stress as a significant risk factor with direct associations to relapse and drug use (Kwako & Koob, 2017; Sinha, 2008; Sinha, 2001). Those with substance use disorders (SUDs) experience additional distress from withdrawals, cravings, guilt, irritability, adverse effects, and acute/chronic changes in the brain (O'Neil, 2015; American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013, p. 483-484; Sinha, 2008). With thousands of new substance users each year, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) (2016) warned of worsening conditions as a report from 2015 showed 66 million Americans admitting to binge drinking in the last month, more than 36 million disclosing marijuana use in the past year, 12.5 million misusing prescription pain meds (p. 7), and 20.8 million American or 7.8% of the U.S. population (p. 7), having some form of SUD. In addition to the 47,055 drug overdose deaths occurring in 2014 alone (p. 15), it is clear that substance abuse has become a growing epidemic in the United States (U.S.) (HHS, 2016). Without proper stress management and treatment, the epidemic of drug use shall continue its devastation upon the nation.

Background/Significance

Substance use and abuse needs to be understood in order to combat its progression. The APA (2013) defines SUDs as a cluster of cognitive, behavioral, and physiological symptoms that develops as an individual continues to use substances despite significant substance-related problems (p. 483). The diagnosis of this disorder is based on maladaptive pathological patterns

of behaviors that become associated with the substance being abused; thus as a person's disorder continues, maladaptive behaviors (persistent/increased drug use, extensive preoccupations related to drug use, failure to fulfill major roles due to drug use,) increase despite the consequences (APA, 2013, p. 483). The context of this disorder is validated further by the underlying changes that occur within the brain circuitry that may remain even after detoxification (APA, 2013, p. 483). A few noted manifestations upon the myriad of symptoms associated to substance use are: decreased stress tolerance, disruptions in sleep, reduced concentration, hallucinations, and impaired memory (APA, 2013). The number of people that will be diagnosed with SUD, grows each year. The National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) reported in 2015, 8.6 million new drug users with 2.6 million of those being new marijuana users, 2.1 million being new pain prescription misusers, 1.4 million being new tranquilizer prescription misusers, 1.3 million being new stimulant prescription misusers, and 1.2 million being new hallucinogen users (Lipari, Williams, Copello, & Pemberton, 2016, p. 10; HHS, 2016, p. 9). With millions of Americans, suffering from this diagnosis, its growing presence and havoc on society is clearly apparent on a national scale.

Substance use has burdened society with major economic consequences. Since those with SUDs also have higher incidences of suicide, domestic violence, mortality, crime, and lost productivity, the U.S. spends over \$400 billion each years to cover these costs (APA, 2013; HHS, 2016, p. 2). These expenses are expected to increase annually as illicit drug use has been rising steadily almost every year from 2002 to 2014, with the last year of data marking the largest increase from 9.4% to 10.2% (HHS, 2016, p. 2). The NSDUH also reported in 2015 that within that past year, substance use treatment was needed for 8.1 percent or 21.7 million people aged 12 or older, but only 10.8 percent of that 21.7 million actually received treatment within a

specialty facility (Lipari, Park-Lee, & Horn, 2016). The increase of annual users, economic costs, and lack of treatment received for current users, show a clear picture of today's substance abuse crisis. However, the true tragedy of those with SUDs, are the individuals themselves who must endure the difficult road of addiction.

The effects of substance abuse is devastating on health. The consequence of drug use is ultimately addiction, which can further lead to increased risks for cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer, lung disease, mental disorders, hepatitis B and C, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/ acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) with one out of every three U.S. deaths from AIDS being related to drug abuse (National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA], 2014, p. 21; Schulte & Hser, 2014). When a person becomes addicted to a substance, there are biological changes that occur within the brain causing physical or mental dependence of the drug that can later cause tolerance, withdrawal, and compulsive behavior (APA, 2013, p. 484). Furthermore, depending on the type of substance, chronic use can cause the brain to shrink, have less white/gray matter, reinforce addictive reward circuits, and become less efficient in general (Koob, Arends, & Le Moal, 2014; Weiland et al., 2014). With these biological changes, those with SUDs are also prone to various psychological risks like cognitive impairments, behavioral/personality changes, neurological dysfunctions, and increased risks for developing other psychiatric disorder (APA, 2013; O'Neil, 2015). In addition to these changes, to understand how SUDs affects a person's daily life, it is crucial to recognize how stress influences substance use.

Stress and SUDs exaggerate the effects of each other. Stress has multiple definitions, and is frequently defined as "a process involving perception, interpretation, response and adaptation to harmful, threatening, or challenging events" (Sinha, 2001, p. 343). Another definition of stress

5

is "a circumstance that disturbs, or is likely to disturb, the normal physiological or psychological functioning of a person" (Sadock, Sadock, & Ruiz, 2015, p. 477). The physiological and psychological consequences of unmanaged stress can cause a myriad of symptoms: low immune system function, hypertension, muscle aches, depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, and other unpleasant symptoms that can severely impact a person's mental and physical well-being (Schneiderman, Ironson, & Siegel, 2005; McCance & Huether, 2014; McEwen, 2017). The relationship of stress to SUD has such a profound connection that people who experienced two or more stressful life events are significantly more likely to develop SUDs (Verplaetse et al., 2018). Stress can directly increase the risk of drug abuse and relapse in those with SUDs, as stress is known to cause increased cravings, impulsive behaviors, decreased self-control, and cause individuals to seek a quick resolution; drugs (Vallejo & Amaro, 2009; Bodenlos, Noonan, & Wells, 2013; Amaro et al., 2014). On the other side, substance abuse inevitably causes more stress over time as the constant cycle of drugs can cause cravings, anxiety, dependence, develop tolerance, isolation, and other socioeconomic consequences which all contributes to increased rates of relapse and further use (Sinha, 2008; Sinha 2001; Vallejo & Amaro, 2009; APA, 2013; Amaro et al., 2014). To properly overcome and endure distress caused not only by drug use, but also by daily living, people with SUDs need to utilize new coping skills to better manage their stress.

Internal Evidence

The organization's Clinical Oversight Supervisor and Quality Manager of a residential substance recovery treatment facility reported that patients entering care in the organization typically had issues related to stress due to withdrawal symptoms, cravings, anxiety, legal issues, family issues, and other consequences associated with addiction. They further identified that the newest facility, a 38 bed men's program, needed the most help in program development. The organization offers multiple classes throughout the day to understand addiction, learn expected symptoms, and find ways to cope with unhealthy behavior. Other techniques of coping like yoga, some meditation, and counseling are also provided, but the curriculum has very limited mindfulness classes offered and lacks daily emphasis on mindfulness/meditative techniques and teachings. The main technique offered for stress management amongst patients is 24 hour peer support, which is available and encouraged between patients and staff members. The majority of patients report significant progress throughout their stay per patient satisfaction survey data, yet staff feel that there is always room for improvement. The Clinical Oversight Supervisor and Quality Manager voiced that having regular classes on mindfulness may be a beneficial pilot project that would help further meet the needs of the patients within the program.

Problem Statement

The current methods for treating substance abuse is limited and needs to be expanded or revised for safer and more effective interventions. Currently there are two main approaches to treatment, medication assisted treatment (MAT) and psychological/psychosocial treatment. While there are variations of these approaches for different types of SUDs, relapse rates for substance abuse remains around 40 to 60 percent (NIDA, 2014). In confronting today's opioid crisis, psychosocial interventions used alone typically have produced unacceptable outcomes with 80% of opiate users returning to opiates within two years even after receiving intensive residential treatment (Bart 2012). The purpose of MAT is based on maintaining sobriety by relieving/preventing withdrawals to avoid relapse (Bart, 2012). In opioid treatment, the use of MATs like Methadone or Buprenorphine, is effective in treating long-term opiate addiction, but is ineffective in helping people with SUDs abstain after its initial stabilization period (Bart, 2016). Additionally, giving a patient who is addicted to one substance, another type of addictive substance to ease withdrawal symptoms is controversial since the patient remains dependent/addicted to another drug indefinitely and there is stigma within the recovery community related to dependence on any substance (Magura & Rosenblum, 2001). When compared side-by-side, psychosocial interventions showed poorer results with higher positive urine toxicology tests, increased mortality rates, and less retention in treatment (Bart, 2012). Even within therapeutic communities that treat SUDs, attrition rates are often as high as 50% with most of the attrition occurring within the first three to six months (Marcus et al., 2009). Furthermore, while information-based provisions on substance abuse are used often, they have been found to be ineffective, whereas skills-based interventions demonstrated greater success (Stocking et al., 2016). Although interventions like exercise programs, counseling, and social support, are offered to reduce the stress of those abusing or recovering from SUDs, presently there is no universally known standard treatment specifically to cope with stress. Thus, without expanding the current forms of treatment for SUDs, results will remain disappointing.

So what can help those with SUDs improve their stress and decrease their withdrawal symptoms? The solution may be mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs). While stress and substance use can cause numerous detrimental changes on a person's physical and mental health, MBIs have the potential to improve the majority of these symptoms caused by these issues. When patients learned and incorporated MBI, studies showed changes in the brain along with increases in the concentration of gray matter in regions that were involved in emotional regulation, learning and memory, focus and attention, perspective insight, and self-referential processing (Hölzel et al., 2011; Goldin & Gross, 2010; Gotnik et al., 2016). Furthermore there is plenty of evidence on MBIs decreasing depression, improving anxiety, and lessening the severity

of substance addiction/withdrawal (Amaro et al., 2014; Gotnik et al., 2016; Bowen et al., 2014; Glasner et al., 2016; Witkiewtiz & Bowen, 2010; Gawrysiak et al., 2016).

To understand MBIs, it is important to acknowledge that there are varieties of formal and informal programs that incorporate MBIs for different treatments. Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), is a formal program that utilizes self-help techniques with emphasis on mindfulness to help patients detach from stress-inducing thoughts (Kabat-Zinn, 2013). This is done by acknowledging stress-inducing thoughts but maintaining conscious awareness that they are just thoughts that will subside in time (Buttery, 2009). Originally created to help patients who experience stress from chronic illnesses, the MBSR program shows promising results in helping patients cope with anxiety, depression, and stress from many different vulnerable populations (Kabat-Zinn, 2013). One of the unique aspects of MBSR is that it is not specifically tailored to a particular diagnosis allowing the foundational concepts to be flexible and molded to focus on specific issues (Smith, Collard, Nicolson, & Bayne, 2012). Other formal MBI programs also have similar foundational concepts to MBSR, yet emphasize different subjects, like mindfulnessbased cognitive therapy (MBCT) which focuses on depression, and mindfulness-based relapse prevention (MBRP) which focuses on preventing drug relapse (Nauriyal, Drummond, & Lal, 2010). Since MBSR helps individuals lower their baseline distress tolerance, adults with SUDs who are taught MBIs may have improved relapse rates and are better prepared in enduring the distressful cravings and withdrawals which may inevitably occur (Gawrysiak et al., 2016; Bodenlos, Noonan, & Wells, 2013). This could mean that MBIs may significant decrease the occurrence of relapse rates and increase the patient satisfaction for those in treatment. Research also showed objective evidence supporting decreased stress levels when participants diagnosed with SUDs participated in mindfulness program within a therapeutic community, by

demonstrating significantly lower salivary cortisol levels (Marcus et al., 2009). If programs that taught MBIs were available to all adults experiencing SUDs, these techniques could help patients fight their cravings, daily stress, and withdrawals, while improving day-to-day life.

With emerging evidence for effective Mindfulness based stress management treatment in those with SUDs, the clinically relevant PICOT question, "For men enrolled in a residential substance recovery setting with a history of SUD, how does a weekly self-help mindfulness class affect mindfulness levels and perceived satisfaction towards mindfulness education over 4 weeks?"

Search Strategy

Literature searches were conducted in Pubmed, CINAHL, and Web of Science. The following key words were used: *addicted, addiction, alcohol, anxiety, brain changes, brief, cravings, dependence, distress, distress tolerance, drug treatment, drug use, MBRP, mindfulness-based relapse prevention, MBSR, mindfulness-based stress reduction, mindfulness, MBI, stress, substance, substance use, systematic review, TAU, and worry.* The literature search was conducted through performing five searches using multiple combinations of the keywords with "AND/OR" to clarify the searches. See appendix A, B, C to see the combinations and the search results. Exclusion criteria included children, studies that were older than ten years, poor experimental designs, and subjects that were not experiencing substance use disorders. Inclusion criteria included journal articles only, subjects being in a treatment milieu/program, and subjects receiving some form of MBI. Studies that also measured stress and/or distress symptoms, brain changes, and polysubstance use were included.

Web of science yielded 68 studies, but seven of the studies were duplicates of each other so there was actually a total of 61 studies. Of the 61, 16 were eliminated due to being about

topics other than stress or SUDs, seven were eliminated due to focusing primarily on pain or oncology, six were either not related to mindfulness or mildly related to the topic, five were low levels of evidence, two were not about adults, and 25 were considered as potentials, but only eleven studies were chosen based on their relatability, study design, and relevance to the PICOT topic. The Pubmed and Cinahl searches also produced the chosen 11 studies found from the Web of Science search thus, duplicates to the already chosen studies for analysis.

Critical Appraisal and Synthesis

All studies were analyzed for significance to practice and evaluated for quality using rapid critical appraisal (Appendix D). Based on the hierarchy of evidence, the majority of the studies were high-level evidence, indicating strong quality (Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt, 2015; Appendix E). All of the studies were done in the U.S. except for one from Iran (Appendix D). Many of the articles were recent, with nine of the eleven being published in 2013 or later (Appendix D). Lastly, most of the studies showed quality in their methods and analysis by providing standard deviations (SD), effect sizes (ES), significance (P < .05), and confidence intervals (CI).

From the chosen studies, there were moderate degrees of homogeneity noted in the demographics and sample sizes. Within the studies, the participants were predominately Caucasian males, had an average age of 30, and had several perform their MBI interventions at addiction clinics. Ethnic minorities were also well represented with certain studies (Appendix E). Sample sizes ranged from 24 to 318 participants and had a mean age that ranged between 19-49 years per study (Appendix E). There could be a sense of bias from the skewed Caucasian and male population, as people of different gender and cultures respond differently to stress and other

symptoms and/or consequences related to stress. Attrition rates were higher than desirable, however concordant with studies of similar high-risk populations (Appendix D).

Across studies, validity and reliability of evidence was attained by use of multiple standardized measuring tools and were reported in most studies. In the measurements, certain tools were used multiple times. The most frequently used tools included the Alcohol Severity Index (ASI), Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-14), Five-Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ-39), Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), and Time Follow-back (TFB). The PSS-14 was used predominately (Appendix E).

Studies exhibited a moderate degree of heterogeneity regarding interventions and measurement tools, however all studies included MBI and the majority demonstrated its beneficial practice on outcomes of stress symptoms, mental health, emotion/mood regulation, perceived stress, alcohol addiction severity, drug addiction severity, competence/knowledge of mindfulness, and anxiety (Appendix E). All the studies but one measured mental health outcomes, while only a select few measured physical/general health outcomes, and over half the studies measured mindfulness competence/knowledge/skill outcomes (Appendix E). About half of the studies measured addiction severity outcomes with drug addiction and alcohol addiction being the focus. Statistically significant changes were noted in regards to MBIs making positive changes for adults with SUD (Appendix E). However, considering that the tools used were selfreported by the participant, there is a possibility of social desirability bias that can alter the scores, resulting to skewed data. Furthermore, most of the MBIs were based off MBSR, meaning they used similar exercises and techniques but changed the focus of the teachings to target the specific population. Yet this indicates that all participants, regardless of a specific target population, can benefit from incorporating mindfulness teachings and exercises.

