Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: Using a Severity Stratification Tool to Improve Knowledge

Chelsea A. Cason

Arizona State University

### Abstract

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI's) are a significant health concern with serious potential implications. Evidence suggests the importance of implementing a severity stratification tool to improve early identification of SSTI's. The aim of this evidence based project is to examine if educating healthcare staff on the use of a severity stratification tool would increase staff knowledge of SSTI's. The sample consisted of 18 participants, 12 healthcare providers and 6 healthcare staff at a correctional facility in the Southwestern United States. A pre-and posttest design, including an educational session was implemented. A 14-item multiple choice selfdeveloped questionnaire was used to evaluate participants' knowledge of identifying and ranking SSTI's using the CREST tool. A one tail paired *t*-test was performed to compare the pre-and post-test case study scores for the healthcare provider group. A significant increase from pre-test to post-test case study scores was found (t(13) = -6.19, p < 0.00). Of the healthcare providers, 57% found the tool "moderately helpful." Of the non-provider sample, 50% found the tool "extremely helpful" and plan to use the tool "all of the time." The findings of this study suggest that implementing an educational session on a wound severity stratification tool improves staff knowledge and increases the likelihood of the tool being used in practice. Recommendations for future research include larger sample sizes across a variety of regional correctional facilities to further explore the use and knowledge of the tool in practice.

Keywords: skin and soft tissue infections, severity stratification tool, CREST tool

Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: Using a Severity Stratification Tool to Improve Knowledge

The incidence of primary care visits for skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI's) have substantially increased over recent years. This is of greater significance in high-risk patient populations, such as immune compromised patients and inmates. Not only have SSTI's increased, but also the emergence of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) has further complicated this issue. SSTI's have had severe economic and health status consequences that impact healthcare resources, patient safety and quality of care.

### **Problem Statement**

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI's) have presented problems for primary care patients in recent years. SSTI's account for nearly 14 million primary care visits each year in the United States (U.S.), and this statistic has been climbing in the last decade (Ramakrishan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Ray, Suaya & Baxter, 2013). Skin and soft tissue infections originate from a bacterial invasion of the skin, resulting in a variety of skin presentations (Ramakrishan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Lee et al., 2016). These particular presentations can be classified as either simple (involving superficial tissue) or complicated (involving deep tissues) SSTI's. Common simple SSTI's include cellulitis, impetigo, folliculitis, furuncles, carbuncles and abscesses. Complicated SSTI's include necrotizing fasciitis, gangrene and infections of animal or human bites (Ramakrishan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Ray, Suaya & Baxter, 2013).

The emergence of *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus* (MRSA) has further complicated the incidence of skin and soft tissue infections. MRSA is a gram-positive cocci bacterium that presents as a break in the skin, abscess or boil that has become resistant to standard antimicrobial treatment (Lee et al., 2016). In the United States, approximately 80-90% of SSTI's are found to contain the bacterium MRSA (Lee et al., 2016; Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). SSTI's affect all populations, but an increased incidence has been seen in high-risk patients, which includes correctional facility inmates (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). The rise of MRSA in correctional facilities in the U.S. over the last decade has caused great concern not only for inmates and correctional staff, but when individuals are released from prison back into society (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). The number of incarcerated individuals in the United States has increased by 300% since 1980, increasing the risk of acquiring MRSA in correctional facilities, but also in the community upon release (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015).

### **Purpose and Rationale**

Skin and soft tissue infections are a significant health concern with serious potential implications that can affect health status of communities and impact the healthcare system through increased emergency room visits and hospitalizations related to complicated SSTI's (Lee et al., 2016; Ramakrishan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Ray, Suaya & Baxter, 2013). If an SSTI goes untreated or treatment is delayed due to difficult diagnostic accuracy the condition may progress to sepsis, deformity, skin grafts, necrotizing fasciitis, limb amputation or even death (Lee et al., 2016; Ramakrishan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Ray, Suaya & Baxter, 2013). In 2005, nearly 11,406 people died of MRSA infections in the U.S. (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). This is more than the number of people in the U.S. that died of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) in that same year (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015).

SSTI's also pose a significant financial burden. The annual cost of community acquired MRSA is 478 million to 2.2 billion U.S. dollars (Lee et al., 2013). This impacts the U.S. department of corrections (DOC) as 15 to 36 million U.S. dollars are spent annually in the American jail system on costs related to MRSA alone (Lee et al., 2013). By exploring the root

cause of the increased incidence of SSTI's, one can explore potential interventions to decrease the occurrence and severity of SSTI's in the community and correctional setting.

### **Background/Significance**

An emergence of SSTI's, specifically MRSA, has been seen in distinct patient populations that include: athletic teams, students in dormitories, military recruits, the homeless population and the incarcerated (Malcolm, 2011). Overall though, the correctional facility inmate population is at an even higher risk of MRSA then other population groups. Many of the potential risk factors for SSTI's and MRSA often directly correlate with this subgroups personal demographics and residential setting (Malcolm, 2011; Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). Factors such as overcrowded living conditions, outdoor work assignments, self-draining of abscesses, sharing soap, washing clothes by hand and close contact with persons known to have MRSA contribute to the incidence and prevalence of this condition. Additional co-morbid health related issues include obesity, diabetes mellitus and inadequate hygiene (Malcolm, 2011; Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015; Ray, Suaya & Baxter, 2013). In a three-year study of predictors of SSTI's, authors Ray, Suaya and Baxter (2013) determined that of the 376,262 patients studied with SSTI's, 50% had a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus.

Additionally, inmates generally have a higher incidence of past intravenous (IV) drug use, alcohol abuse, prison tattoos, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and tuberculosis, which are also high-risk factors for MRSA (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015; Ramakrishnan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015). Recent research demonstrates a correlation between age, MRSA risk factors, and SSTI (Malcolm, 2011; Ramakrishnan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015). In a study of correctional inmates, authors found that inmates ages 30-49 years were significantly more likely to have MRSA infections than patients less than 29 or patients over the age of 50 (Malcolm, 2011). There is no known cause for this increase in the prevalence of MRSA in ages 30 to 49 years of age (Malcolm, 2011; Ramakrishnan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015).

Despite preventative efforts, the incidence of SSTI's continues to escalate, and treatment failure is widespread (Lee et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2015; Suaya et al., 2014). Potential barriers to restrain this trend include a lack of standardized polices, screening and education. Research demonstrates that a primary barrier is a lack of standardized policies or tools for early diagnosis and treatment of SSTI's (Flanagan, 2014; Marwick, 2011; Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015; Talan et al., 2014). Wound management is often an area of expert opinion versus evidence-based practice, making it difficult to formulate policies and standardized tools (Flanagan, 2014). The signs and symptoms of SSTI's can be masked or vague, making proper diagnosis difficult (Tiwari & Lal, 2014). SSTI's also can be difficult to diagnose related to their considerable variability and severity (Hashem, Hidayat, Berkowitz, & Venugopalan, 2016; Tiwari & Lal, 2014). In 2005, the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) developed guidelines for MRSA infection treatment (Stevens et al, 2014). These guidelines were then updated by IDSA in 2014, but still no other guidelines have been produced for SSTI's in general and the actual implementation of these guidelines in primary care is lacking (Stevens et al., 2014).

Inadequate education, screening and standardized policies have been noted in correctional facilities across the U.S. (Malcolm, 2011; Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). In a study of a correctional facility in Omaha, Nebraska researchers discovered the facility to be deficient in a specific treatment policy and guideline for MRSA SSTI's (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). The authors created and implemented a screening and treatment policy for 132 inmates with MRSA SSTI (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). As a result, by improving the screening and treatment procedure for SSTI's they were able to improve staff education, patient education and patient outcomes (Mullen & O'Keefe, 2015). In another correctional facility in Georgia, after a MRSA outbreak staff implemented a multi-modal intervention and noted similar results (Malcolm, 2011). In this study the authors incorporated interventions to include screening for skin lesions, personalized hygiene, antimicrobial therapy, and standardized wound care (Malcolm, 2011). As a result, the MRSA rate of infection decreased from 11.6 per 10,000 inmates to 0 per 10,000 inmates in the post-study period (Malcolm, 2011).

The management of SSTI's is primarily related to infection severity, location and patient co-morbidities (Ramakrishnan, Salinas & Higuita, 2015; Stevens et al., 2014; Tiwari & Lal, 2014). Despite this being common practice for management and treatment, there is no standardized severity scale for SSTI's (Tiwari & Lal, 2014). Several studies have tested established severity tools for accuracy and reliability. The CREST tool measures severity of illness with SSTI's (Hashem et al., 2016; Marwick, 2011). The CREST tool is based on the Eron classifications (Marwick, 2011). In this study, the authors used the CREST hierarchical scoring system to measure SSTI severity ranging from one to four, with one being least severe SSTI and four being most severe SSTI (Hashem et al., 2016). Through the CREST scoring system, researchers were able to accurately ascertain SSTI severity and determine proper antimicrobial treatment (Hashem et al., 2016). Researcher Marwick (2011) also created his own tool based on the Eron classification and CREST tool. This tool also used a severity scale of one to four, one being least severe SSTI and four being most severe SSTI (Marwick, 2011). The researcher looked at appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment of SSTI's based on the SSTI severity classification (Marwick, 2011). Marwick (2011) discovered there to be a lack of consistency in antimicrobial treatment strategies. Over 43 different antimicrobials were selected in the treatment of SSTI's in this study (Marwick, 2011). In another study, the authors looked at using a severity

stratification algorithm in formulating treatment strategies for SSTI's (Tiwari & Lal, 2014). The authors created this algorithm and it has not yet been tested in any other studies. The algorithm addressed whether the patient has redness, warmth, pain or swelling to wound, signs of sepsis, and size of wound. The authors found this algorithm helpful with proper detection of severity and subsequent treatment for SSTI's (Tiwari & Lal, 2014).

SSTI's and MRSA also present a significant financial burden to patients, third party payers, and health care facilities (Lee et al., 2013; Suaya et al., 2014). In a study of inpatients and outpatients with SSTI's in the United States, the mean annual cost for treatment for a single SSTI case was \$8,865 (Suaya et al., 2014). The pharmacological treatment and medical/nursing care for MRSA is complicated compared to a SSTI caused by another bacterium. This is reflected in the mean cost for treatment for a single case of MRSA-SSTI being estimated at \$40,046 U.S. dollars (Suaya et al., 2014). The financial burden of SSTI's have also been recognized in U.S. correctional systems. In a study of the financial implications of MRSA and SSTI's, the researchers studied a U.S. correctional facility in Pittsburg (Lee et al., 2013). At Cook County correctional facility, the authors determined the annual societal cost of SSTI's to be \$140,275 U.S. dollars, reflecting not only cost to patients and third-party payers but also to society (Lee et al., 2013).

As a whole, the literature identifies risk factors for skin and soft tissue infections that substantiate the high incidence for SSTI's in the correctional system. These risk factors include: overcrowded populations, poor hygiene, IV drug use, alcohol abuse, HIV, Hepatitis B and C, age and co-morbid conditions such as diabetes. The literature also identifies barriers to decreasing the incidence of SSTI's, principally the lack of a standardized tool or policy for SSTI identification, management and treatment. Thus far, studies have shown that implementing a standardized policy, algorithm or severity rating scale have improved patient outcomes and decreased the rate of SSTI's and MRSA.

#### **Internal Evidence**

In a county correctional facility in the Southwestern U.S., the incidence and prevalence of SSTI's in this population has been of concern, especially related to MRSA SSTI early identification and treatment. Because of the high incidence of MRSA SSTI's, the organization's healthcare providers no longer culture wounds, but instead presume MRSA and treat skin and soft tissue infections accordingly. This correctional facility has no standardized policy or algorithm for how nursing staff or medical providers should treat SSTI's. This inquiry has led to the clinically relevant PICOT question: In adults at high risk for skin and soft tissue infections how does a risk stratification severity tool compare to usual wound diagnosis, affect time to healing and hospitalization rates?