There were important findings noted from the studies that were considered influential during the developmental phases of the mindfulness program. The research showed consistent significant results pointing MBI as a beneficial practice from two or more studies for the following outcomes: stress symptoms, mental health, emotion/mood regulation, perceived stress, alcohol addiction severity, drug addiction severity, competence/knowledge of mindfulness, and anxiety (Appendix E). There were some heterogeneity in the lengths of the study, as study interventions ranged from 8 weeks to 12 years, with over half of the studies being carried out for one year (Appendix E). Outcome measures were consistent across studies with the greatest degree of effect noted in decreased alcohol addiction severity and drug addiction severity (Appendix E). Lastly, there was one systematic review that studied how MBIs can cause changes in the brain and in it there was evidence found supporting how MBI contributes to increasing brain volume and activity (Gotink et al., 2016).

Conclusions from the Evidence

The supporting evidence shows that MBI used within various mindfulness programs significantly decrease stress, alcohol addiction severity and drug addiction severity, and competence/knowledge of mindfulness for adults with SUDs. None of the studies showed negative or harmful results from MBIs, as all studies showed high effectiveness or improvement from the mindfulness education with statistically significant results supporting its use (Appendix E). Furthermore, the evidence points to MBIs being an improvement from the present standard of care. MBIs are efficient, sustainable, cost-effective, practical, and safe. MBIs should be encouraged and practiced in treating those with SUDs. To make the implementation of MBIs more appeasing and effective for those in substance abuse treatment, a self-help mindfulness approach was utilized by introducing simple educational videos that guided the audience in

performing simple mindfulness activities to become better accustomed to the concepts and teachings/practices of mindfulness and meditation.

Purpose and rationale

The current methods for treating SUDs lack diversity and holistic approaches, therefore a self-help MBI program was developed for individuals in substance use treatment. To measure for sustainability and effectiveness, the participant's perceived satisfaction of the education was measured after each class and their level of mindfulness was measured on their first and last expected day of class (or 4th class). Many patients who have SUDs and are in the early stages of recovery experience higher levels of stressors related to addiction; not only the physiological changes experienced in the body, but also the consequences the addiction causes in their daily life (Georgi & Flores, 2005). Examining MBIs in SUD treatment may provide more evidence for mindfulness education to be augmented or integrated as part of the standard plan of care for treating addiction in the future.

EBP Model and Conceptual Model

To implement MBIs with those recovering from SUDs, *The Liverpool Mindfulness Model* (Appendix F) helped guide and conceptualize the practice of mindfulness by emphasizing the concepts of motivational factors, mind training, core processes, mental stance, and outcomes (Malinowski, 2013). With "attention" as the central tenet of the model's core processes, it addresses how people build a willingness to start practicing mindfulness. This, in turn influences attention, emotional flexibility, and cognitive flexibility, to develop non-judging awareness, achievement of positive behavioral changes and an increase in overall wellbeing (Malinowski, 2013). The theory matches the proposed project design in educating people with SUDs on MBIs.

In addition, The ACE-STAR Model of Knowledge Transformation (Appendix G) furthered the project by providing a structure on how to incorporate the evidence from research into practice, thus guiding the approach in creating evidence-based practice (EBP). The goal of this model is to integrate "knowledge transformation," meaning the conversion of research findings from primary research results, through a series of stages and forms to impact health outcomes by way of evidence-based care (Gawlinski & Rutledge, 2008, p.298). The model starts by analyzing research, then summarizing the evidence, translating the research summary into guidelines, incorporating the guidelines into intervention(s) utilized in practice, and finally evaluating the outcome(s). This method provides the project foundation for changes in the treatment of stress of those with SUDs. As the review of literature (Appendix D and E) showed high levels of evidence supporting various forms of MBIs causing positive changes that decrease the negative manifestations from stress, this model provides a solid approach on what must be done further to implement this knowledge into practice and achieve "knowledge transformation." After making the guidelines for the pilot program, the program was initiated at a SUD treatment site, then later evaluated for its effectiveness and sustainability for future implications and improvements.

The ACE-STAR Model of Knowledge Transformation and the Liverpool Mindfulness Model both played a crucial role in guiding this project throughout its development and implementation. The ACE-STAR Model of Knowledge Transformation provided clear direction on how to integrate EBP processes into practice. Starting from the first stage, "discovery research," numerous academic journals on different types of mindfulness and meditation were gathered along with internal evidence from the project site (Stevens, 2013). The "evidence summary" was done by processing the gathered research into a manageable evidence synthesis that allowed the research to be organized and utilized for further application. During this stage upon analyzing all the literature it was noted that all the different forms/styles/techniques of mindfulness showed mild to significant improvement upon individuals. The "translation" was when the summarized evidence was then developed into a self-help mindfulness class and a manual was made to sustain/maintain this class by allowing anyone to be able to implement and lead this class upon reading it. During this phase organizational stake-holders were identified and supported the pilot program. The "integration" occurred when the self-help mindfulness class became available to the patients at the residential substance recovery treatment facility over a total period of 8 weeks (Stevens, 2013). During this stage, specific staff were chosen to champion this class and continue running the self-help mindfulness program after the 8 weeks. Lastly the data was gathered, analyzed, "evaluated" and then presented to the organization and the academic community to report how the project was successful in both benefiting the majority of the participants and creating positive changes to the current standard of care within that facility. The Liverpool Mindfulness Model helped in the development of the self-help mindfulness program by providing a basis on how mindfulness works. This model helped organize the educational content (youtube videos) by serving as a foundation in understanding the process of how mindfulness integrates into a person's life, starting from why they begin learning about mindfulness to the expected benefits they receive once they internalized it. Thus, while the two models are different in their purpose and nature, both were needed for the development and success of this project.

Project Methods

Ethics/Risks to Participants

The project had a number of ethical guidelines that were approved by the internal review board (IRB). There were no foreseeable physical, social, legal, and economic risks directly noted by participation in the proposed research study. There were potential discomforts or psychological distress that could be experienced by some participants related to the meditation exercises performed during class. Thus a plan was made to assist in removing any participants who experienced feelings of depression, anxiety, restlessness, or any other signs of distress during the class-time by arranging support staff to escort the distressed participant away from the stimuli by leading them out the class and providing them peer support. The data collected during this study was de-identified for confidentiality and protection of privacy.

At the same time, there are numerous realistic potential benefits of the mindfulness program. Research has supported the utilization of mindfulness practices being associated with decreased anxiety, depression, physical pain, perceived stress, stress symptoms, PTSD symptoms, and alcohol/drug addiction severity, while increasing physical functioning, social functioning, self-awareness, self-compassion, mental health, and emotion/mood regulation (Appendix D).

<u>Setting</u>

The project classes were held in two separate residential substance recovery settings; both owned by the same organization and both only for men. Facility one had 38 beds and the self-help mindfulness classes were voluntary to the participants. Facility two had 56 beds and the classes were mandatory as part of the treatment curriculum. Both facilities were highly structured, staffed 24 hours a day, incorporated the 12 steps, cognitive behavioral based, and utilizes peer support openly. The main difference between the two facilities is that facility one is only meant to be a 30-day program while facility two offers a more extensive length of treatment.

Innovation leadership/Team collaboration

The leadership innovation was that the Clinical Quality Manager, the facility manager, and the staff who were to take over the class after the 8th week, were all cooperative and supportive in the process of adapting the weekly classes to make this project possible. The collaboration amongst the team leaders and the staff was noted by the open communication and supportive culture.

Participants

The participants were adult males who spoke English, patients of the residential substance treatment facility, had a SUD diagnosis, and were able to consent to the self-help mindfulness program.

Procedure or Process

The program consists of a one-hour class over a four week period. To improve sustainability, the program was repeated; first led by the project lead for four weeks, then led by the staff who had sat in on the first four weeks of class to observe. Participants were permitted to leave the class at any time in the first facility, the participants were required to stay in the room at the second facility yet not required to participate in the study or class during the hour. The right to not participate was clearly explained prior to the start of each class and only patients that consented to participate were provided survey tools. Table 1 is an example of the class breakdown along with the youtube videos for the first week. At the end of each class, the participants were given a "weekly handout" that summarizes the main teachings of that day along with the youtube websites, so the participants can listen to the educational material and practice the guided meditation on their own. The materials for week two, three, and four, are available upon request. In addition, a manual was developed for the organization with all the guides, materials, instructions, scripts, and other necessary information required to run the class explained in a step-by-step manner. Feedback on the class materials, process, and manual, was obtained from staff during the project period and the manual was given to the organization after the completion of the project.

Table 1: Class Breakdown	Table
--------------------------	-------

	Class Breakdown for the First Session								
Time	Action	Steps	Focus / Special Considerations						
(20 Minutes before class)	Preparation	Steps 1-4	 * Prepare all required papers * Test websites, sound, and TV/projector *Set up classroom layout 						
8 minutes	Introduction, general class information, and ground rules	Steps 5-8	* VERY important for leaders to practice the speech for the ground rules and be familiar with them						
10 minutes	Provide FFMQ-39 Survey	Steps 9-10	* Even if they're not done by 10 minutes, it's OK						
12 minutes	Start Educational Videos	Steps 11-13	* Have the videos ready to be played literally one after another						
10 minutes	Start Guided Mindfulness Meditation Videos	Step 14	* If you notice someone is clearly in distress, you should help them leave the room						
10 minutes	Break up for Group Discussions	Step 15	* If a group is finished early, a leader could go join the group and facilitate everyone's feedback on the class						
5 minutes	Conclusion / Wrap up Huddle	Step 16	* The wrap up huddle is short and simple as everything said is already on the "Week 1 Handout"						
5 minutes	Allow participants to finish the FFMQ-39, collect the surveys, and provide the "Week 1 Handout"	Step 17-19	* As the wrap up huddle is ending, have the other leader stand near the exit and handout the "Week 1 Handout" while the participants are leaving the room						

Week 1 videos:

Video #1 (Less 1: introduction to mindfulness) = <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5ghm8J7LSIQ</u> Video #2 (Mindfulness animated in 3 minutes) = <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mjtfyuTTQFY</u>

Video #3 (What is mindfulness and how does it work?) = <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EbyzVB6w9bM</u>

Video #4 (10 minutes guided breath meditation) = $https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h0H_4Cf_B1Y$

Outcome measures

The Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ-39) is based off the factor analytic study of five different mindfulness questionnaires and developed by a team led by Dr. Ruth A. Baer (Baer et al., 2008). It includes 39-questions answered from a 5-point Likert-type format that is then broken down by the researcher using a separate instructional key to measure five component skills of mindfulness: observing the inner experience, describing the experience, acting with awareness, non-judging of inner experience, and non-reactivity to inner experience. Scores range from 39 to 195, with higher scores meaning a higher internalization and level of mindfulness day-to-day. The FFMQ has been used in numerous studies and has a reliability alpha coefficient that ranges from .75 to .91 for its subscales (Baer et al., 2008). The Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8) is a satisfaction survey for health and human services that was developed as a general scale for measuring client/patient satisfaction of services (Perreault, Leichner, Sabourin, & Gendreau, 1993). The scale was made by a collaboration of interpersonal medical faculty in 1979. Using a 4-point Likert type format, patients can rate their satisfaction level from eight different questions related to perceived satisfaction of service. The patients are asked about what they thought of the quality of service, if the type of service was what was wanted, if the service met their needs, if the service would be recommended to another, if enough help was provided, if the service was helpful in better dealing with their problems, if they were overall satisfied with the service, and if they would return to this service. Scores range from 8 to 32, with higher scores meaning a higher level of client satisfaction. The CSQ-8 has been used extensively for patient satisfaction and has a reliability Cronbach's alpha coefficient of .92 for its 8-item scale (Perreault, Leichner, Sabourin, & Gendreau, 1993).

The Demographic Survey used was developed by the project lead. It asked for the following information: age, gender, ethnicity/race, previous drug(s) of choice, and total length of substance abuse. The demographics were used to gain information about the study population and observe for any noticeable correlation between the participants and the program results.

There were no other long-term follow-up data, lab procedures, nor any exterior compensation provided to participants other than the taught content.

Proposed Budget

The proposed items needed to run the project were online/wifi access, laptop, projector/large TV, a rented room, the youtube websites, HDMI cable, printer, and a staff member. The proposed budget to run the project was \$50, which consisted of the cost of printing out all the material, since the other items were either already owned or provided.

Project Results

Description statistics and a paired t-test were used to find the average weekly CSQ rating, to check for statistical or clinical significance from the pre and post FFMQ, and see if there are any consistencies amongst the demographic data. There were a total of 91 documented participants who took the pre-FFMQ, however only 34 participants (37.4%) took the post-FFMQ while 57 participants (62.6%) did not complete the study by taking the post-FFMQ. Of the 91 participants, 58.2% of them attended the class and took the CSQ-8 for week one, 56.0% for week two, 56.0% for week three, and 40.7% for week four. Out of the total participants, 27 (29.7%) of them were from facility one, and the remaining 64 (70.3%) were from facility two. The satisfaction scores showed positive results upon the analysis of the combined mean scores gathered from the weekly CSQ-8. For week one the mean satisfaction score was 79.45%, for

MINDFULNESS FOR STRESS REDUCTION

week two - 84.44%, for week three - 84.74%, and for week four - 84.46%. Upon ethical guidelines, all surveys and questionnaires given were voluntarily done by the participants and not required as a means to remain in the class, thus some participants may have attended other weekly classes but remain undocumented by refusing to take the CSQ-8 after.

Ta	ble	e 2

Comparison of Weekly Attendance by Completed CSQ-8's									
	Cases								
	Inclu	uded	Excl	uded	То	tal			
	N Percent N Percent N Perc								
CSQ_WK1TSP	53	58.2%	38	41.8%	91	100.0%			
CSQ_WK2TSP	51	56.0%	40	44.0%	91	100.0%			
CSQ_WK3TSP	51	56.0%	91	100.0%					
CSQ_WK4TSP	37	40.7%	54	59.3%	91	100.0%			

TSP = Total score percentage

Table 3

	Pre and Post FFMQ-39 Scores									
(N-34)	Minimum	Maximum	Std.	Mean	Mean	Post-Intervention				
(14 - 34)	winningin	Iviaxillulli	Deviation	Score	Percentage	Improvement				
Pre_FFO	11	40	7.01	27.57	0.6893	7 4004				
Post_FFO	16	40	5.55	30.53	0.7632	7.40%				
Pre_FFD	16	39	5.94	27.03	0.6757	6 500/				
Post_FFD	23	40	4.76	29.63	0.7408	0.30%				
Pre_FFA	14	38	5.44	27.07	0.6768	2 100/				
Post_FFA	10	38	6.9	27.9	0.6974	2.10%				
Pre_FFN	10	37	5.67	25.37	0.6342	0.400/				
Post_FFN	13	40	6.42	25.54	0.6386	0.40%				
Pre_FFR	8	33	4.92	21.76	0.6218	00/				
Post_FFR	13	34	4.85	24.9	0.7113	9%				
Pre_FFMQT	59	179	22.42	128.81	0.6606	50/				
Post_FFMQT	89	187	20.91	138.5	0.7103	3%				

****The results of the pre- and post-FFMQ showed improved post- scores for all five subscales in varying degrees.

FFO = "Observing the inner experience"

FFA = "Acting with awareness"

FFD = "Describing the experience" FFN = "Non-judging of inner experience"

rrrv = 'Non-Judging of m nce'' FFMQT = "FFMQ Total"

FFR = "Non-reactivity to inner experience"

MINDFULNESS FOR STRESS REDUCTION

	Total Weekly CSQ-8 Scores Percentage Per Facility								
Fa	acilities	CSQ_WK1TSP	CSQ_WK4TSP						
Facility #1	Mean	0.851	0.8996	0.8798	0.888				
	Ν	15	14	13	12				
	Std. Deviation	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11				
Facility #2	Mean	0.7722	0.8235	0.8363	0.8238				
	Ν	38	37	38	25				
	Std. Deviation	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.15				
Total	Mean	0.7945	0.8444	0.8474	0.8446				
Ν		53	51	51	37				
	Std. Deviation	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.14				

Table 4

Table 5

Paired Samples Test

			Paired Differences						
					95% Confidence Interval				
		Std. Std. Error of the Difference				Sig. (2-			
		Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	tailed)
Pair	Pre_EEMQTS -	-	10 03/175	3 /1878	16 64674	2 73561	2 835	33	008
	Post_EEMOTS	9.69118	15.55475	3.41070	-10.04074	-2.75501	-2.033	- 55	.008

Table 6

Paired Samples Test for Facility One

		Paired Differences							
					95% CI of the				
			Std.	Std. Error	Difference				
		Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Pre_FFMQTS - Post_FFMQTS	-15.58333	26.46252	7.63907	-32.39682	1.23015	-2.040	11	.066

Table 7

Paired Samples Test for Facility Two

-			Paired Differences						
			95% CI of the						
		Std. Std. Error Difference							
		Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Pre_FFMQTS - Post_FFMQTS	-6.47727	15.06690	3.21227	-13.15756 .20301		-2.016	21	.057

A paired t test was calculated to compare the mean pre-FFMQ scores to the mean post-FFMQ scores within both facilities. The mean on the pre-FFMQ was 128.81 (sd = 22.42), and the mean on the post-FFMQ was 138.50 (sd = 20.91). A significant increase from the pre to post FFMQ scores were found (t(33) = -2.835, p< .008). Thus statistical significance was noted as the p-score was <.05

When a paired t test was calculated to compare the mean pre-FFMQ scores to the mean post-FFMQ scores within each facility, there was no statistical significance noted independently for Facility one or two, but positive trends showed clinical significance for both facilities.