### **Search Strategy**

In order to address how a wound severity stratification tool can improve patient outcomes an exhaustive search of the literature was undertaken. Databases searched for the literature review included PubMed, Elton B Stephens Company host (EBSCO host) and Web of science. Keywords searched included: "soft tissue skin infection," "MRSA," "correctional facilities," "community acquired" "primary care" and "management." The following limits were placed: Adult population, English language and date limits 2012 to 2017. Date limits were expanded to 1980 to capture landmark studies. Over 5,000 studies were initially yielded, so then Boolean phrases and MESH terms were utilized. Inclusion criteria for the studies included adult population and diagnosis of SSTI. Exclusion criteria included studies prior to 2012 (except landmark studies) and patients younger than 18 years of age. The MESH terms search method

9

for PubMed yielded 2,402 results (Appendix A). Using MESH term "skin and soft tissue infections" and title/abstract keyword "primary care", the search was further narrowed and four of the articles yielded were pertinent to this project. The search was then narrowed to MESH term "skin and soft tissue infections" and title/abstract keyword "correctional facilities," which yielded five articles. Using hand search, other references to other articles were found. These studies contained "MRSA" in their title. So a search was done for MESH term "MRSA" and title/abstract keyword "correctional facilities" yielding three results pertinent to the topic of interest.

The Boolean phrase term search for SSTI on EBSO host yielded 4,628 studies (Appendix B). By using connected Boolean phrases results yielded were narrowed down to 727 when combined terms of "MRSA" and "community acquired" were used. At this time, the search was still yielding a large quantity of unrelated studies. Boolean phrases were then used for "MRSA" and "management", which resulted in 288 studies. Then "MRSA" and "correctional facility" was searched which resulted in 14 studies. Two selected studies were repeated in above search of PubMed, but the search also yielded one new study pertinent to topic. This study was a landmark study and outside of 5-year date inclusion criteria. Web of science search for key word "soft tissue skin infection" yielded 731 results (Appendix C). These results were then narrowed adding "management" to current search, which resulted in 54 studies. Of these 54 studies three met inclusion criteria for this topic. Roughly 20 articles were initially reviewed for inclusion, but 10 were ruled out due to poor documentation, inconclusive evidence, weak descriptive or statistical data, misleading conclusions or impertinent data or results. The ten studies that were chosen for inclusion met the criteria and were relevant to the stated PICO question. Each study was

reviewed and the data was extracted and organized in an evidence table for examination (Appendix D).

### **Evidence Synthesis**

Ten studies have been chosen for inclusion in this literature review. All studies were evaluated using rapid critical appraisal and were placed in evaluation and synthesis tables (Appendix D, Appendix E). Overall, the strength of the studies were moderate, encompassing six level II evidence and four with level III evidence, in which three were retrospective cohort studies, one observational cross-sectional study, and five prospective cohort studies (Appendix D). The stated PICOT question was better answered by retrospective and prospective studies, resulting in lower levels of evidence. However the ten included studies were substantiated by statistically significant results (Appendix D). None of the studies stated theoretical frameworks or models, but they were implied. A large majority of these implied models, focused on prevention models to include: Levels of prevention model and Millio's prevention framework. All articles required a diagnosis of SSTI's. Very few of the studies listed specificities or sensitivities for their measuring instruments, but the majority of the studies used some form of a risk stratification severity ranking system adopted for SSTI's (Appendix D). This is suspected due to no formally accepted severity scale of SSTI's yet. Reliability and validity of these tools was identified in six of the articles, showing statistical significance in using risk scales to reduce poor patient outcomes (Appendix E). Possible bias was noted in three of the studies, all three of which were funded by Pharmaceutical companies, but when researching these companies further none of the antimicrobial treatment options utilized in these studies appeared to be their products (Appendix D). Seven of the studies took place in hospital settings and the remaining three studies took place in community settings. The selection of hospital settings appears to be related to

current research focusing on causation and risk factors of SSTI's in hospital admissions. Additionally, five of the studies took place in Europe and five took place in the U.S. Since the CREST tool was created in Scotland, it is more highly utilized in Europe currently. Homogeneity was present in demographic data. A large proportion of the studies saw an association between increased age and comorbidities, resulting in poor patient outcomes. Patient outcomes were measured across the studies as increased time to healing, death, re-occurrence of SSTI or rehospitalization (Appendix E). Several studies incorporated the CREST scoring or the authors own adaptation of it. Overall the studies found the variables lesion size, fever and duration of skin infection to be the most significant in determining the severity of the infection when using the tool (Appendix D). Heterogeneity of the studies was noted especially in the selection of a severity stratification tool. The studies used a variety of severity stratification tools. Some of the studies used established scoring tools to include CREST, SEWS and Ki/Rothstein, while others developed modified severity scoring tools or risk stratifications (Appendix E). Across the studies though, all of the tools were shown to be statistically significant in improving utilization of appropriate antimicrobial treatment measures and to improve patient outcomes.

Current practice in the identification and management of SSTI's is inconsistent with evidence based practice guidelines. Evidence suggests the importance of implementing a severity stratification tool to improve early identification of SSTI's, which in turn can improve long-term patient outcomes. The research does not show evidence of one severity tool being highly accurate over another, but the research collectively shows any severity tool utilized in SSTI identification and treatment, results in improved patient outcomes. Although, the CREST tool was the most frequently used tool and had more robust research compared to the other severity stratification tools. A severity stratification tool is a quick, easy and low-cost item to prevent hospitalizations, surgical interventions and mortality related to SSTI's. The proposed evidence based project includes educating healthcare providers and nurses, in a Southwestern correctional facility on the use of the CREST tool in an effort to increase staff knowledge of proper identification and treatment of SSTI's, and for healthcare staff to have an increased rate of use of this tool in their practice.

### **Conceptual Framework and EPB Model**

Implementation of an SSTI severity stratification tool focuses on early detection to prevent worsening of SSTI disease state. *The Models of Prevention theory* suits this project design (Nilsen, 2015). This model emphasizes the idea that health is on a continuum, with health at one end and advanced disease on the other. The model uses three levels of application of preventative measures that can be used to promote health and stop the disease at different points along the continuum. This continuum has four levels: primordial, primary, secondary and tertiary (Nilsen, 2015). Secondary and tertiary levels would be appropriate for the severity stratification tool for SSTI's, given the SSTI is already established and the goal is early identification and treatment, to prevent increased severity of disease.

The evidence based model (EBP) *Advancing Research and Clinical Practice through Close Collaboration* (ARCC) facilitated the proposed practice change. This model resembles an organizational plan for a department (Appendix F). The model first focuses on assessing the organizational culture and the readiness for system wide implementation, then on identifying organizational barriers and strengths to EBP implementation plan and follow through with actually implementing the EBP intervention (Schaffer, M.A., Sandau, K.E. & Diedrick, L., 2012). This is an appropriate model for a large organization. The organization where the project was implemented is resistant to change. Using ARCC one could first evaluate specific barriers staff may have with implementation of this project. One of the nurse practitioners at this facility was used as an EBP mentor. Throughout this project, the EBP mentor was utilized in this capacity, which is consistent with this model.

### **Project Methods**

Evidence based project approval was obtained through Arizona State University Investigation Review Board (IRB) and through facility medical director approval. Participants were recruited using flyers, email invitations and word of mouth. Inclusion criteria required the participants to be 18 years or older, the ability to read and write the English language and a current employee of the identified correctional facility. A consent letter was provided to the participants prior to the intervention that included information on the project participation risks and benefits. The potential benefits of this project included: potential increase in knowledge related to skin and soft tissue infections and a potential increase in self-confidence to assess, diagnose and treat skin and soft tissue infections. The only potential risk for participants identified was time away from other work duties in order to complete the educational session, which could potentially increase work burden. Participants were also aware that they could with draw from the project at any time, as it was stated in the consent letter. Additionally, by participants completing the educational session it was considered implied consent to participate in the project. In order to protect the project participants' identity, participants selected a unique number of their choosing and were instructed to write this number on the top of their pre-test and post-test. These unique identifiers functioned to maintain the participants' privacy, while still allowing for pre-and post-test data to be compared.

The project setting is an outpatient clinic in a correctional facility in the Southwestern U.S. that houses over 2,440 inmates. This facility also houses a 60-bed medical infirmary and a

primary care clinic. The outpatient clinic includes over 75 nurses and over 20 healthcare providers. This is a site with several barriers to change. Because of inmates being a high-risk population, changes to policies and procedures have to go through a stringent and laborious process before being implemented in this setting. Also, initially staff and key stakeholders were not open to the idea of change. To these staff members, a practice change was viewed as a burden to practice. Because of the many hurdles involved with invoking change at this site, resistance to change was expected and occurred initially.

The final sample size consisted of 18 participants, 12 healthcare providers in the provider group and 6 healthcare staff members in the non-provider healthcare group. The intervention itself included a pre-and posttest design and an educational session. The interventional sessions took place at two separate events in October 2017, one for the healthcare providers at their monthly provider meeting and one for the nurses and other healthcare members at their monthly educational meeting. At these two meetings, participants were initially provided with the consent letter. After reading over the consent letter, participants were given a self-created pre-and posttest and a severity stratification tool algorithm. The pre-and post-test questionnaires were reviewed by faculty for content and validity. The algorithm itself is a self-created tool that incorporates the CREST tool into a helpful and easy to use algorithm for healthcare members to use for easy identification, assessment and treatment of SSTI's. This algorithm was determined to be a reliable and accurate tool after review by several experts for accuracy. The participants were directed to put a unique identifier number at the top of the pre-and post-test and to then place the post-test to the side. Prior to the educational session, participants were given fifteen minutes to complete the pre-test of knowledge. And after the educational session was complete, participants were given fifteen minutes to complete the posttest of knowledge. Both the pre-test

and post-test involved questions related to identifying SSTI's and case study questions. The questions were the same on the pre-and post-test, except for three questions on the post-test involving if the participant felt the tool was helpful and whether they would use it in practice. Additionally, the demographic questions were only on the pre-test, not on the post-test. The questions for the provider group versus the non-provider group slightly differed. The non-provider group case study questions only included questions to identify and assess SSTI's using the CREST tool, whereas the health care provider case study questions included diagnosing and treating SSTI's using the CREST tool. Immediately following the pre-test, a thirty-minute educational session was presented to the participants by the project leader using power point slides. This power point presentation included information on the background and significance of the issue, information on the CREST tool for assessing, diagnosing and treating SSTI's. Participants were also supplied with a copy of the CREST tool algorithm and time was allotted for questions or clarifications. Immediately following the educational session, a fifteen-minute post-test was administered.

Since there is currently no instrument specifically focused on improvements in knowledge of SSTI's, a self-developed questionnaire was used. The developed questionnaire is a 14-item scale, with multiple choice questions. Unanswered questions were treated as incorrect answers, and were recorded accordingly. This scale was developed to measure healthcare staff's knowledge of severity rankings of SSTI's using specifically the CREST tool. The measurement includes questions on descriptions of SSTI's, proper ranking of SSTI's, participants' comfort level with managing patients with SSTI's and whether participants plan to use the CREST tool in practice. Reliability and validity on this instrument was determined by multiple revisions and improvements through feedback from several research and data experts.

At the conclusion of the intervention, all pre-and post-test sheets were collected and were double checked to ensure the pre-and posttest for each participant had their unique identifying number at the top of the tests. These completed pre-and post-tests were then scanned to a password protected computer with no participant identifiers on them. Data was manually entered into the statistical software SPSS. Questionnaires were broken down into several categories based on the multiple-choice questions. Gender, education, license, age was recorded based on a Likert scale. The questions on wound characteristics for non-providers and providers were broken down into a pre-and post-test category. One point was awarded for each correct question for these sections, and these questions were totaled to offer a score. Similarly, for pre-and posttest case study scores for non-providers versus providers, one point was awarded for each correct question and these questions were totaled to offer a score. A Likert scale was used for three questions related to usefulness of the tool in practice and actual implementation of the tool in practice. This was completed and rechecked by the project lead several times for correct data entry and accuracy. It was then further verified for accuracy by the co-investigator and the statistical consultant. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were completed to include a one pair tailed t- test comparing pre-and post-test case study scores for healthcare provider group and the non-healthcare provider group.