D

Keport								
-		Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage			
		(Total 91)	(Total 91)	(Completed 34)	(Completed 34)			
Age	21 to 26	21	23%	5	14.7%			
	27 to 32	24	26%	8	23.5%			
	33 to 38	16	18%	6	17.6%			
	39 to 44	11	12%	5	14.7%			
	45 to 50	10	11%	4	11.8%			
	51+	8	9%	6	17.6%			
	Missing data	1	1%	0	0%			
Length of	2 to 7	13	14%	3	9%			
<u>Substance Use</u>	8 to 14	30	33%	5	15%			
(Years)	15 to 24	28	31%	16	47%			
	25+	17	19%	10	29%			
	Missing data	3	3%	0	0%			
Drug(s) of	1	30	33%	11	32%			
<u>choice</u>	2	26	29%	11	32%			
(Number of	3	11	12%	4	12%			
<u>arugs)</u>	4	10	11%	2	6%			
	5+	14	15%	6	18%			

Table 8

	Ethnicity/Race								
		Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent				
		(Total)	(Total)	(Completed)	(Completed)				
Valid	Caucasian/White	55	61%	20	59%				
	Hispanic/Latino	20	22%	7	20%				
	African American/Black	10	11%	4	12%				
	Native American or American Indian	2	2%	2	6%				
	White and Hispanic/Latino	2	2%	0	0				
	White and African American/Black	1	1%	0	0				
	Hispanic/Latino and African American/Black	1	1%	1	3%				
	Total	91	100%	34	100.0				

Table 9

Table 10

		N	Missing data	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Age (Years)	Total 91	90	1	21	64	35.0
	Completed 34	34	0	22	64	38.2
Length of use	Total 91	88	3	2	48	16.1
(Years)	Completed 34	34	0	3	48	20.1
Drug(s) of choice	Total 91	90	1	1	9	1.4
(Number of drugs)	Completed 34	34	0	1	7	2.5

The analysis combined the number of participants from both facilities in order to gain comprehensive knowledge regarding the entire study. It is noted that the majority of participants for the total length of the class and those who completed the post-FFMQ were predominantly Caucasian. Of the total number of 91 participants, the average age was 35, the average length of substance use was 16 years, and the average number of different substances used by the participants were 1.4 drugs (meaning they used one to two different types of drugs in average). Of the 34 participants who completed the study, the average age was 38, the mean length of substance use was 20 years, and the average number of different drugs taken were 2.5 drugs.

Discussion

There are a number of noticeable differences between the ethnicities and facilities. The majority of the participants were Caucasians, aged 21 to 44. This is actually consistent with the main population of today's opioid epidemic, which consists of middle-aged Caucasian males (Netherland & Hansen, 2017). Facility score comparisons found that Facility one had higher CSQ scores, lower standard deviations, and less participants than Facility two. This is likely due to the self-help mindfulness class being optional and not required in the curriculum of Facility one, as compared to Facility two, where the class was incorporated into the treatment curriculum requirements. Both Facility one and two showed clinical significance for the pre and post FFMQ analysis, with facility two trending closely to statistical significance. The cause for increased FFMQ scores at Facility two is likely due to mandatory participation. Since Facility one's class participants were all voluntary and "wanting" to attend, there likely was already some previous history of meditative practices, previous exposure to mindfulness concepts, or some level of interest/curiosity regarding mindfulness/meditation. Facility two, class participants were likely to have received their first exposure to meditation and mindfulness concepts, which would explain why there was so much more improvement amongst their pre and post FFMQ scores. Substance recovery practice sites may want to consider making meditative and mindfulness teachings mandatory in treatment as it is likely to initiate exposure in those who had no education, interest, or experience with mindfulness practice and its benefits. This recommendation is contradictory to the advice of mindfulness teachings as voluntary participation is highly recommended for the

full benefit and compliance of mindfulness and meditative practice/education (Kabat-Zinn, 2013). However, due to the benefits of exposing those who may have never practiced meditation nor know much about the teachings of mindfulness, adding the self-help mindfulness class as a mandatory session within the substance abuse treatment curriculum may prove to be surprising more effective and helpful for the majority of patients, than only targeting those who are interested to know more.

The self-help mindfulness class has a potential to make a lasting impact in patients with SUDs who are receiving treatment within a substance recovery treatment facility. The combined results from both facilities showed a statistically significant improvement on the pre- and post-FFMQ scores after participants attended two to four classes. Furthermore, the CSQ-8 scores showed significant support for the continuation of the program as the majority of participants reported high satisfaction scores for each class. Thus, not only is the self-help mindfulness program an effective and sustainable program, it also fully addresses all the goals of the triple aim to improve the health system: decreased cost, increased patient experience of care (this includes quality of care), and improving the health of the population (Berwick, Nolan, & Whittington, 2008). The cost of this program was almost free with exclusion of the equipment used to deliver the videos from the internet to the classroom. Training the staff for sustainability was also easily addressed by providing the self-help mindfulness manual, weekly educational hand out, and initial observational training. The patient experience/quality of care, can be represented by the CSO-8 score which showed almost every weekly class having 80% or above in satisfaction scores. Lastly, the improved improvement of the health of the population can be noted by the improvements seen in all five subscales of mindfulness from the FFMQ-39. As increased mindfulness practice has been seen by studies to decrease cravings and the use of

substances, it is imperative for mindfulness programs to continue in order to improve the chances of the individuals within this population to successfully maintain their sobriety over time (Witkiewtiz et al., 2013; Enkema & Bowen, 2017).

This pilot program demonstrates the need for further improvements and research in utilizing self-help mindfulness classes to play a more active role in substance recovery programs. The guided meditations utilized in this project has already been adopted into the organization that hosted the classes, as daily meditation sessions have now been added into their curriculum within all facility sites. For improvement recommendations, future self-help mindfulness classes could provide yoga mats and advise participants to come to class wearing something comfortable, as it is fundamental during the guided-meditations that a relaxed comfortable position is maintained for full effectiveness. Also for future reference, the class may be more effective if there was more time spent on the guided meditation and group discussion than the educational section, as participants were noticeably more active during these interactive sessions than the educational speeches and handout materials. It is further recommended that this program be introduced to an all-women's facility and studied to see if there are differences in the results regarding effectiveness and overall participants satisfaction as women, historically have been under represented in substance use population studies. While there is room for improvement and future research, this pilot program has successful with both the participants and the organization reporting the benefits received from this experience.

Conclusion

Upon initial results noted from this program, developing more self-help mindfulness classes may be helpful in diversifying the learning experience and expanding this form of education and stress reduction practice to not only those with SUDs but also people who have no

MINDFULNESS FOR STRESS REDUCTION

illnesses. The primary purpose of teaching basic concepts regarding this practice is to help reduce the stress of daily life through increasing the overall mindfulness levels experienced upon the practitioner. The study also showed how technology, such as youtube videos, can be an innovative method of education that provides easy access for people to learn a plethora of new coping skills for stress. This project supports the idea that anyone who wishes to commit themselves to a few minutes of learning and meditation can substantially increase their mindfulness levels and live more in the present than the future or the past. With mindfulness providing benefits through real-life applications and diverse forms of meditation, there is hope that this form of treatment may one day not only augment substance use treatment, but also become the universal stress reduction intervention.

References

- Amaro, H., Spear, S., Vallejo, Z., Conron, K., & Black, D. S. (2014). Feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary outcomes of a mindfulness-based relapse prevention intervention for culturally-diverse, low-income women in substance use disorder treatment. *Substance use & Misuse*, 49(5), 547-559. doi:10.3109/10826084.2013.852587
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *The diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 5*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Baer, R., Smith, G., Lykins, E., Button, D., Krietemeyer, J., Sauer, S., . . . Williams, J. (2008).
 Construct Validity of the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire in Meditating and Nonmeditating Samples. *Assessment*, 15(3), 329-342.
- Bart, G. (2012). Maintenance medication for opiate addiction: The foundation of recovery. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, *31*(3), 207-225. doi:10.1080/10550887
 .2012.694598
- Bergen-Cico, D., Possemato, K., & Cheon, S. (2013). Examining the efficacy of a brief mindfulness-based stress reduction (brief MBSR) program on psychological health. *Journal of American College Health*, *61*(6), 348-360. doi:10.1080/07448481. 2013.813853
- Berwick, D., Nolan, T., & Whittington, J. (2008). The triple aim: Care, health, and cost. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)*, 27(3), 759-69.
- Bodenlos, J. S., Noonan, M., & Wells, S. Y. (2013). Mindfulness and Alcohol Problems in College Students: The Mediating Effects of Stress. *Journal of American College Health*, 61(6), 371-378. doi:10.1080/07448481.2013.805714

- Bowen, S., Witkiewitz, K., Clifasefi, S. L., Grow, J., Chawla, N., Hsu, S. H., . . . Larimer, M. E. (2014). Relative efficacy of mindfulness-based relapse prevention, standard relapse prevention, and treatment as usual for substance use disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA psychiatry*, *71*(5), 547-556. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.4546
- Buttery, H. (2009). Mindfulness meditation paves the road to recovery in addiction. *CrossCurrents – The Journal of Addiction and Mental Health*, 12(4).
- Colizzi, M., Carra, E., Fraietta, S., Lally, J., Quattrone, D., Bonaccorso, S., . . . Di Forti, M. (2016). Substance use, medication adherence and outcome one year following a first episode of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research*, *170*(2-3), 311-317. doi:10.1016/j.schres .2015.11.016
- Davis, J. M., Fleming, M. F., Bonus, K. A., & Baker, T. B. (2007). A pilot study of mindfulness based stress reduction for smokers. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 7(1), 2-2. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-7-2
- Enkema, & Bowen. (2017). Mindfulness practice moderates the relationship between craving and substance use in a clinical sample. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *179*, 1-7.
- Flores, P., Georgi, J., & Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (2005). Substance abuse treatment group therapy (Treatment improvement protocol (TIP) series ; 41). Rockville, MD: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment.
- Garland, E., Hanley, A., Baker, A., & Howard, M. (2017). Biobehavioral Mechanisms of
 Mindfulness as a Treatment for Chronic Stress: An RDoC Perspective. *Chronic Stress*, 1,
 Chronic Stress, 2017, Vol.1.

- Gawlinski, A. & Rutledge, D. (2008). Selecting a model for evidence-based practice changes. AACN Advanced Critical Care, 19(3), 291-300.
- Gawrysiak, M. J., Shirley H. L., Grassetti, S. N., Wai, M., Shorey, R. C., & Baime, M. J. (2016).
 Dimensions of distress tolerance and the moderating effects on mindfulness-based stress reduction. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 29*(5), 552-560. DOI: 10.1080/10615806.2015
 .1085513
- Glasner, S., Mooney, L. J., Ang, A., Garneau, H. C., Hartwell, E., Brecht, M. L., & Rawson, R.
 A. (2016). Mindfulness-based relapse prevention for stimulant dependent adults: a pilot randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Mindfulness*, 8(1), 126-135. Doi: 10.1007/s12671-016-0586-9.
- Goldin, P.R., & Gross, J. J. (2010). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on emotion regulation in social anxiety disorder. *Emotion*, 10(1), 83-91. Doi: 10.1037/a0018441
- Gotnick, R.A., Meijboom, R., Vernooij, M.W., Smits, M. & Hunink, M.G. (2016). 8-week mindfulness based stress reduction induces brain changes similar to traditional long-term meditation practice: a systematic review. *Brain Cognition*, 108, 32–41. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2016.07.001

Hölzel, B. K., Carmody, J., Vangel, M., Congleton, C., Yerramsetti, S. M., Gard, T., & Lazar, S.
W. (2011). Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, *191*(1), 36-43. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.006

Hosseinzadeh Asl NR & Hosseinalipour F. (2014). Effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress

reduction intervention for health-related quality of life in drug-dependent males. *Iran Red Crescent Med J. 16*(9) doi:10.5812/ircmj.12608.

- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2013). Full catastrophe living: using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness. New York, NY: Bantam Books.
- Koob, G. F., Arends, M. A., & Le Moal, M. (2014). *Drugs, addiction, and the brain*. Oxford: Academic Press.
- Kwako, L., & Koob, G. (2017). Neuroclinical Framework for the Role of Stress in Addiction. *Chronic Stress*, 1, Chronic Stress, 2017, Vol.1.
- Lipari, R. N., Park-Lee, E., & Hornm S. V. (2016). America's need for a receipt of substance use treatment in 2015. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/report_2716/ShortReport-2716.pdf
- Lipari, R. N., Williams, M. R., Copello, E. A. & Pemberton, M. R. (2016). *Risk and protective factors and estimates of substance use initiation: results from the 2015 national survey on drug use and health*. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-PreventionandInit-2015/NSDUH-PreventionandInit-2015.pdf
- Magura, S.R., & Rosenblum, A.T. (2001). Leaving methadone treatment: lessons learned,
 lessons forgotten, lessons ignored. *The Mount Sinai journal of medicine, New York, 68 1*, 62-74.
- Malinowski, P. (2013). Neural mechanisms of attentional control in mindfulness meditation. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 7(8). http://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.00008
- Marcus, M. T., Schmitz, J., Moeller, G., Liehr, P., Cron, S. G., Swank, P., . . . Granmayeh, L. K. (2009). Mindfulness-based stress reduction in therapeutic community treatment: A stage

1 trial. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 35*(2), 103-108. doi:10.1080/00952990902823079

- McCance, K. L., & Huether, S. E. (2014). *Pathophysiology: the biologic basis for disease in adults and children.* St. Louis, MO: Elsevier.
- McEwen, B. (2017). Neurobiological and Systemic Effects of Chronic Stress. *Chronic Stress*, *1*, Chronic Stress, 2017, Vol.1.
- Melnyk, B.M., & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2015). Evidence-based Practice in Nursing and Healthcare: A Guide to Best Practice (3rd ed.). Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins.
 ISBN-13: 978-1451190946, ISBN-10: 1451190948
- National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2014). *Drugs, brains, and behavior: The science of addiction.* Rockville, Md.: NIH, National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Nauriyal, D. K., Drummond, M. S., & Lal, Y. B. (2010). *Buddhist thought and applied psychological research: transcending the boundaries*. London: Routledge.
- Netherland, J., & Hansen, H. (2017). White opioids: Pharmaceutical race and the war on drugs that wasn't. *BioSocieties*, *12*(2), 217–238. http://doi.org/10.1057/biosoc.2015.46
- O'Neil, M. (2015). *The ADA practical guide to substance use disorders and safe prescribing* (ADA Practical Guide).
- Perreault, M., Leichner, P., Sabourin, S., & Gendreau. P.,(1993). Patient satisfaction with outpatient psychiatric services: Qualitative and quantitative assessments. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 16(2), 109-118.
- Sadock, B.J., Sadock, V.A., & Ruiz, P. (2015). *Kaplan and Sadock's synopsis of psychiatry*. (11th Ed.) Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer for Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Schneiderman, N., Ironson, G., & Siegel, S. D. (2005). STRESS AND HEALTH: Psychological,

Behavioral, and Biological Determinants. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *1*, 607–628. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.144141

- Schulte, M., & Hser, Y. (2014). Substance use and associated health conditions throughout the lifespan. *Public Health Rev.* 35(2). 1-23.
- Short, V. L., Gannon, M., Weingarten, W., Kaltenbach, K., LaNoue, M., & Abatemarco, D. J. (2017). Reducing stress among mothers in drug treatment: A description of a mindfulness based parenting intervention. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 1-10 doi:10.1007/s109 95-016-2244-1
- Sinha, R. (2001). How does stress increase risk of drug abuse and relapse? *Psychopharmacology*, *158*(4), 343-359. doi:10.1007/s002130100917
- Sinha, R. (2008). Chronic Stress, Drug Use, and Vulnerability to Addiction. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1141*(1), 105-130.
- Smith, V., Collard, P., Nicolson, P., & Bayne, R. (2012). *Key concepts in counselling and psychotherapy: a critical A-Z guide to theory*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Stevens, K. (2013). The Impact of Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing and the Next Big Ideas. *Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, *18*(2), 12 unnumbered pages.
- Stockings, E., Hall, W. D., Lynskey, M., Morley, K. I., Reavley, N., Strang, J., . . . Degenhardt,
 L. (2016). Prevention, early intervention, harm reduction, and treatment of substance use
 in young people. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *3*(3), 280-296. doi:10.1016/s22150366(16)00002-x
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2016). Facing addiction in America, the surgeon general's report on alcohol, drugs, and health. Retrieved from

http://www.duq.edu/assets/Documents/social-justice/_pdf/_addiction/surgeon-generalsreport-alcohol-drugs-health.pdf

- Vallejo, Z., & Amaro, H. (2009). Adaptation of mindfulness-based stress reduction program for addiction relapse prevention. *The Humanistic Psychologist*, 37(2), 192-206. doi:10.1080/08873260902892287
- Verplaetse, T., Moore, K., Pittman, B., Roberts, W., Oberleitner, L., Smith, P., ... Mckee, S. (2018). Intersection of stress and gender in association with transitions in past year DSM-5 substance use disorder diagnoses in the United States. *Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks, Calif.)*, 2, Chronic stress (Thousand Oaks, Calif.), 2018, Vol.2.
- Weiland, B., Korycinski, S., Soules, M., Zubieta, J., Zucker, R., & Heitzeg. M. (2014).
 Substance abuse risk in emerging adults associated with smaller frontal gray matter volumes and higher externalizing behaviors. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *137*, 68-75.
- Witkiewtiz, K., & Bowen, S. (2010). Depression, craving, and substance use following a randomized trial of mindfulness-based relapse prevention. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 78(3), 362-374. doi:10.1037/a0019172
Appendix A

Search Strategy 1

Web of Science

				Edit	Combine Sets	Delete Set
Set	Results	Save History / Create Alert O	pen Saved History	Sets	AND OR	Select All
					Combine	× Delete
# 14	10	TOPIC: (MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) AND PUBLICATION YEARS: (20 Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	efficacy OR effects)) 13 OR 2015 OR 2012 OR 2011 OR 2016)			
# 13	11	TOPIC: (MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	efficacy OR effects))			
¥ 12	14	TOPIC: (MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	efficacy OR effects))	Edit		
¥ 11	16	TOPIC: ((mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) AND PUBLICATION YEARS: (20 Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	drug OR drugs)) 117 OR 2015 OR 2009 OR 2016 OR 2014 OR 2010)			
# 10	17	TOPIC: ((mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	drug OR drugs))			
#9	24	TOPIC: ((mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	drug OR drugs))	Edit		
#8	14	TOPIC: ((MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (craving OR distress) AND (subst Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) AND PUBLICATION YEARS: (20 OR 2010) Indexes=ScI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	ance use OR drug use)) 113 OR 2011 OR 2015 OR 2017 OR 2009 OR 2014 OR 2016			
#7	17	TOPIC: ((MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (oraving OR distress) AND (subst Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	ance use OR drug use))			
#6	22	TOPIC: ((MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (craving OR distress) AND (subst Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	ance use OR drug use))	Edit		
#5	3	TOPIC: (((MBSR OR mindfulness based stress reduction) OR (MBRP OR m AND systematic review) Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (REVIEW) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	indfulness based relapse prevention)) AND brain changes			
# 4	4	TOPIC: (((MBSR OR mindfulness based stress reduction) OR (MBRP OR m AND systematic review) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	indfulness based relapse prevention)) AND brain changes	Edit		
#3	25	TOPIC: ((IMBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance OR distress OR worry OR anxiety()) Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES; (ARTICLE) AND PUBLICATION YEARS: (20 OR 2016) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	use OR drug use OR addiction OR dependence) (stress 117 OR 2015 OR 2011 OR 2013 OR 2012 OR 2014 OR 2009			
#2	31	TOPIC: ((IMBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance OR distress OR worry OR anxiety)) Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSO, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	use OR drug use OR addiction OR dependence) (stress			
# 1	40	TOPIC: ((MBSR OR *mindfulness-based stress reduction*) AND (substance OR distress OR worry OR anxiety)) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED. SSCI. A&HCI. ESCI Timespan=All vears	use OR drug use OR addiction OR dependence) (stress	Edit		
					0.000	

Appendix B

Search Strategy 2

CINAHL

	earching: CINAHL Plus with Full Text Choose Databases & Association of the second se													
EBSC	Ohost	Enter any words to find books, journals and more Seal	rch 🕐											
		Basic Search Advanced Search Search History +												
Searc	arch History/Alerts													
Print Se	earch His	ory Retrieve Searches Retrieve Alerts Save Searches / Alerts												
S	elect / de	select all Search with AND Search with OR Delete Searches			Refresh Search Results									
	Search ID#	Search Terms	Search Options	Actions										
	S6	MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (efficacy OR effects)	Limiters - Published Date: 20090101-20171231 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Solution View Results (5) 🚺 View Details	🗹 Edit									
	S 5	S (mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (drug OR drugs)	Limiters - Published Date: 20090101-20171231 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (7) 🚺 View Details	e 🗹 Edit									
	S 4	MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (craving OR distress) AND (substance use OR drug use)	Limiters - Published Date: 20090101-20171231 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (8) 🚺 View Details	e 🗹 Edit									
	S3	(MBSR OR mindfulness based stress reduction) OR (MBRP OR mindfulness based relapse prevention)) AND brain changes AND systematic review	Limiters - Published Date: 20090101-20171231 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Siew Results (1) 🚺 View Details	🗹 Edit									
	S2	MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR addiction OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety)	Limiters - Published Date: 20090101-20171231 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (7) 🚺 View Details	e 🗹 Edit									
	S1	(MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR addiction OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety)	Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (8) 🚺 View Details	i 🧭 Edit									

Appendix C

Search Strategy 3

Pubmed

You Tub				earch Builder	anced Se
			N. <u>Clear all</u>	ation date from 2009	ed: Publica
			usur sozeh	huilder belew to ar	Lico the l
			your search	builder below to cr	Use the t
<u>Cle</u>					Edit
					Builder
	Show index list) .	•	All Fields	
	Show index list	0	T	All Fields	AND V
		-			
ear histo	nload history C	Dowr	2		History
ear histo Time	nload history C	Down	Query	Add to builder	History Search
ear histo Time 01:44:1	nload history C Items found 31	Dowr	Query n (MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR ion OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety) Filters: Publication date 0009/01/01	Add to builder Add S au fr	History Search #2
ear histo Time 01:44:1 01:41:0	nload history, <u>C</u> Items found <u>31</u> <u>8</u>	Dowr rs:	Query n (MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR ion OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety) Filters: Publication date 2009/01/01 MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (efficacy OR effects) Filt ation date from 2009/01/01	Add to builder Add S au fr Add S P	History Search #2 #8
ear histo Time 01:44:1 01:41:0	Items found 31 8 37	Dowr rs:	Query 1 (MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR 100 OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety) Filters: Publication date 1009/01/01 11 MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (efficacy OR effects) Filt 12 ation date from 2009/01/01 1 (mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (drug OR drugs) Filters: 13 ation date from 2009/01/01	Add to builder Add Sa fr Add P P Add S P	History Search #2 #6 #5
ear histo Time 01:44:1 01:41:0 01:40:5 01:40:4	Items found 31 8 37 15	Dowr rs:	Query (MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR ion OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety) Filters: Publication date 009/01/01 MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (efficacy OR effects) Filt iation date from 2009/01/01 (mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (drug OR drugs) Filters: iation date from 2009/01/01 (MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (craving OR distress) AND (substance use OR drug use) Publication date from 2009/01/01	Add to builder Add a fr Add S Add S P Add S P Add S F	History Search #2 #6 #5 #4
lear histo Time 01:44:1 01:41:0 01:40:5 01:40:3	Items found Items found 31 8 37 15 7	rs:	Query (MBSR OR "mindfulness-based stress reduction") AND (substance use OR drug use OR ion OR dependence) AND (stress OR distress OR worry OR anxiety) Filters: Publication data 1009/01/01 In MBSR AND ("psychological health" OR "distress tolerance") AND (efficacy OR effects) Filt ation date from 2009/01/01 In (mindfulness based intervention) AND stress AND treatment AND (drug OR drugs) Filters: iation date from 2009/01/01 In (MBSR OR MBRP OR MBI) AND (oraving OR distress) AND (substance use OR drug use) Publication date from 2009/01/01 In ((MBSR OR mindfulness based stress reduction) OR (MBRP OR mindfulness based relaps fiton)) AND brain changes AND systematic review Filters: Publication date from 2009/01/01	Add to builder Add Sar fr Add SP Add S P Add S Add S F Add S	History Search #2 #6 #5 #4 #4

Appendix D

Table 11

Evaluation Table

Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/Results	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis		AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Marcus et	Cognit	Design:	N= 459	IV1-	DV1-	* two	* No statistically significant	LOE: III
al., (2009)	ive	Quasi-		MBTC	SOSI	sample t-test	demographic differences between	S =
MBSR in	behavi	experiment	MBTC n= 295			for	the two group except for gender.	Measurements of
therapeutic	oral	al	TAU (consists of	IV2- TAU	DV2-	continuous		SOSI were gathered
community	theory		CD counseling,		Saliva	variables	*IV1+IV2-DV1 =	on admission then
treatment: a		Purpose:	life skills training,	DV1-	obtained		Total mean SOSI scores	months 1, 3, 6, and
stage 1 trial		To examine	cognitive	Stress SX	by using	* chi-square	decreased significantly over the 9	9. Saliva samples
		the use an	restructuring, and		the	test for	months of the study in both	were gathered at 0,
Funded by		adapted	vocational	DV2-	Salivete	categorical	groups (P<.01).	15, 30, and 45
NIDA		form of	training) = 164	Cortisol	sampling	variables	Females had consistently higher	minutes after
		MBSR		levels	device and		SOSI mean scores over time	awakening at each
No conflicts		called	MBTC		assayed	* linear	(P<.01)	of the five study
of interest		MBTC to	- Male/Female	DV3-	using	mixed	The muscle tension and	points. Intervention
recognized		compare its	(85.8% / 14.2%)	Attrition	Cortisol	models	emotional irritability subscales	was within a TC
		efficacy of			RIA kits		within the SOSI, the MBTC	and measured for

Slight bias	reducing	- White/Black/	DV4- PT		*log-rank	group showed significantly lower	stress reduction and
by the lack	stress and	Hispanic or other	Participati	DV3-	test	scores at 3 months when	increase in retention
of female	increasing	(53.6%, 29.8%,	on in	Retention		compared to the TAU group	W = Skewed
PTs	retention	16.6%)	MBTC	data	*cox	(muscle tension, $p = .02$)	male to female
	when	- Primary drug is		gathered	proportional	(emotional irritability p =.03)	population, PT
USA	compared	cocaine/marijuana		by	hazards	Females also scored higher on the	participation of
	to TAU of	/ alcohol (33.7%,		tracking	regression	muscle tension and emotional	intervention only
	those	25.8%,17.2%)		the PTs'	model	irritability when compared to	measured for
	suffering			continued		males (p<.02)	MBTC group, no
	from SUDs	TAU		involveme			randomization, and
	within a	- Male/Female		nt or		*IV1+IV2-DV2 = The MBTC	no full validation
	TC	(76.2% / 23.8%)		dropout in		and TAU group showed	for measuring if
		- White/Black/		Cenikor at		significant differences in trends	reported scores are
		Hispanic or other		each study		over time $(p = .03)$	true due to lack of
		(57.3%, 29.9%,		time point		The MBTC group showed a	full observation
		12.8%)				steady decline in levels over the 9	after class
		- Primary drug is		DV4-		month study while the TAU	$AP/CS = Level of$
		cocaine/marijuana		Level of		group showed an irregular	participation in
		/ alcohol (33.3%,		engageme		patterns of change	MBTC had a
		21.0%, 21.0%)		nt		This trend of decreasing cortisol	significant relation
				measured		levels changed over time but had	to retention.
		IC: Met DSM-4		using a 5-		the strongest correlation occurring	Changes in cortisol
		criteria for		point scale		at 9 months (p<.01)	levels followed the
		substance		(1 = never)		No significant differences were	same pattern of
		dependence, were		to 5 =		noted on the average cortisol	results as the SOSI
		residing at the		very		values between the genders.	scores, which

	Houston facility	often) to	The TAU subjects tended to	showed decreased
	of Cenikor	the	have higher cortisol values than	levels found in the
	Foundation,	question	the MBTC group ($p = <.01$)	MBTC group by
	accepted to be	"to what	Higher total mean SOSI scores	three months.
	part of the study	extend did	had a direct correlation to higher	Strong consistency
	within 72 hours of	the	cortisol values (p<.01)	in stress measures
	admission, and	participant	_	meaning stress
	participants gave	engage in	*IV1+IV2-DV3 = No statistical	phenomenon was
	informed consent	class?"	significance found between TX	reliably measured.
			center dropouts between the	Stress reducing
	EC: If the PT		MBTC and TAU group $(p = .45)$	benefits seem to
	chose to leave the		Median survival time for the	decrease after 3
	facility		MBTC was 46 days, and 44 days	months possibly
	-		for the TAU group	suggesting the need
			Legal stipulation and baseline	for continuing
			SOSI scores were found to affect	education to follow-
			survival rates, with PTs legally	up on the MBTC
			required to be at the TC being	techniques learned.
			29% less likely to drop out	The baseline scores
			(hazard ratio $.71$, p =0.1)	of the SOSI showed
			Higher total mean SOSI scores	a strong prediction
			upon entry were directly	in early dropout,
			associated with an increased	which supports a
			likelihood of dropping out	relationship
			(hazard ratio = 1.20, p = .03)	between stress and
				retention within the