### **Outcomes/Project Results**

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sample and outcome variables. The age of the subjects ranged from 25 to 74 years. The sample (n= 18) consisted of 12 (67%) females and 6 (33%) males. The majority (89%) of the sample had an educational degree of a Master's degree or higher. The sample size was made up of 12 healthcare providers and 6 individuals that were not providers. The healthcare provider sample (n= 12) was made up of 2 (11%) nurse

practitioners, 6 (33%) physician assistants and 4 (22%) physicians. There was no statistical significance in the descriptive statistics except for a significant increase in healthcare provider pre-test to post-test case study scores. A one tail paired *t*-test was performed to compare the preand post-test case study scores. The mean of the pre-test case study scores was 3.57 (SD= 1.60), and the mean of the post-test case study scores was 6.21 (SD= 1.97). A significant increase from pre-test to post-test case study scores was found (t(13) = -6.19, p < 0.00). Of the healthcare providers, over half (57%) found the tool "moderately helpful" and 61% of them plan to incorporate the tool in practice at least "some of the time." The non-provider sample (n=6) was made up of 1 Licensed Practical Nurse, 1 Clinical Nurse Specialist and 4 unknown. The nonprovider sample was too small to run any inferential statistics. Of the non-providers, half (50%) found the tool "extremely helpful" and plan to use the tool "all of the time." The data suggests a statistical significance in improvements in post test case study scores for healthcare providers, showing an increase in knowledge from pre-intervention to post intervention. The data also suggests that the majority of healthcare providers and nurses planned to use the tool in practice, 75% of the non-providers and 85% of the healthcare providers reported that they plan to use this tool in practice.

### Discussion

Through this study it was determined that by educating and implementing a severity stratification tool, staff knowledge improves and staff have a plan for an increased use of the tool in practice. At the provider and nurse level the impact of the project was seen through the data that reflects improvements of case study scores and willingness to use the tool in practice. This translated to the participants actually planning to implement the CREST tool into their own practice. At a system level, after completion of the project, administration is considering making

the CREST tool educational PowerPoint and algorithm accessible and mandatory to all providers. Since changing policy in this public-sector organization is difficult, no plans are in place at this time to make the CREST tool algorithm and its use a part of policy, but may at least be making the education portion mandatory. Having the education portion mandatory for providers could be a stepping stone to making the algorithm available as a procedural tool as well. The project intervention is planned to be sustained by a second-year Doctor of Nursing Practice student. This student plans to continue the project with her focus on implementing the CREST tool and algorithm facility wide. Through implementing this tool facility wide in practice, she plans to look at specific patient outcomes and financial spending. This is expected to be implemented by next fall. The financial implications of this study were very minimal. The only cost was minimal and was covered by the project leader as costs for snacks at the educational session. As for longevity of this project the only cost would be paying employees to undergo the training session, which could easily be completed in 30 minutes to an hour. Implementing education on a severity stratification tool is a low cost and efficient intervention to improve staff knowledge of SSTI's.

This study had several limitations. By sharing in these experiences hopefully these limitations will be avoided as the project is sustained and carried forward. One of the limitations of this study is the small sample size (n= 18) and non-provider sample size (6). Only inferential statistics could be conducted on the provider sample, not on the non-provider sample group, since the non-provider sample was too small to run any inferential statistics. With a larger sample size, inferential statistics in the non-provider group could be included. This leaves much room for additional studies with larger sample sizes to further explore the use of the tool and knowledge of the tool in practice. Another limitation to the study was that the participants were

asked their job title as a multiple-choice question. Several participants left this section blank. It was discovered later that several x-ray technicians and physical therapists attended the provider meeting. This finding could have potentially skewed the data results. The strengths of the study were the larger sample size of the healthcare providers, which allowed for inferential statistics to be completed, determining that the health care provider group had a statistically significant increase in knowledge from pre-intervention to post intervention. Another strength of the study was the attrition rate, no participants exited the study early and all participants who completed a pretest also completed a post test, improving the consistency of the data that was obtained.

### Conclusion

Through this evidence based project it was determined that by implementing an educational session on a severity stratification tool for SSTI's, healthcare providers had an improvement in knowledge related to diagnosing and treating SSTI's using the CREST tool. Not only was staff knowledge improved, but the majority of participants found this tool to be helpful and had plans to incorporate it into their daily practice. Hopefully now this project can be moved one step further to determine if it is actually being used by healthcare staff in the field. By arming healthcare staff with the knowledge of the CREST tool, there is an opportunity to diagnoses and treat SSTI's early on to promote improved communication amongst health care staff, improved assessment coordination and ultimately improved patient outcomes for this high risk and vulnerable population.

### References

- Figtree, M., Konecny, P., Jennings, Z., Goh, C., Krilis, S. A., & Miyakis, S. (2012). Risk stratification and outcome of cellulitis admitted to hospital. *Journal of Infection*, 60(6), 431-439.
- Flanagan, M. (2014). Barriers to the implementation of best practice in wound care. *Wounds*, *15*(1), 74-82.
- Hashem, N. G., Hidayat, L., Berkowitz, L., & Venugopalan, V. (2016). Management of skin and soft-tissue infections at a community teaching hospital using a severity-of-illness tool. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 71(11), 3268-3275.
- Jenkins, T. C., Knepper, B. C., Sabel, A. L., Sarcone, E. E., Long, J. A., Haukoos, J. S., ... & Mehler, P. S. (2011). Decreased antibiotic utilization after implementation of a guideline for inpatient cellulitis and cutaneous abscess. *Archives of internal medicine*, 171(12), 1072-1079.
- Lane, S., Johnston, K., Sulham, K. A., Syed, I., Pollack, C. V., Holland, T., & Nathwani, D. (2016). Identification of patient characteristics influencing setting of care decisions for patients with acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections: results of a discrete choice experiment. *Clinical therapeutics*, 38(3), 531-544.
- Lee, B. Y., Singh, A., David, M. Z., Bartsch, S. M., Slayton, R. B., Huang, S. S.,...Daum, R. R. (2013). The economic burden of community- associated methicillin- resistant
  Staphylococcus aureus (CA- MRSA). *Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 19*(6), 528-536.
- Lee, G.C., Hall, R. G., Boyd, N. K., Dallas, S. D., Du, L. S., Trevino, L. B.,...Trevino, S. B. (2016). A prospective observational cohort study in primary care practices to identify

factors associated with treatment failure in Staphylococcus aureus skin and soft tissue infections. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and antimicrobial*, *15*(58), 1-7.