			Baseline muscle tension directly	TC treatment and
			associated to increased	that MBTC can be
			probability of dropout (hazard	provided as an early
			ratio 1.14, p =0.2)	adjunctive therapy
			Emotional irritability did not	to manage stress
			affect survival (hazard ratio 1.03,	FE = MBTC may
			p = .56)	be too intense for
			Salivary cortisol levels at baseline	an unmotivated
			were not associated with survival	individual. MBTC
			(p = .87) nor were the baseline	is meant to be
			mean SOSI score and group	utilized in a TC
			affiliation $(p = .78)$	than general
			_	population
			*IV1-DV4 =	
			Mean number of class hour	
			attended by the MBTC group was	
			11.7 (SD =6.1, range 0-17)	
			Mean level of engagement score	
			3.5 (SD =1.6, range 1-5), mean	
			participation level (class hours	
			times engagement score) was 48.4	
			(SD = 26.8, range 0-85)	
			70% attended 10 or more hours	
			of classes, 15% attended no	

classes, 1/3 attended all 17 hours,

							and 60% had an average level of engagement score of 4 or higher After adjusting for legal stipulation, gender, and baseline total mean SOSI score, an	
							associated with a decrease in the	
							likelihood of TX center dropout	
							(hazard ratio = $.976, p < .01$)	
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/Results	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis		AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Hosseinzade	Cognit	Design:	N=53, all males	IV1-	DV1,2,3,4	*independen	* IV1+IV2-DV1,2,3,4,5,67,8 =	LOE: II
h Asl (2014)	ive	RCT	undergoing	MBSR	,5,6,7,8 =	t-samples t	Comparison between mean score	S = Appropriate
Effectivenes	behavi		methadone TX		SF-36	test	of groups at pre-test showed no	statistical analysis,
s of MBSR	oral	Purpose:		IV2- TAU			statistically significant difference	has a control group
intervention	theory	To examine	MBSR $n = 24$			*ANCOVA	between the mean scores of the	receiving standard
for HRQOL		the effects	TAU (methadone	DV1-			experimental and control groups	TX but no therapy,
in drug-		of MBSR	TX but no	Physical			on any of the SF-36 scale before	and instrumentation
dependent		on health-	therapy) $n=25$	function			starting the study	allowed various
males		related	Dropped out					data to be obtained
		QOL in	before experiment	DV2-			* IV1+IV2-DV1,2,3,4,5,67,8 =	in regards to
Funded by		drug-	n= 4	Physical			Comparison between mean score	HRQOL
Addiction				role			of groups at posttest	

TX Clinic of	dependent	Mean age $= 36.8$	functionin	Physical function = mean	W = Small
Milad	males	years (range 19-	g	square 60.17, F-test 3.28, P-value	sample size
		46)	_	0.077, n ² 0.066, observed power	AP/CS = Posttest
No conflicts			DV3-	0.426	mean scores for all
or biases		IC: PTs are	Emotional	Physical role functioning =	scales were higher
recognized		regular attendants	role	mean square 59.423, F-test 1.830,	in the MBSR group
-		of the addiction	functionin	P-value 0.183 , n ² 0.038 , observed	over the control
Researchers		TX center, were	g	power 0.263	group, and scales of
are Turkish		either opium or	_	Emotional role functioning =	vitality, mental
and PTs are		heroin dependent,	DV4-	mean square 172.78, F-test 6.21,	health, role
Iranian		and were under	Vitality	P-value 0.016 , n ² 0.119 , observed	emotional, social
		methadone	-	power 0.685	functioning, bodily
Done in Iran		maintenance TX	DV5-	Vitality = mean square 495.42,	pain showed
		throughout the	Mental	F-test 21.97, P-value 0.000, n ²	significant
		study	health	0.323, observed power 0.996	differences between
				Mental health = mean square	the study groups
		EC: If PT decides	DV6-	769.84, F-test 11.11, P-value	(P>0.05). Research
		to drop out of	Social role	0.002, n ² 0.195, observed power	is supporting
		experiment	functionin	0.904	evidence that
			g	Social role functioning = mean	MBSR is effective
			-	square 248.2, F-test 5.63, P-value	in increasing
			DV7-	0.022, n ² 0.109, observed power	HRQOL in drug-
			Bodily	0.642	dependent males
			pain	Bodily pain = mean square	FE = MBSR has

AA- Alcoholics Anonymous; ANCOVA- analysis of covariance; AP- applicability; ASI- addiction severity index; β - beta coefficient; BAI- Beck anxiety inventory; BDI-II- Beck depression inventory-II; CD- chemical dependency; CF- conceptual framework; CI- confidence interval; CM- contingency management; CS- clinical significance; DERS- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; DSM- diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder; DTS- distress tolerance scale; DV-dependent variable; EC- exclusion criteria; ES- effect size; FE- feasibility; FFMQ- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; FU- follow up; GAD- generalized anxiety disorder; HE- health education; HRQOL- health related quality of life; IC- inclusion criteria; IV- independent variable; KIMS-Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; LOE- level of evidence; MBCT- mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MBRP- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; MBRP-W- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; MBSR- mindfulness-based stress reduction; MBTC- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; MBP- mindfulness based parenting; MDD- major depressive disorder; MINI- mini-international neuropsychiatric interview; MRI- magnetic resonance imaging; N- total number of participants; n- subset number of participants; NIAAA- National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; NIDA- National Institute of Drug Abuse; NIH- National Institute of Health; PACS- Penn alcohol craving scale; PHLM- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; POMS-SF- profile of mood states-short form; PSI- parenting stress index-short form; PSS- perceived stress scale-10; PSS-14- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; PT- patient/participant; OOL- quality of life; RAPI- Rutgers alcohol problem index; RCT- randomized controlled trial; RP- relapse prevention; S- strengths; SCS- self-compassion scale; SE- standard error; SF-36- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; SOSI- symptoms of stress inventory; STAI-T- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; SUDsubstance use disorder; SX- symptoms; TAU- treatment as usual; TC- therapeutic community; TX- treatment; W- weaknesses; WBSI- white bear suppression inventory

241.97, F-test 5.71, P-value

potential in improving the Design/

Method

Theory

Citation

	DV8-			0.021, n ² 0.110, observed power	HRQOL of drug-
	General			0.648	dependent males
	health			General health = mean square	who present are in
				61.12, F-test 1.99, P-value 0.165,	TX for drug
				$n^2 0.042$, observed power 0.282	dependence within
					addiction TX
					centers.
Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
	Definition	tation			
	s				

	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Amaro	Cognit	Design:	N= 360, all	IV-	DV1- 17-	*Chi-square	* There were no significant	LOE: IV
(2014)	ive	Cohort	females	MBRP-W	item	tests	differences noted for baseline	S = Put into
Feasibility,	behavi	study	n=318		satisfactio		perceived stress, posttraumatic	factor trauma,
acceptability	or		Excluded n=42	DV1-	n	*Analysis of	stress symptomatology across the	cultural diversity,
, and	theory	Purpose:		Feasibility	questionn	variance	dosage groups	and
preliminary		To evaluate	Hispanic $= 45.3\%$	and	aire			feasibility/acceptabi
outcomes of		the	Black = 34.6%	acceptabil		*Pairwise	*IV-DV1 =	lity which addresses
a MBRP		feasibility,	Non-Hispanic	ity of	DV2-	comparison	Client satisfaction for all	a major gap in the
intervention		acceptabilit	White and other =	MBRP-W	categorica		questions had a mean score of a 3	literature, large
for		y, and	20.1%		l variable	*Bonferroni	or above (mean=3.4, SD=.3),	sample, appropriate
culturally-		benefits of		DV2-	chart for	correction	meaning women generally saw	statistical analysis
diverse,		an adapted	IC: Must be a	Treatment	higher and		their increase in skills and	W = No
low-income		version of	woman who is 18	dosage	lower		knowledge to be "considerable"	comparison group,
		the MBSR	vears or older.		doses/sess			no randomization.

women in	program	actively enrolled	DV3-	ions of	*Linear	(1-not at all, 2=OK,	no measurement of
SUD TX	meets the	in one of the five	Alcohol	MBRP-W	regression	3=considerable, 4=extremely)	motivation in study,
	needs of	publicly funded	addiction		models		self-reporting may
Unknown	culturally-	SUD TX	severity	DV3-ASI		*IV-DV2 =	cause social
source of	diverse	programs at			*Likelihood	19.8% attended 1-4 sessions	desirability bias,
funding	low-	Boston,	DV4-	DV4-ASI	ratio test	35.8% attended 5-9 sessions	and satisfaction
	income	Massachusetts,	Drug			44.3% didn't attend any	ratings were only
No conflicts	women	and give informed	addiction	DV5-	*Two-tailed	sessions	available in the last
of interests	with SUDs	consent.	severity	PSS-14	tests with		session meaning
recognized	in regards				alpha	*IV-DV3 =	those not present
	to	EC: PT cannot	DV5-	DV6-	criterion of	Significant time-by-group	were unable to give
Biased in	decreasing	repeat the	perceived	Posttraum	.05	interaction (x2=19.0, DF=4,	their scores
that	substance	intervention	stress	atic		p<.001)	AP/CS =
researchers'	use,	program, have		Diagnosti		General pattern of decreased	Culturally diverse
adapted	perceived	missing data on	DV6-	с		alcohol severity over time	women also
form of	stress, and	significant	posttraum	Symptom		Significant decline in ASI	benefits from
MBSR was	trauma SX	covariates, nor	atic stress	Scale		alcohol scores from baseline and	MBRP-W, high
tailored		dropout of their	symptoma			12 months for those who had 5-9	satisfaction ratings
towards		SUD TX	tology	DV7-Self-		sessions (unstandardized beta= -	in quality and
Hispanic				report on		.07 points, linear regression	growth of MBRP-
and black			DV7-	race/ethni		coefficient=.03, p<.05)	W by PTs, research
women			sociodem	city,			supports that
			ographic	employme		*IV-DV4 =	MBRP-W
USA			and TX-	nt status,		Significant time-by-group	decreases alcohol
			related	court-		interaction (x2=10.0, DF=4,	and drug severity,
				ordered		p<.05)	MBRP-W is

		characteri	TX,	General pattern of decreased	supported for a
		stics	arrests in	drug severity over time	specific population
			the last 30	Significant decline in ASI drug	FE = MBRP-W
			days, TX	scores from baseline at 6 months	may be used for all
			modality,	and 12 months for those who had	types of women,
			length of	5-9 sessions	women who attend
			TX, and	At 6 months (unstandardized	MBRP-W may be
			Life	beta=03 points, linear	more satisfied with
			stressor	regression coefficient=.01, p<.01)	TX thus being more
			checklist-	At 12 months (unstandardized	compliant to
			Revised	beta=04 points, linear	remaining in their
			for trauma	regression coefficient=.01, p<.05)	SUD TX, this is
			exposure		therapy intervention
				*IV-DV5 =	that does not use
				PSS-14 scores decreased by a	medications or
				mean of 1.7 points per 6-month	invasive procedures
				survey interval in all PTs	
				For those with 5-9 sessions of	
				MBRP, there was an additional	
				decrease of 2.3 points per 6-	
				month survey interval (linear	
				regression coefficient=.74, p<.05)	
				*IV-DV6 =	
				Only significance was the time	
				(linear) in TX difference, p<.05	

No significance cl

			No significance changes in	
			trauma symptom scores by	
			exposure to MBRP-W	
			Trauma SX scores decreased on	
			average of 1.7 points per 6-month	
			survey interval in all PTs	
			2	
			*IV-DV7=	
			Higher fraction of women from	
			white or other racial/ethnic	
			backgrounds were in the lower	
			dosage groups and no MBRP-W	
			groups	
			Significant correlation of	
			women in the high dosage group	
			being in a residential TX and	
			court-ordered TX when compared	
			to women in the low dosage	
			groups and the no MBRP-W	
			groups	
			Women in the higher dosage	
			group had a significantly higher	
			chances of being in SUD TX at 6-	
			and 12-months follow-up versus	
			women in the lower dosage	
			groups or no MBRP-W groups	

							All women in the sample has a	
							history of exposure to traumatic	
							life events	
							92% were exposed to traumatic	
							life events within 6 months before	
							the study	
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Gotink	Physio	Design:	N= 30 studies	IV1- TX	N/A	The chosen	*MBSR shows overall increased	LOE: I
(2016) 8-	logic	Systematic		option		articles were	activity in the prefrontal cortex,	S = multiple
week MBSR	theory	review	Neuroimaging			picked	especially the dorsomedial and	articles reviewed,
induces			studies on MBSR	DV1-		through a	dorsolateral areas, after use	results show a trend
brain		Purpose:	effect n= 11	Changes		qualitative		of increased brain
changes		То	Aspects in MBSR	in brain		approach	*Mixed reviews on how MBSR	activity, and
similar to		systematica	program n= 15	activity		after	effects the insula, but many	reliable results
traditional		lly review	Dispositional			meeting all	studies show that mindfulness-	W = Mixed
long-term		the	mindfulness n= 4			criteria	based tasks caused increased	reviews between
meditation		evidence of					activity in this region	studies, no
practice: a		the effect	Randomized					quantitative meta-
systematic		of secular	controlled trial				*Mixed reviews on how MBSR	analysis, and many
review		mindfulnes	n=13				effects the cingulate cortex, but	of the studies had a
		S	Cohort studies				many studies show that	small population
		techniques	n=9					sample, notable

Funded by	on fun	ction Cross-sectional		mindfulness-based tasks caused	heterogeneity, and
Erasmus	and	studies n=8		increased activity in this region	high risk of
MC	structu	ure of			publication bias
	the bra	ain, IC: The study had		*MBSR increased the volume and	AP/CS = Users
No conflicts	with	to include		activity within the hippocampus	who are long-term
of interest	empha	asis dispositional		*Mixed review in regards to	practitioners of
recognized	on the	mindfulness or		activity, volume, and differences	meditation show
	neuron	nal MBSR/MBCT as		of how MBSR affects the	functional and
Risk of	explar	nation aspects of the		amygdala	structural
publication	of the	program or			differences within
bias	stress-	intervention, and			the sensory
	reduci	ing functional and /or			cortices,
USA	effects	s of structural MRI as			hippocampus,
	the 8-	week imaging technique			insula, prefrontal
	MBSF	R and			cortex, and
	MBC	Γ EC: The study			cingulate cortex.
	progra	am was excluded if			MBSR training
		MBSR or MBCT			showed similar
		were not			brain changes in the
		mentioned or			prefrontal cortex,
		were components			insula,
		as intervention,			hippocampus, and
		did not have MRI			cingulate cortex.
		as the imaging			FE = N/A
		technique, and			
		other forms of			

			meditation or mindfulness					
			techniques					
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Bodenlos	Social	Design:	N= 310,	IV-	DV1-	*Hierarchica	*IV-DV1 =	LOE: IV
(2013)	cogniti	Cross	undergraduate	Survey of	FFMQ	1 linear	No significant differences noted	S = Appropriate
Mindfulness	ve	sectional	college students	college		regression	on overall mindfulness between	statistical analysis,
and Alcohol	theory			population	DV2-	analyses	genders (FFMQ score: $t[304] = -$	large sample,
Problems in		Purpose:	Male $n=32\%$	about	RAPI	*Kenny and	.17, p=.86)	accumulates the
College		To examine	Female n=68%	stress,		Baron	However, for the mindfulness	factors of
Students:		the		drinking,	DV3-	approach	facet, non-reactivity to inner	mindfulness, stress,
The		relationship	Caucasian n=85%	and	PSS-14		experience, males scored	and drinking
Mediating		between	Mean age $= 19.7$	mindfulne		*Bivariate	significantly higher (mean=22.14,	altogether
Effects of		mindfulnes	(range 18-24,	SS		correlations	SD=3.64) than females	W = Skewed
Stress		s and	SD=1.3)				(mean=21.25, SD3.61;	population with
		alcohol	Freshman n= 40%	DV1-		*Sobel test	t[303]=2.01, p=.04)	higher amounts of
No funding		problems in	Sophomore n=	level of				females, freshman,
was used to		college	24%	mindfulne		*Post hoc	*IV-DV2 =	and Caucasians.
support this		students, as	Juniors n= 20%	SS		power	Class years did not differ	Slightly biased
research		well as the	Seniors n= 16%			analysis	significantly on RAPI scores (F[4,	method of
		role of		DV2-		-	304] 1.75, p=.14)	recruitment as
		stress as a		severity of				psychology

No conflicts	mediator in	IC: Had to be	drinking		Men scored significantly higher	students were
of interest	this	attending a small	habits		(mean=9.35, SD=9.07) than	targeted with
recognized	relationship	liberal arts college			females (mean=6.52, SD=7.61;	incentives to
		in the Northeast,	DV3-		t[302] = 2.84, p=.00)	participate and
Slight bias		sign an informed	perceived			mainly people who
by the		consent form, and	stress		*IV-DV3 =	are interested in
significant		complete a series			Females reported higher stress	mindfulness may
majority of		of self-report			levels on the PSS-14	take the initiative to
PTs being		surveys			(mean=38.25, SD=7.02) than	sign up for this
Caucasian					male (mean=36.14, SD=8.29;	experiment. This
		EC: Not attending			t[306]=-2.299, p=.02)	was a cross-
USA		college, refusing				sectional study, so
		to sign the			*IV-DV1-DV2-DV3=	causality between
		consent, nor			Mindfulness was significantly	variables couldn't
		completing the			associated with alcohol problems	be determined.
		surveys			(β=-0.20, p<.00)	Variables of the
					Mindfulness was significantly	PTs like certain
					associated with stress (β =-0.60,	personality traits
					p<.00)	and negative mood
					Stress was significantly	states were not
					associated with alcohol problems	measured. Different
					after controlling for mindfulness	cultural
					(β=0.16, p<.05)	backgrounds were
					Mindfulness did not remain	not measured which
					independently associated with	may impact
					alcohol problems when	perceived stress

			controlling for stress (β =-0.11,	levels and coping
			p=.12	styles. Possible
			Sobel test indicates that the	self-report bias as
			indirect effects of mindfulness on	all data was
			alcohol problems through stress	gathered through
			was significant (Z=-3.82, P<.00)	self-reporting. No
			Post hoc power analysis	measurement in
			indicated that the power to detect	differences of
			obtained effects at the .05 level	socioeconomic
			was .96 for the overall regression	classes, social
			in the prediction of alcohol	support, and other
			problems	background
				information which
				may influence
				perceived stress
				AP/CS = Results
				support that stress
				is a full mediator
				between
				mindfulness and
				alcohol problems.
				Mindfulness
				correlated with all
				the facets of
				mindfulness and
				negatively

				correlated with
				alcohol problems
				and stress, while
				alcohol problems
				were negatively
				correlated with the
				acting with
				awareness and
				describing
				experience facets of
				mindfulness and
				positively
				correlated with
				stress. Thus
				mindfulness is
				negatively
				associated with
				stress and alcohol
				problems, and
				stress was
				positively
				associated with
				alcohol problems.
				Study supports that
				the relationship
				between alcohol

								problems and mindfulness can be
								accounted for by
								lovel of stress
								EVEL OF SHESS
								FE - Increasing
								mindfulness can
								neip with alcohol
								problems and
								stress. Mindfulness
								can be useful in the
								college student
								population as there
								are direct
								correlations
								between
								mindfulness and
								perceived stress and
								alcohol problems.
								MBSR trainings
								should be offered to
								help reduce stress
								and problematic
								alcohol use in
								students
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE

	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Bowen	Cognit	Design:	N= 286	IV1-	DV1 –	- Sample	- Significant baseline differences	LOE: II
(2014)	ive	RCT		MBRP	self-	size-	on the severity of dependence	S = appropriate
Relative	behavi		MBRP n= 103		report,	weighted	scale with TAU PTs having lower	sample size,
efficacy of	oral	Purpose:	RP n= 88	IV2 –	calendar-	orthogonal	severity than RP or MBRP PTs.	statistical analysis,
MBRP,	theory	To evaluate	TAU n= 95	Standard	formatted	contrasts		and observation of
standard RP,		the long-		RP	Timeline	- Cox	*IV1+IV2 on DV1+DV4 = In the	variables. Data
and TAU for		term	Males = 71.5%		Follow-	proportional	6-month FU, for PTs who	measured over 3, 6,
SUD: a		efficacy of	Females $= 28.5\%$	IV3 –	back for	hazards	received RP or MBRP in	and 12 months
randomized		MBRP in		TAU (12-	alcohol	regression	comparison to TAU PTs, there	W = imbalance of
clinical trial		reducing	Caucasian =	step	use,	modeling	was a significantly higher	baseline severity of
		relapse	57.9%	programm	urinalysis	-	probability of abstinence from	dependence
Funded by		compared	Ethnic/racial	ing based	drug and	Generalized	drug use and probability of not	amongst the groups,
NIH and		with RP	minority $= 42.1\%$	on AA	alcohol	linear	engaging in heavy drinking	use of self-reporting
NIDA		and TAU		and	screenings	models,		on substance abuse
		(12-step	IC: Had to be	psychoedu		specifically,	*IV1-IV2 = By the 6-month FU,	AP/CS = MBRP,
Possible		programmi	enrolled in a	cation	DV2 –	negative	there were no significant	RP, and TAU may
conflict of		ng and	designated non-		Through	binomial	difference between RP and	be equally effective
interest by		psychoeduc	profit chemical	DV1-	weekly	hurdle	MBRP, except for RP showing an	for first 3 months.
Drs. Bowen,		ation)	dependency TX	Drug	supervisio	regression	advantage over MBRP in time to	MBRP and RP is
Grow, and		during a	agency, speak	relapse	n and	models	first drug use	more effective than
Chawla		12-month	English fluently,	rates	review of			TAU in regards to
receiving		follow-up	be aged 18 or		audio-		*IV1+IV2 on DV4 = For PTs that	relapse risk to drug
monetary		period	older, receive				drank heavily, RP and MBRP PTs	use and heavy

incentives

conducting

from

MBRP

trainings.

No bias

USA

recognized

medical clearance,

consent, be able to

sessions, agree to

assignment and

assessments, and

initial intensive

Length

severity of

drug/alcoh

and

ol use

report,

calendar-

formatted

Timeline

Follow-

back for

alcohol

severity of

dependenc

e scale,

addiction

urinalysis

drug and

severity

index,

use,

give informed

attend TX

random

follow-up

complete the

outpatient or

inpatient care

EC: If unable to

sessions, agree to

assignment or

complete initial

current psychotic

attend TX

random

follow-up

assessment,

TX. have a

disorder or

suicidality,

DV2-	recorded	reported 31% fewer days of heavy	drinking. By 12
Treatment	sessions	drinking compared with those	months, MBSR PTs
adherence		assigned to TAU by 6 month FU	had significantly
	DV3 –		fewer days of drug
DV3-	A13-item	*IV1+IV2 on DV1+DV4 = By	use and higher
Competen	competen	12-month FU, MBRP PTs	chances of not
ce of	ce scale	reported significantly fewer drug	engaging in heavy
MBSR		use days and higher probability of	drinking when
	DV4 -	not engaging in heavy drinking	compared to RP
DV4-	self-	compared with RP PTs	PTs

*IV1-DV3 = competence rated between adequate and good (4.64[0.42])

*DV2 = FU completion rates were not significantly different across TX groups. Attrition analyses revealed that PTs with missing data at the 12-month follow-up were significantly older (P<.02)

-- FE = MBSR is

safe, low costing,

universally based

and can be used

			decline/ fail to		alcohol			
			attend/ unable to		screenings			
			schedule baseline					
			appointment,					
			decide to					
			withdraw from					
			study, become					
			incarcerated, or is					
			unable to maintain					
			contact					
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Glasner	Cognit	Design:	N= 63 stimulant-	IV1- CM	DV1-	*Generalize	*IV1+IV2+IV3-DV1=	LOE: II
(2016)	ive	RCT	dependent adults		urine	d linear	Average proportion of	S = Was
MBRP for	behavi		*Of the 63, 9 of	IV2-	toxicology	model	stimulant-free urine samples of	randomized, well
stimulant	oral	Purpose:	the MBRP group	MBRP	assay	(generalized	MBRP and HE showed no	designed in
dependent	theory	То	were terminated		using	estimating	significant difference (0.73 versus	comparing MBRP
adults: a		compare	and 14 of the HE	IV3- HE	enzyme	equation)	0.70. p>0.05)	to HE within CM
pilot		the	group were		immunoas		For PTs with MDD, MBRP	environment, and
randomized		incremental	terminated for	DV1-	say test	*Mixed	showed significantly greater	measured multiple
clinical trial		efficacy	various reasons	stimulant	procedure	model	benefits which decreased the	variables using
		and	*Furthermore, 9	use	S	analyses	chances of stimulant use when	valid scales and
		outcomes	of the MBRP					indexes

Funded by	of MBRP	group dropped out	DV2-	DV2- ASI	*Multivariat	compared to HE (odds ratio=0.78,	W = Small
NIDA	to a health	while 14 in the	psychiatri		e logistic	$p=0.03, n^2=0.20)$	sample size, high
	education	HE group dropped	c and	DV3-	regression	For PTs with GAD, MBRP	attrition,
No conflicts	control	out	addiction	BDI-II	analyses	showed significantly greater	AP/CS = When
of interest	condition		severity			benefits which decreased the	compared, results
recognized	for	CM+MBRP, n=31		DV4-BAI		chances of stimulant use when	showed CM with
	stimulant-	CM+HE, n= 32	DV3-			compared to HE (odds ratio=0.68,	MBRP was more
No bias	dependent		depression	DV5- self-		$p=0.04, n^2=0.13)$	effective than CM
recognized	adults	CM+MBRP	severity	completio			with HE. in
	receiving	-males/females=		n of		*IV1+IV2+IV3-DV2=	reducing stimulant
USA	contingenc	21/10	DV4-	mindfulne		ASI-drug severity scores	use, dependence,
	У	-mean age= 44.6	anxiety	ss practice		showed no significant differences	adults, overall
	manageme	-Hispanic/African	severity	log with		over time as a function of group	psychiatric severity,
	nt	/White/Other=7/		the		(p>.05)	possible decrease in
		12/9/3	ONLY	minutes		ASI-psychiatric severity scores	anxiety severity,
		-meth	FOR	they		showed significant improvement	depression severity,
		dependence= 14	MBRP	practiced		over time for the MBRP over the	emotion regulation,
		-cocaine	GROUP	mindfulne		HE (X ² =3.07, df=1, p0.04,	and high feasibility
		dependence= 17		SS		d=0.61)	FE = MBRP
			DV5-	DV6-		Pairwise contrasts showed no	could be helpful in
		CM+HE	feasibility	DERS		significance at mid- or end-of-	treating cocaine and
		-males/females=	and			TX, but was significant at 1	meth dependence,
		24/8	acceptabil	DV7-		month follow-up (t= -2.33 , df= 41 ,	could be used to
		-mean age= 46.1	ity	WBSI		p=0.01)	decrease stimulant
							use while having
						*IV1+IV2+IV3-DV3=	improved mood

	-Hispanic/African	DV6-	DV8-	Significant decrease in BDI-II	stability, and
	/White/Other= 6/	emotion	FFMQ	scores during and after TX for	provide depressive
	16/10/0	regulation		MBRP when compared to HE	and anxiety
	-meth	-	DV9- On	(X ² =2.88, df=1, p=0.04, d=0.58)	symptoms.
	dependence= 14	DV7-	a random	Pairwise comparisons at mid-	
	-cocaine	thought	50% of	TX (t= -2.09, df=32, p=0.02)	
	dependence= 14	suppressio	sessions	Pairwise comparisons at end-of-	
	-	n or	using the	TX (t= -1.92, df=33, p=0.03)	
	MDD (43% of	deliberate	MBRP	Pairwise comparisons at 1	
	sample. Consisted	attempts	Adherenc	month post TX (t = -2.17, df=42,	
	of 53% of MBRP	to avoid	e Scale	p=0.01)	
	and 33% of HE)	unwanted	with		
	GAD (24% of	thoughts	feedback	*IV1+IV2+IV3-DV4=	
	sample. Consisted		as needed	Findings suggest that anxiety	
	of 30% of MBRP	DV8-		decreased more over time for the	
	and 19% of HE)	acquisitio		MBRP group but it failed to	
		n of		achieve significance ($X^2=1.53$,	
	IC: Had to be 18	mindfulne		df=1, p=0.10, d=0.72)	
	years or older,	ss skills		Pairwise contrasts showed no	
	have a DSM-4			significance at mid- and end-of-	
	diagnosis of	DV9-		TX	
	stimulant	fidelity		Pairwise contrasts showed	
	dependence using	monitorin		significance advantage for MBRP	
	the MINI, is able	g		on anxiety severity at 1-month	
	to read and write			follow-up (t= -2.34, df=28,	
	English, gave			p=0.01)	

informed consent,		
and be able to	*IV1+IV2-DV5=	
physically sit still	PTs reported practicing MBRP	
for 30 minutes or	of an average of 18.5 days over	
longer.	the 8 weeks (SD=16.0, range 0-	
	49)	
EC: If PT	PTs reported practicing a total	
exhibited medical	of 145.3 over the 8 weeks	
impairment that	(SD=287.0, range 0-1188)	
would		
compromise their	*IV1+IV2-DV6=	
safety, withdraws	No significance for group x time	
consent, requires	interaction for DERS	
medical detox	MBRP group showed	
from any	significantly lower scores	
substance, 2-week	(meaning less difficulty in	
absence from	regulating emotion) at both TX	
protocol	end (t= -2.02, df=41, p=0.02) and	
participation,	follow-up (t= -1.93, df=42,	
exhibit psychiatric	p=0.03)	
impairment that		
warrants	*IV1+IV2-DV7=	
hospitalization or	No significance identified of	
primary TX, or	neither the group x time	
were homeless	interaction nor any pairwise	
	comparisons	

			*IV1+IV2-DV8= Changes in the FFMQ failed to show significance for group x time interaction effect ($x^2 < 1$) Pairwise comparisons showed that there was significantly higher scores for mid-TX of those in MBRP (t=2.32, df=41, p=0.01) Noted difference observed at TX end, but no statistical difference (t=1.36, df=42, p=0.09)	
			*IV1+IV2-DV9= Results showed acceptable to excellent fidelity of all four domains of the MBRP adherence and competence scale: mean percentage of MBRP components delivery was 85%, therapist style/approach 4.5 (scale from 1 to 5), discussing key concepts 100%, and overall therapist performance 4.2 (scale	
			from 1 to 5)	

Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Witkiewitz	Cognit	Design:	N=168	IV1-	DV1-	*Full	*Significant difference noted on	LOE: II
(2010)	ive	RCT		MBRP	Timeline	information	racial distribution amongst the	S = moderate
Depression,	behavi		MBRP n= 93		Follow-	maximum	groups X ² (1, N=168)=5.51,	sample size,
craving, and	oral	Purpose:	TAU (standard	IV2- TAU	Back	likelihood	p=.02. By coincidence of	randomized trial,
substance	theory	To examine	admission			through the	randomization for the MBRP	had a comparison
use		the relation	outpatient	DV1-	DV2-	expectation	group there were 59 white PTs	TAU group,
following a		between	aftercare, 12-step	substance	PACS	maximizatio	(63%) and 34 white PTs (45%)	measured cravings,
randomized		measures	model, process-	use		n algorithm	for the TAU group	substance use, and
trial of		of	oriented groups,		DV3-	*negative	*No difference in attrition were	depression
MBRP		depressive	and	DV2-	BDI-II	binomial	found between whites and non-	W = poorly
		SX,	psychoeducation)	alcohol		distribution	white PTs in the MBRP group	descried analysis,
Funded by		craving,	n=75	and drug		*multivariat	$X^{2}(1, N=93) = 0.631, p=.43.$	skewed male
NIDA		and		cravings		e normality	*No difference in attrition were	population and
		substance					found between groups at post-	white population
No conflicts		use	Sample:	DV3-		*Mardia's	intervention (p=.20), 2 months	$AP/CS = MBRP$
or biases		following	-mean age= 40.45	depression		coefficient	(p=.22), or 4 months (p=.91)	can help decrease
recognized		MBRP	years, SD=10.28	TX		of skewness	*No other differences between	cravings,
			-male= 63.7			and kurtosis	baseline interventions from key	depression, and
USA			-female= 36.3				demographic variables (ps>.14)	substance use
			-White (non-				*TAU PTs received significantly	FE = applying
			Hispanic)= 53.6%				less hours of TX than the MBRP	MBRP may be

	-African	*product of	group (mean TAU=9.75 hours	more cost effective
	American= 28.6%	coefficients	versus MBRP=12.79. p=.006)	than TAU, research
	-Native	method		shows MBRP to
	American= 7.7%		*IV1+IV2-DV1=	show more
	-Hispanic/Latino=	*nonsignific	Average days of use over 60-	favorable results
	6.0%	ant chi-	days (5.62 days for MBRP, 9.33	than TAU
	-Unemployed=	square	days for TAU)	
	41.3%	statistic	Use of substance only once	
	-Earn less than		within the 60-day follow-up	
	\$4,999= 62.3%	*moderation	period (28.6% TAU, 33.3%	
	-Obtained high	tests, f ² was	MBRP)	
	school diploma=	used to	Use of substance on any day of	
	71.6%	estimate the	use within the 60-day follow-up	
		effect size	period (29.1% TAU, 28.6%	
	Primary drugs of		MBRP)	
	abuse were:	*moderated		
	-alcohol 45.2%	regression	*IV1-DV2-DV3=	
	-cocaine/crack	analyses	Both groups had lower craving	
	36.2%		scores at baseline and 4 months	
	-meth 13.6%	*descriptive	postintervention but did not reach	
		statistics and	statistical significance	
		bivariate	TAU had the highest substance	
	IC: PT had to be	correlation	use days for those with the	
	fluent in English,	coefficients	highest BDI-II scores	
	completed		PTs with the highest BMI-II	
	intensive		scores in MBRP showed	

[]				
	outpatient or	*chi-square	significantly lower caving scores	
	inpatient TX	tests	and days of use versus TAU PTs	
	within the		who also had high MBI-II scores	
	previous 2 weeks	*t-tests	craving scores significantly	
			mediated the tie between	
	EC: If the PT has		postintervention depressive	
	current psychosis,		symptoms and the prediction of	
	dementia,		days of use over the 4 months	
	imminent suicide		after the intervention	
	risk, significant			
	risk for		*IV1-DV3= Mean depression	
	withdrawal, are		scores in both conditions showed	
	unable to attend		no significant difference	
	TX, needing more			
	intensive TX due			
	to high risk of			
	relapse or			
	continued heavy			
	use, having less			
	than 8 weeks until			
	completion of			
	aftercare, not			
	completing			
	inpatient or			
	intensive			
	outpatient TX,			

			declining participation, and failing to complete the					
			baseline					
			assessment.					
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				S				
Short (2017)	Cognit	Design:	N= 59 women	IV1- MBP	DV1-PSS	*Chi square	*IV1-DV1 =	LOE: IV
Reducing	ive	Cohort				tests	General stress decreased	S = Covering a
stress among	behavi	study	Mean age $= 30$	DV1-	DV2- PSI		significantly from baseline to	common but
mothers in	oral		years old (range	parenting		*Mixed-	post-intervention (pre to post	difficult vulnerable
drug TX: A	theory	Purpose:	22-40)	stress		effects linear	mean difference, for PSS was	population,
description		To examine	White = 73.2%			regression	19.7 to 16.1. The 95% CI for pre	W = Small
of a		whether a	Black = 5.4%	DV2-		models	and post were -5.3 and -1.8. P-	sample size which
mindfulness		mindfulnes	Multiracial =	general			value <0.001, ES 0.64)	may have affected
based		s based	5.4%	stress		*Pearson	There was a statistically	the detection of
parenting		parenting	Other race =			correlation	significant decrease expressed in	significant
intervention		interventio	14.3%				total stress scores for women with	differences, no
		n could be	Unknown/refused				low and women with high	formal evaluation
Funded by		successful	race = 1.8%				baseline stress scores	of other types of
the		in	Unemployed/disa					support the PTs
		decreasing	bled/unable to					may have received,

Children's	general and	work/student =		Women with the lowest baseline	possibly selection
Bureau	parenting	96.1%		stress had a mean difference of -	bias as PTs who
	stress for	Employed $= 3.9\%$		2.5 (95% CI -4.6, -0.41; p=0.02).	were more likely to
No conflicts	women			Women with the highest	want to participate
or biases	with infants	Married = 9.9%		baseline stress had a mean	were more likely to
recognized	or children	Single = 49.1%		difference of -6.51 (95% CI -9.9,	sign up and use
	in TX for	Partner/domestic		-3.1; P<0.001).	what was taught for
USA	SUD	partner/significant		Changes in total stress among	their stress, all data
		other = 40.9%		women with moderate baseline	was self-reported
				stress was not statistically	causing increase in
		Number of		significant ($P = 0.31$)	reporting bias, no
		children:			control group of
		1 child = 28.3%		*IV1-DV2 =	mothers who did
		2-3 = 48.9%		No significant change in the	not participate in
		4 + = 22.8%		Total Stress Scores from baseline	MBP, and no FU
				(73.8, SE 1.8) to post-MBP (74.4,	reports after.
		PTs had in		SE 2.8).	AP/CS = PTs
		average more than		No significant changes found	who receive MBP
		two children and a		with the Parent-Child	report significant
		history of four		Dysfunctional Interaction	decrease in general
		pregnancies		subscale or the Difficult Child	stress, the study
				subscale.	showed that MBP
		IC: PTs had to be		For the Parental Distress	impacted women
		women who were		subscale there was significant	with the highest
		English speakers,		improvement noted by PTs	level of general
		aged 18 to 40,		reporting significantly less stress	stress. Study

			within 28 wooks				nest intervention compared to	suggests that MDD
			within 28 weeks				post-intervention compared to	suggests that MBP
			of gestation or				baseline (pre and post MBP	may also help
			delivered within				scores, 31.8 and 28.3. pre to post	reduce stress of
			the past 3 years,				mean difference, -3.5, 95% CI of	women who
			gave informed				-5.8,-1.1. P-value 0.005. ES 0.31).	previously had high
			consent, and were					exposure to
			being treated at					childhood trauma
			either "Family					FE = MBP is cost
			Center," an out-					efficient, easily
			patient TX facility					deliverable,
			or at "My Sister's					provided by
			Place," a					certified
			comprehensive,					mindfulness
			long-term,					instructors, 12 week
			residential TX					MBP intervention
			facility					may be too long of
								a commitment, may
			EC: If PT decides					have compliance
			to withdrawal					issues, results may
			from the program					not be relatable to
								women with SUD
								who are not in a
								clinic-setting
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
	/	Method		Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&		(stats used)		<i>,</i>

				Definition	Instrumen			
				s	tation			
Gawrysiak	Cognit	Design:	N= 372 PTs who	IV1-	DV1-DTS	*principal	*IV1-DV1 =	LOE: III
(2016)	ive	Quasi-	completed an 8-	MBSR		axis	DTS score with 4 first-order	S = Large sample
Dimensions	behavi	experiment	week MBSR		DV2-PSS	factoring	factor subscales of the DTS	size, appropriate
of distress	oral	al	course	DV1-			DTS = (Difference of mean pre	statistical analysis,
tolerance	theory		n= 255,	distress	DV3-	*Cronbach's	and post score, 0.5. Difference of	multiple
and the		Purpose:	completed all	tolerance	POMS-SF	alpha	mean pre and post SD, 0.8. 95%	measurement of
moderating		To examine	post-MBSR			measure of	CI of differences, 0.4, 0.6. t -score	variables.
effects on		the	assessment	DV2-		internal	10.2. P-value <.0001)	W = No control
MBSR		relationship	measures	perceived		consistency	Absorption = (Difference of	group, all data were
		between	n=113, failed to	stress			mean pre and post score, 0.7.	self-reported thus
Funded by		distress	complete these			*Generalize	Difference of mean pre and post	possible self-report
VISN 4		tolerance	measures	DV3-		d estimating	SD, 1.1. 95% CI of differences,	bias, no FU after
Mental		and		mood		equation	0.5, 0.8. t -score 10.0. P-value	completion of
illness		psychosoci	PTs were	states		model	<.0001)	MBSR program,
Research,		al changes	primarily married				Appraisal = (Difference of	demographic
Education,		within PTs	(59%)			*Two-tailed	mean pre and post score, 0.2.	variables and data
and Clinical		participatin	Mean age of PTs			paired t-tests	Difference of mean pre and post	were not adequately
Center,		g in MBSR	were 48.9 years				SD, 0.9. 95% CI of differences,	available, and there
Philadelphia		-	old			*Type 3	0.0, 0.3. t -score 2.7. P-value	was no data
Veterans						score test	.0000)	collected on how
Affairs			IC: Self-referral				Regulation = (Difference of	compliant the PTs
Medical			or referral by a			*Kaiser-	mean pre and post score, 0.5.	utilized the MBSR
Center			clinician,			Meyer-	Difference of mean pre and post	techniques at home
			physician, or			-	SD, 1.0. 95% CI of differences,	-

MINDFULNESS FOR STRESS REDUCTION

No conflicts	friend. PT had to	Olkin	0.4, 0.6. t -score 8.1. P-value	outside of class
of biases	agree in	measure	<.0001)	meetings
recognized	completing an 8-		Tolerance = (Difference of	AP/CS = Distress
_	week MBSR		mean pre and post score, 0.6.	tolerance, perceived
USA	course, complete		difference of mean pre and post	stress, and mood
	and pass a		SD, 0.9. 95% CI of Differences,	states showed
	telephone		0.5, 0.7. t -score 11.4. P-value	beneficial changes
	screening		<.0001)	from pre to post
	questionnaire, and			MBSR, results
	assent to complete		*IV1-DV2 = PSS = (Difference	showed baseline
	the self-report		of mean pre and post score, -6.7.	distress tolerance
	measures prior to		Difference of mean pre and post	significantly
	and following the		SD, 6.0. 95% CI of differences, -	moderated
	group		7.4, -6.0. t -score -18.0. P-value	reduction on
	participation		<.0001)	perceived stress
				meaning there is an
	EC: If the PT did		*IV1-DV3 = POMS-SF score	inverse correlation
	not complete the		which is made up of six subscales	between baseline
	self-report		Anger = (difference of mean pre	distress tolerance
	measures or were		and post score, -2.1. difference of	and perceived
	not considered		mean pre and post SD, 3.5. 95%	stress, consistent
	appropriate based		CI of differences, -2.5, -1.6. t -	findings of
	on the telephone		score -9.5. P-value <.0001)	mindfulness
	screening		Confusion = (difference of	training being
			mean pre and post score, -1.7.	beneficial for the
			difference of mean pre and post	majority of its users

7	\mathbf{r}
I	7

			SD, 3.0. 95% CI of differences, -	and especially for				
			2.0, -1.3. t -score -8.9. P-value	those with low				
			<.0001)	distress tolerance				
			Depression = (difference of					
			mean pre and post score,	FE = Pt compliance				
			-2.3. difference of mean pre and	outside of the				
			post SD, 3.8. 95% CI of	classroom may be				
			differences, -2.8, -1.9. t -score -	difficult to				
			10.1. P-value <.0001)	implement, cost-				
			Fatigue = (difference of mean	efficient method for				
			pre and post score, -3.3.	reducing stress,				
			difference of mean pre and post	MBSR is dependent				
			SD, 4.6. 95% CI of differences, -	to the consistency				
			3.9, -2.8. t -score -11.7. P-value	of the user to				
			<.0001)	practice its				
			Tension = (difference of mean	techniques making				
			pre and post score, -2.7.	it good for				
			difference of mean pre and post	motivated PTs				
			SD, 3.8. 95% CI of differences, -					
			3.2, -2.3. t -score -11.9. P-value					
			<.0001)					
			Vigor = (difference of mean pre					
			and post score, 2.6. difference of					
			mean pre and post SD, 3.8. 95%					
			CI of differences, 2.1, 3.1. t -score					
			11.0. P-value <.0001)					
Citation	Theory	Design/	Sample/ Setting	Major	Measurem	Data	Findings/	LOE, S and W,
--------------	--------	--------------	-------------------	------------	-----------	--------------	------------------------------------	----------------------
	/	Method	1 0	Variables	ent/	Analysis	Results	AP/CS, and FE
	CF			&	Instrumen	(stats used)		
				Definition	tation			
				s				
Bergen-Cico	Cognit	Design:	N= 119	IV1-Brief	DV1-	*Chi-square	*No significant differences found	LOE: III
(2013),	ive	Quasi-	undergraduate	MBSR	KIMS and	analysis	or any of the demographic	S = Moderate
Examining	behavi	experiment	students	IV2- TAU	PHLM		variables	sample size, valid
the efficacy	oral	al				*t test		and reliable tools
of a brief	theory		Brief MBSR n=72	DV1-	DV2-SCS	analyses	*IV1+IV2-DV1=	for measurement,
MBSR		Purpose:	TAU (Received	mindfulne			For the TAU group, self-	and appropriate
program on		To examine	weekly didactic	ss skills	DV3-	*ANCOVA)	compassion and mindfulness	statistical analysis
psychologic		potential	lectures and		STAI-T		measures showed that their scores	W = Sample was
al health		psychologi	discussions about	DV2- self-		*Cohen's d	remained stable with no	not randomized, no
		cal health	mindful and	compassio		formula	significant changes noted	follow-up post
No funding		benefits of	present centered	n			For the brief MBSR group,	intervention,
was used to		participatin	awareness) n=47			*Cronbach's	significant improvement was	samples were
support this		g in a brief		DV3- trait		alpha	noted from the pre (125 ± 18) to	mostly white and
research		5-week	MBSR group	anxiety			post (133±19) scores in	female, and there
		MBSR	-Mean age 21.5			*Carmody	mindfulness of the KIMS	were skewed
No conflicts		program	-Males 14 (20%)			and Baer's	composite score (p≤.001)	sample quantities
of interest		integrated	-Females 58			meta-	Subscale scores for KIMS	among groups,
recognized		into an	(80%)			analysis	Observing (observational skills	AP/CS = Brief
		academic	-White 47 (65%)				without judgment) (p≤.001) was	MBSR showed a
No bias		course	-Black 9 (12%)				also significant	decrease in
			-Hispanic 8 (11%)					isolation and