- Malcolm, B. (2011). The Rise of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in U.S.
   Correctional Populations. Journal of Correctional Health Care: The Official Journal of the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, 17(3), 254–265.
   doi.org/10.1177/1078345811401363
- Marwick, C., Broomhall, J., McCowan, C., Phillips, G., Gonzalez-McQuire, S., Akhras, K., ... & Davey, P. (2011). Severity assessment of skin and soft tissue infections: cohort study of management and outcomes for hospitalized patients. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 66(2), 387-397.
- Marwick, C., Rae, N., Irvine, N., Davey, P., Marwick, C., Rae, N., ... Davey, P. (2011).
  Prospective study of severity assessment and management of acute medical admissions with skin and soft tissue infection. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (JAC)*, 67(4), 1016-1019. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr554
- Miller, L.G., Eisenberg, D.F., Liu, H., Chang, C., Wang, Y., Luthra, R., ... Suaya, J.A. (2015).
  Incidence of skin and soft tissue infections in ambulatory and inpatient settings 2005-2010. *Bio Med Central Infectious Disease*, 15, 362.
- Mullen, L. A. & O'Keefe, C. (2015). Management of skin and soft tissue infections in a county Correctional facility: A quality improvement project. *Journal of Correctional Health Care*, 21(4), 335-364.
- Nilsen, P. (2015). Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks. *Implementation Science*, *10*(1), 53.

Ramakrishan, K., Salinas, R.C. & Higuita, N.I. (2015). Skin and soft tissue infections. American

Family Physician, 92(6), 474-483.

- Ray, G.T., Suaya, J.T. & Baxter, R. (2013). Incidence, microbiology, and patient characteristics of skin and soft-tissue infections in a US population: a retrospective population-based study. *BMC infectious diseases*, 13(1), 252.
- Schaffer, M. A., Sandau, K. E., & Diedrick, L. (2012). Evidence-based practice models for organizational change: overview and practical applications. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 69(5), 1197-1209.
- Stevens, D. L., Bisno, A. L., Chambers, H. F., Dellinger, E. P., Goldstein, J. C., Gorbach, S. L.,
  ... Wade, J.C. (2014). Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 59, e10-e52.
- Suaya, J.A., Mera, R.M., Cassidy, A., O'Hara, P., Amrine-Madsen, H., Burstin, S. & Miller,
   L.G. (2014). Incidence and cost of hospitalizations associated with staphylococcus aureus
   skin and soft tissue infections in the United States from 2001 through 2009. *Bio Med Central Infectious Disease, 14,* 296.
- Talan, D. A., Salhi, B. A., Moran, G. J., Mower, W. R., Hsieh, Y., Krishnadasan, A. & Rothman,
  R. E. (2014). Factors associated with decision to hospitalize emergency department
  patients with skin and soft tissue infections. *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 1, 89-97.
- Tiwari, A.K. & Lal, R. (2014). Study to evaluate the role of severity stratification of skin and soft tissue infections in formulating treatment strategies and predicting poor prognostic factors. *International Journal of Surgery*, 12, 125-133.

Wilson, S. E., Solomkin, J. S., Le, V., Cammarata, S. K., & Bruss, J. B. (2011). A severity score

for complicated skin and soft tissue infections derived from phase III studies of

linezolid. The American journal of surgery, 185(4), 369-375.

# Appendix A

# Search Strategy 1

PubMed

bMod Homo	rces ⊻ F		Holp		2	sign i
Med Advan	nced Se	earch Builder	теµ		You Tube Tub	torial
U	Jse the t	ouilder below to	create your search			
E	dit				Clear	
В	luilder					
G		All Fields		Show index list	<u>st</u>	
					-	
н	Search	or <u>Add to histo</u>		Download history C	Clear history	
Hi	Search listory Search	or <u>Add to histo</u> Add to builder	Query	Download history C	Clear history Time	
HI	Search listory Search #5	or <u>Add to histo</u> Add to builder <u>Add</u>	Query Search (MRSA[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract]	Download history C Items found 7	Clear history Time 22:07:20	
Hit	Search listory Search #5 #4	or <u>Add to histo</u> Add to builder <u>Add</u> <u>Add</u>	Query Search (MRSA[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract]	Download history C Items found 7 5	Clear history Time 22:07:20 22:06:18	
H	Search listory Search #5 #4 #3	or Add to histo Add to builder Add Add Add	Query Search (MRSA[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND primary care[Title/Abstract]	Download history C Items found 7 5 25	Zlear history           Time           22:07:20           22:06:18           22:05:34	
H	Search listory Search #5 #4 #3 #2	or Add to histo Add to builder Add Add Add Add	Query Search (MRSA[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND correctional facilities[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND primary care[Title/Abstract] Search (soft tissue skin infections[MeSH Terms]) AND management[Title/Abstract]	Download history C Items found 7 5 25 298	Ziear history           Time           22:07:20           22:06:18           22:05:34           22:04:47	

# Search Strategy 2

# EBSO host

	Searching: CINAHL Plus with Full Text Choose I Suggest Subject Terms	Databases				Arizona State University
<b>EBSCO</b> host		Select a Field (option	Search	Clear	?	
	AND -	Select a Field (option				
	AND -	Select a Field (option	+-			
	Basic Search Advanced Search Search History	-				
Search Histo	ry/Alerts					
Print Search Histo	ry   Retrieve Searches   Retrieve Alerts   Save Sea	arches / Alerts				

Search ID#	Search Terms	Search Options	Actions				
S4	MRSA AND correctional facilities	Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (14) 👔 View Details 🌌 Edit				
S3	MRSA AND management	Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (288) 👔 View Details 🧭 Edit				
S2	MRSA AND community acquired	Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (727) 👔 View Details 🧭 Edit				
S1	MRSA	Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	Q View Results (4,628) 👔 View Details 🧭 Edit				

# Search Strategy 3

## Web of Science

W	EB C	F SCIENCE <sup>™</sup>		👏 тномзом	N REUTERS"
Searc	:h		My Tools 🔻	Search History	Marked List
Sear	ch Histo	y: Web of Science <sup>™</sup> Core Collection ⊻			
Set	Results	Save History / Create Alert Open Saved History	Edit Sets	Combine Sets AND OR Combine	Delete Sets Select All X Delete
#3	7	TITLE: (soft tissue skin infections*) AND TITLE: (Primary Care*) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	Edit		
#2	54	TITLE: (soft tissue skin infections*) AND TITLE: (Management*) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	Edit		
# 1	731	TITLE: (soft tissue skin infections*) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=All years	Edit		
				OR Combine	Select All X Delete

### Appendix D

### **Evaluation Table**

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Figtree, M.	Millio's	Design:	N= 395	IV1- Age>60	Predictive	Pearson's	IV1-	LOE: Level III
(2012). Risk	framework	Retrospective			risk tool	chi square,	P=<0.001*	
stratification	for	cohort study	n= 1	IV2- Impaired	model	Fischer's		W: Study design,
and outcome of	prevention	<b>D T</b>		mobility	created by	exact test,	Iv2-	selection bias,
cellulitis		Purpose: To	SS = 1650  bed		authors	One way	P=<0.001*	associations
admitted to		identify risk	l ertiary referral	$1V_{3-} > C_{0-}$		analysis of	11/2	detected are not
nospital.		factors associated	nospital in Sydney Australia	morbidities		variance,	$1 \vee 3 =$ <b>D</b> = 0.004*	necessarily
Funding		with mortality and	Syulley, Australia	IVA Albumin < 30		analysis	r = 0.004	
None		of community	M age- 70.6	1V4- Albumin <30		logistic	IV/4-	residual
None		acquired cellulitis	101  age = 70.0	IV5 = symptoms > 4		regression 2	P = < 0.001 *	confounding
Conflict/Bias:		requiring hospital	F= 57%	davs		tailed tests	1 - <0.001	may have
None		admission				of	IV5=	occurred, study
			IC=	IV6= Antibiotics		significance	P<0.001*	pertains to
			Hospitalization	delayed >8 hours		P=<0.05		cellulitis SSTI's
Country:			between January	-			IV6=	only.
Sydney			1999 and				P<0.001*	
			December 2006,	DV1=Hospital stay				S: Stringent
			diagnosis code for	>7days			DV1=36.1%	inclusion and
			cellulitis					exclusion
							Findings: Pt	criteria, practical

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, SS: S

			EC= Myositis, necrotizing fasciitis, diabetic foot infections, <16 years of age				with admission risk factor <4 at a low risk of adverse outcomes, Risk increased with score. Multivariate factors independently associated with hospital stay > 7days included age >60, symptom duration> 4days, Bacteremia.	clinical endpoints, largest study of in-hospital mortality APP: Appropriate risk stratification can prioritize patients for timely antibiotic administration. This study can help provider to know using risk stratification whether patient needs to be admitted or can remain in
Citation	Theory/	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Maior Variables &	Measureme	Data	Findings/	community.
	Conceptual Framework	2001gill include	Sample, Setung	Definitions	nt/ Instrument ation	Analysis (stats used)	Results	Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, SS: S

Hashem, N.G.	Levels of	Design:	N= 200	IV1- Severity of	IV1-	ANOVA,	IV1-	LOE: Level III
(2016).	prevention	Retrospective		SSTI	CREST	Fischer's	Statistically	
Management of	model	Cohort study	n= 1		scoring	Exact test	significant	W: study design,
skin and soft				IV2- Early	_		-	patient
tissue infections		Purpose: To	SS= one 416 bed	recognition of SSTI	IV2-	P<0.05	IV2-	classifications
at a community		retrospectively	community		SEWS		Statistically	were not
teaching		apply CREST to a	teaching hospital	DV1- Over-treatment	Scoring		significant	assessed by an
hospital using a		Cohort of	in Brooklyn, NY		(Class I			independent
severity of		hospitalized		DV2-Under-	least		DV1-	viewer; CREST
illness tool.		patients with	M age= $54$	treatment	severe-		Overtreatment	is not applicable
		SSTI's and to			class 4		most common	to MRSA
Funding: None		assess the	M= 53%	DV3- Complete Final	most		in least severe	patients.
		relationship	IC= Primary	response	severe)		classes	
Conflict/Bias:		between disease	discharge				P= <0.05*	S: Easy
None		severity and	diagnosis SSTI,	DV4- Clinical	DV1,			application of
		appropriateness of	admitted from	Stability	DV2,-		DV2- 50% class	CREST tool to
Country: USA		antimicrobial	January to		Measured		4 undertreated	clinical practice,
		management.	December 2011,		based on			can use in
			>18 years of age,		antimicrobi			hospital setting
					al route and		DV3-83% of	or outpatient
			EC= Admitted		spectrum of		classes 1	setting, low cost
			with cellulitis		activity		through 3	
			associated with		based on		achieved	APP: By using
			DM, <18 years of		suspected		complete	severity scoring
			age.		pathogens		response.	for SSTI's
					in each		DVA MAL	providers can
					severity		DV4- Median	ensure adequate
					class.		time 5 days in	treatment for
							classes 1 - 3	5511.
					-1043, 1044			

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI': skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS

					measured as number			
					of days			
Citation	Theory/	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables &	Measureme	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality of
	Conceptual			Definitions	nt/	Analysis	Results	Evidence;
	Framework				Instrument	(stats used)		Decision for
					ation			practice/
								application to
								practice
Jenkins, T.C.	Millio's	Design:	N= 344	IV= Without	SAS Data	Pearson X,	IV=	LOE: Level III
(2011).	framework	Retrospective Pre-		standardized	analysis	Fischer	Statistically	
Decreased	for	intervention and	n=	treatment plan		exact,	significant	W: Reviewer
antibiotic	prevention,	post-intervention	CO n= 169		DV1=	Wilcoxon		bias due to study
utilization after	Levels of	study	I n= 175	IV2=With	Number of	rank sum	IV2=Statisticall	design, Subject
implementation	prevention			standardized	calendar		y Significant	to period effect,
of a guideline	model		Median age:	treatment plan	days Pt	P<0.5		study preformed
for inpatient		Purpose: To	CO=46		received		DV1=	at a single
cellulitis and		explore whether	I=47	DV1 = Duration of	treatment		Decreased by 3	institution,
cutaneous		implementing an		therapy			days in I group	preformed on
abscess.		institutional	M:		DV2=Sum		P<0.001*	only a subset of
<b>F</b> 1:		guideline to	CO= 75%	DV2=Days	of calendar		DUA	patients with
Funding:		standardize and	l = 66%	antibiotic therapy	days of		DV2=	SSTI's
Department of		streamline the		administered	each		P=Decreased in	a <b>t</b>
patient safety		evaluation and	SS= Denver		antibiotic		I group	S: Intervention is
and quality,		treatment of	health integrated	DV3 = Clinical failure	administere		P<0.001*	broadly
Denver Health		inpatient cellulitis	system (includes	(Treatment failure,	d			applicable, uses