AA- Alcoholics Anonymous; ANCOVA- analysis of covariance; AP- applicability; ASI- addiction severity index; β - beta coefficient; BAI- Beck anxiety inventory; BDI-II- Beck depression inventory-II; CD- chemical dependency; CF- conceptual framework; CI- confidence interval; CM- contingency management; CS- clinical significance; DERS- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; DSM- diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder; DTS- distress tolerance scale; **DV**-dependent variable; **EC**- exclusion criteria; **ES**- effect size; **FE**- feasibility; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **FU**- follow up; GAD- generalized anxiety disorder; HE- health education; HRQOL- health related quality of life; IC- inclusion criteria; IV- independent variable; KIMS-Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; LOE- level of evidence; MBCT- mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MBRP- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; MBRP-W- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; MBSR- mindfulness-based stress reduction; MBTC- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; MBP- mindfulness based parenting; MDD- major depressive disorder; MINI- mini-international neuropsychiatric interview; MRI- magnetic resonance imaging; N- total number of participants; n- subset number of participants; NIAAA- National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; NIDA- National Institute of Drug Abuse; NIH- National Institute of Health; PACS- Penn alcohol craving scale; PHLM- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; POMS-SF- profile of mood states-short form; PSI- parenting stress index-short form; PSS- perceived stress scale-10; PSS-14- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; PT- patient/participant; OOL- quality of life; RAPI- Rutgers alcohol problem index; RCT- randomized controlled trial; RP- relapse prevention; S- strengths; SCS- self-compassion scale; SE- standard error; SF-36- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; SOSI- symptoms of stress inventory; STAI-T- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; SUDsubstance use disorder; SX- symptoms; TAU- treatment as usual; TC- therapeutic community; TX- treatment; W- weaknesses; WBSI- white bear suppression inventory

USA	-Native American	For the brief MBSR group, increases in
	2 (3%)	significant improvement was mindfulness, self-
		noted from the pre (65 ± 11) to compassion,
	TAU group	post (68±11) scores in observational skills,
	-Mean age 21.1	mindfulness of the PHLM self-judgment, and
	-Males 13 (28%)	composite score ($p \le .001$) common humanity.
	-Females 34	Subscale scores for PHLM Brief MBSR may
	(72%)	Acceptance (without judgment) decrease anxiety
	-White 28 (59%)	$(p \le .032)$ was also significant $FE = Brief$
	-Black 5 (12%)	MBSR is easier to
	-Hispanic 4 (8%)	*IV1+IV2-DV2= implement and
	-Native American	Significant increase in SCS follow than
	2 (4%)	composite scores pre (84±17) and traditional MBSR,
		post (89±16) brief MBSR brief MBSR may
		(p≤.001) increase
	IC: Had to be a	Significant improvements noted compliance and
	student enrolled at	in SCS subscales for isolation acceptability for
	Syracuse	$(p \le .045)$, self-judgment $(p \le .044)$, people who are not
	University with an	and common humanity $(p \le .05)$ for as committed or
	elective health	post-program motivated, and brief
	course that was	MBSR would cost
	available between	less to implement
	2010 and 2012,	*IV1+IV2-DV3=
	give informed	No significant decrease in trait
	consent to be in a	anxiety post brief MBSR program
	study regarding	(p=.10)

AA- Alcoholics Anonymous; ANCOVA- analysis of covariance; AP- applicability; ASI- addiction severity index; β - beta coefficient; BAI- Beck anxiety inventory; BDI-II- Beck depression inventory-II; CD- chemical dependency; CF- conceptual framework; CI- confidence interval; CM- contingency management; CS- clinical significance; DERS- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; DSM- diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder; DTS- distress tolerance scale; **DV**-dependent variable; **EC**- exclusion criteria; **ES**- effect size; **FE**- feasibility; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **FU**- follow up; GAD- generalized anxiety disorder; HE- health education; HRQOL- health related quality of life; IC- inclusion criteria; IV- independent variable; KIMS-Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; LOE- level of evidence; MBCT- mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MBRP- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; MBRP-W- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; MBSR- mindfulness-based stress reduction; MBTC- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; MBP- mindfulness based parenting; MDD- major depressive disorder; MINI- mini-international neuropsychiatric interview; MRI- magnetic resonance imaging; N- total number of participants; n- subset number of participants; NIAAA- National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; NIDA- National Institute of Drug Abuse; NIH- National Institute of Health; PACS- Penn alcohol craving scale; PHLM- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; POMS-SF- profile of mood states-short form; PSI- parenting stress index-short form; PSS- perceived stress scale-10; PSS-14- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; PT- patient/participant; OOL- quality of life; RAPI- Rutgers alcohol problem index; RCT- randomized controlled trial; RP- relapse prevention; S- strengths; SCS- self-compassion scale; SE- standard error; SF-36- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; SOSI- symptoms of stress inventory; STAI-T- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; SUDsubstance use disorder; SX- symptoms; TAU- treatment as usual; TC- therapeutic community; TX- treatment; W- weaknesses; WBSI- white bear suppression inventory

psy	chological	Anxiety scores did decrease	
hea	lth	from pre (39.4 ± 9.2) and post	
		(38.7±8.8) brief MBSR	
EC:	: If PT	Anxiety scores slightly	
volu	untarily drops	increased from pre (40.2 ± 8.6) and	
out	or is unable to	post (41.2±8.8) brief MBSR	
atte	end the TX		
sess	sions		

AA- Alcoholics Anonymous; ANCOVA- analysis of covariance; AP- applicability; ASI- addiction severity index; β - beta coefficient; BAI- Beck anxiety inventory; BDI-II- Beck depression inventory-II; CD- chemical dependency; CF- conceptual framework; CI- confidence interval; CM- contingency management; CS- clinical significance; DERS- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; DSM- diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder; DTS- distress tolerance scale; **DV**-dependent variable; **EC**- exclusion criteria; **ES**- effect size; **FE**- feasibility; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **FU**- follow up; GAD- generalized anxiety disorder; HE- health education; HRQOL- health related quality of life; IC- inclusion criteria; IV- independent variable; KIMS-Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; LOE- level of evidence; MBCT- mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MBRP- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; MBRP-W- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; MBSR- mindfulness-based stress reduction; MBTC- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; MBP- mindfulness based parenting; MDD- major depressive disorder; MINI- mini-international neuropsychiatric interview; MRI- magnetic resonance imaging; N- total number of participants; n- subset number of participants; NIAAA- National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; NIDA- National Institute of Drug Abuse; NIH- National Institute of Health; PACS- Penn alcohol craving scale; PHLM- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; POMS-SF- profile of mood states-short form; PSI- parenting stress index-short form; PSS- perceived stress scale-10; PSS-14- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; PT- patient/participant; OOL- quality of life; RAPI- Rutgers alcohol problem index; RCT- randomized controlled trial; RP- relapse prevention; S- strengths; SCS- self-compassion scale; SE- standard error; SF-36- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; SOSI- symptoms of stress inventory; STAI-T- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; SUDsubstance use disorder; SX- symptoms; TAU- treatment as usual; TC- therapeutic community; TX- treatment; W- weaknesses; WBSI- white bear suppression inventory

Appendix E

Synthesis Table

	Studies	Marcus	Hosseinza deh Asl	Amaro	Gotink	Bodenlos	Bowen	Glasner	Witkiewitz	Short	Gawrysiak	Bergen- Cico
	Year	2009	2014	2014	2016	2013	2014	2016	2010	2017	2016	2013
asics	LOE	111	11	IV	1	IV	11	11	11	IV	111	111
	Design	QE	RCT	CS	SR	CrS	RCT	RCT	RCT	CS	QE	QE
B	Length	9 mo	8 wk	3 yr	N/A	1 yr	3 yr	2 yr	1yr	12 wk	7 yr	2yr
	Ν	295	24	318		310	n1 - 103 n2 - 88	63	93	59	255	72
	Mean age	34.3	36.8 tp	33.9		19.7	n1-39.1, n2-38.9	44.6	40.45tp	30	48.9	21.5
aphics	Male/Female (%)	85.8 / 14.2	100 / 0	0 / 100		32 / 68	n1-73.8/ 26.2 n2-63.6/ 36.4	70 / 30	63.7 / 36.3tp	0 / 100	N/A	20 / 80
emog	White race (%)	53.6	0	20.1		85	n1-55.3, n2-48.9	29	53.6 tp	73.2	N/A	65
Π	Other races (%)	46.4	100	79.9		15	n1-44.7, n2-51.1	71	46.4 tp	26.8	N/A	35
	Setting	RES	AC	AC	SR	C	AC	OP	AC / OP	RES	OP	C
	Mindfulness Intervention	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	MBSR		Х								X	
suoi	MBTC	Х										
enti	MBRP						Х	Х	X			
terv	MBRP-W			Х								
In	MBCT				Х							
	MBP									X		
	B-MBSR											X

	Standard relapse prevention						Х					
	General mindfulness				X	X						
	Mental Health Outcomes	Х	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	Stress symptoms	↓!!		\downarrow	\downarrow	↓!!				↓!!	↓!!	
	Parenting stress									↓!!		
	Mental health	Ť	↑!!					↑!!				
	Emotion/mood regulation	↑!!	↑!!	1	↑			↑!!			↑!!	
	Perceived stress			↓!!	\downarrow	↓!!				↓!!	↓!!	
nes	Depression	↓						↓!!	↓!!		↓!!	
tcor	Anxiety	\downarrow						↓!!				↓
Out	Distress tolerance				1						<u>↑!</u> !	
	Self-compassion											↑!!
	Physical/General Health Outcomes	Х	X	X	X							
	Bodily pain	↓!!	↓!!									
	Physical functioning	ſ	↑									
	General health	1	\uparrow									
	Social functioning		↑!!		↑							

↑- clinically significant increase of intervention group; ↓- clinically significant decrease of intervention group; **!!**- statistically significant; **A13**- A13 item competence scale; **AC**- addiction clinic; **ASI**- addiction severity index; **BAI**- Beck anxiety inventory; **BDI-II**- Beck depression inventory-II; **B-MBSR**- brief-mindfulness-based stress reduction; **C**- college; **CrS**- cross-sectional study; **CS**- cohort study; **DERS**- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; **DTS**- distress tolerance scale; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **KIMS**- Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; **LOE**- level of evidence; **MBCT**- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; **MBRP-W**- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; **MBSR**- mindfulness-based stress reduction; **MBTC**- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; **MBP**- mindfulness based parenting; **mo**- months; **N/A**- not available; **N**- sample size; **n**- sample arm; **OP**- outpatient; **PACS**- Penn alcohol craving scale; **PDS**-posttraumatic diagnostic symptom scale; **PHLM**- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; **POMS-SF**- profile of mood states-short form; **PSI**- parenting stress index-short form; **PSS**- perceived stress scale-10; **PSS-14**- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; **QE**- quasi-experimental study; **RAPI**- Rutgers alcohol problem index; **RCT**- randomized controlled trial; **RES**- residential treatment facility; **SCS**- self-compassion scale; **SF-36**- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; **SOSI**- symptoms of stress inventory; **SR**- systematic review; **SS**- sample size; **STAI-T**- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; **TAU**- treatment as usual; **TC**- therapeutic community; **TFB**- timeline follow-back; **tp**- total population; **wk**- weeks; **yr**- years

	Vitality		↑!!									
	PTSD symptoms			\downarrow	\downarrow							
	Mindfulness Competence/Kno wledge/ Skill Outcomes	X		X	X		X	X				X
	Participation/Eng agement/Adheren ce	↑!!					î					
	Competence/Kno wledge of mindfulness			¢	Ŷ		î	↑!!				↑!!
	Addiction Severity Outcomes			X		X	X	X	X			
	Alcohol addiction severity			↓!!		↓!!	↓!!		↓!!			
	Drug addiction severity			↓!!			↓!!	↓!!	↓!!			
	Mental Health Tools	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X
nts	PSS-14			Х		Х				Х	Х	
imei	BDI-II							Х	Х			
sure	BAI							Х				
Iea	STAI-T											Х
N	PSI									Х		
	DTS										X	

↑- clinically significant increase of intervention group; ↓- clinically significant decrease of intervention group; **!!**- statistically significant; **A13**- A13 item competence scale; **AC**- addiction clinic; **ASI**- addiction severity index; **BAI**- Beck anxiety inventory; **BDI-II**- Beck depression inventory-II; **B-MBSR**- brief-mindfulness-based stress reduction; **C**- college; **CrS**- cross-sectional study; **CS**- cohort study; **DERS**- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; **DTS**- distress tolerance scale; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **KIMS**- Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; **LOE**- level of evidence; **MBCT**- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; **MBRP-W**- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; **MBSR**- mindfulness-based stress reduction; **MBTC**- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; **MBP**- mindfulness based parenting; **mo**- months; **N/A**- not available; **N**- sample size; **n**- sample arm; **OP**- outpatient; **PACS**- Penn alcohol craving scale; **PDS**-posttraumatic diagnostic symptom scale; **PHLM**- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; **POMS-SF**- profile of mood states-short form; **PSI**- parenting stress index-short form; **PSS**- perceived stress scale-10; **PSS-14**- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; **QE**- quasi-experimental study; **RAPI**- Rutgers alcohol problem index; **RCT**- randomized controlled trial; **RES**- residential treatment facility; **SCS**- self-compassion scale; **SF-36**- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; **SOSI**- symptoms of stress inventory; **SR**- systematic review; **SS**- sample size; **STAI-T**- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; **TAU**- treatment as usual; **TC**- therapeutic community; **TFB**- timeline follow-back; **tp**- total population; **wk**- weeks; **yr**- years

DERS						Х			
SCS									Х
Mental and Physical Health Tools	X	X	X					X	
SOSI	Х								
SF-36		Х							
POMS-SF								Х	
PDS			Х						
Mindfulness Competence/Kno wledge/ Skill Tools				X	X	X			X
KIMS									Х
PHLM									Х
FFMQ				X		Х			
A13					Х				
Alcohol/Drug Severity Tools			X	X	X		X		
RAPI				X					
TFB					Х		Х		
ASI			X						
PACS							Х		
Own Scale/Index/Tool	X		X						

↑- clinically significant increase of intervention group; ↓- clinically significant decrease of intervention group; **!!**- statistically significant; **A13**- A13 item competence scale; **AC**- addiction clinic; **ASI**- addiction severity index; **BAI**- Beck anxiety inventory; **BDI-II**- Beck depression inventory-II; **B-MBSR**- brief-mindfulness-based stress reduction; **C**- college; **CrS**- cross-sectional study; **CS**- cohort study; **DERS**- difficulty in emotion regulation scale; **DTS**- distress tolerance scale; **FFMQ**- five facet mindfulness questionnaire; **KIMS**- Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills; **LOE**- level of evidence; **MBCT**- mindfulness-based relapse prevention; **MBRP-W**- moment-by-moment in women's recovery: a mindfulness-based approach to relapse prevention; **MBSR**- mindfulness-based stress reduction; **MBTC**- mindfulness-based stress reduction adapted for therapeutic community treatment; **MBP**- mindfulness based parenting; **mo**- months; **N/A**- not available; **N**- sample size; **n**- sample arm; **OP**- outpatient; **PACS**- Penn alcohol craving scale; **PDS**-posttraumatic diagnostic symptom scale; **PHLM**- Philadelphia mindfulness scale; **POMS-SF**- profile of mood states-short form; **PSI**- parenting stress index-short form; **PSS**- perceived stress scale-10; **PSS-14**- Cohen's 14-item perceived stress scale; **QE**- quasi-experimental study; **RAPI**- Rutgers alcohol problem index; **RCT**- randomized controlled trial; **RES**- residential treatment facility; **SCS**- self-compassion scale; **SF-36**- Short form health survey for health related quality of life; **SOSI**- symptoms of stress inventory; **SR**- systematic review; **SS**- sample size; **STAI-T**- Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory-trait form Y-2; **TAU**- treatment as usual; **TC**- therapeutic community; **TFB**- timeline follow-back; **tp**- total population; **wk**- weeks; **yr**- years

Appendix F

Figure 1: The Liverpool Mindfulness Model