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, GPT: Outpatient treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SIT's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS: Vital signs abno

medical center	and abscess would	hospital, ED,	recurrence of re-		DV3=	only a minimal
	decrease antibiotic	urgent care, sub-	hospitalization)	DV3=	CO- 7.7%	amount of
Conflict/Bias:	and health care	specialties,		Number of	I- 7.4%	financial
None	resource	primary care,	DV4= Use of serum	patients	P=0.93	resources
	utilization.	public health)	ESR, CRP	with		
Country: USA				treatment		APP:
		IC= Principal	DV5=	failure,	DV4=	Implementation
		discharge	Microbiological	reoccurrenc	P= 0.006*	of a clinical
		diagnosis of	cultures	e re-		practice
		cellulitis or		hospitalizat	DV5=	guideline for
		cutaneous abscess	DV6= Imaging	ion in a 30	Decreased with	cellulitis and
		from January 1 <sup>st</sup>		day period	I group	cutaneous
		2007 to December	DV7= Length of		P=0.003*	abscesses results
		31 <sup>st</sup> 2007 or July	hospital stay	DV4=		in shorter
		9 <sup>th</sup> 2009 to July 8 <sup>th</sup>		Number of	DV6=	durations of
		2010, >19 years		ESR, CRP	Decreased with	more targeted
		of age		use	I group	antibiotic
					P= 0.2*	therapy, with a
		EC = <19 years of		DV5=		decrease in use
		age, transferred		Number	DV7=	of healthcare
		from another		patient	P=0.43	resources
		hospital, leaving		cases that		without
		against medical		used		negatively
		advice, chronic		cultures		effecting pt.
		ulcer, peripheral				outcomes.
		arterial disease,		DV6=		
		animal/human		number of		
		bite, necrotizing		cases		
		fasciitis, or		imaging		
		hospital		was used		

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, VS: Vi

			admission, Nursing home or surgery within last 90 days. Stay in ICU		DV7= Sum of calendar days of hospital stay			
Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Lane, S. (2016).	Multifactorial	Design:	N= 400	IV1- Severity of	IV1- Mild,	Multinomial	IV1- Severe	LOE: Level II
Identification of	Causation	Observational		ABSSSI	moderate,	Model	ABSSSI	w a ·
patient	Theory	Cross-sectional	M age=		severe	<b>27</b> 0 <b>7</b> 0	resulted in	W: Convenience
characteristics		study	USA- 45	IV2- Comorbidities	depending	CI: 95%	decreased	sample and may
influencing			UK- 42		on presence		percentage of	not represent
setting of care		Purpose: To		IV3- Age	of fever,	Mixed	outpatient	general
decisions for		understand the	M=		abnormal	effects	treatment CI	population,
patients with		patient attributes	USA- 64.5%	IV4- Lack of	VS, SIRS	Model	0.02 - 0.07	nature of sample
acute bacterial		that affect clinical	UK- 70%	adherence	criteria,			could have
skin and skin		decision-making			Sepsis or		Mild ABSSSI	introduced
structure		regarding the	SS=USA, United	DV1 = Decision to	organ		resulted in	selection bias,
infections:		setting of care for	Kingdom	treat as inpatient or	failure		increased	differing
Results of a		ABSSSI	providers (50	outpatient			probability of	definitions of
discrete choice		treatment.	emergency room		IV2-		outpatient	mild, moderate
experiment.			specialists, 50		classified		treatment CI	and severe
		Method: 3 part	infectious disease		as minor or		0.94 to 0.99	ABSSSI's exists
Funding: The		Online Survey	specialists, 50		major			which could

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, GPT: Outpatient treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SIT's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS: Vital signs abno

Medicines	hospitalists, 50		IV2- Severe co-	affect
Company	nurse	IV4-	morbidities	interpretation of
	practitioners)	Identified	outpatient	results
Conflicts/Bias:		an non-	treatment	
The author is an	IC= Medical	adherent if	probability	S: Eliciting
employee of the	Providers	antimicrobi	<50%	treatment
Medicines	experienced with	al doses		preferences from
Company,	treating	missed or	IV3- <75 years	a variety of
which is	ABSSSI's, >18	missed	of age	medical
company in the	years of age, must	appointmen	probability	providers,
biopharmaceuti	live in USA or	t	range of	providers
cal industry	United Kingdom		treating as	recruited from a
			outpatient	variety of
Country:	EC= other		decreased to	practice types,
United	professions beside		0.28 - 0.61.	large SS,
Kingdom	medical provider,			experimental
	Lives outside of		IV4- Not	design
	USA or United		significant	demonstrated
	Kingdom			orthogonality
				and level
			Findings:	balance.
			Severe	
			comorbidities	APP: Identifying
			and age $>75$	key factors that
			years of age	affect ABSSSI's
			were important	treatment plan.
			indicators of	Highlights
			inpatient	importance of
			treatment	severity
				stratification in

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI': skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS

								determining treatment of ABSSSI's.
Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Lee, G.C.	Normalizatio	Design=	N= 106 patients	IV1- MRSA	IV1, IV2- +	Bivariate	IV1- No	LOE: Level II
(2016). A	n Process	PCS	M age= $41$	phenotype	or negative	Analysis	statistical	
prospective	Theory,		M= 50%		blood		significance	W: Limited SS,
observational	which is the	Purpose=To	H= 74%	IV2-MSSA	cultures	P=< 0.05		social/behavioral
cohort study in	theory of	identify risk	O= 54%	phenotype			IV2- No	risk factors not
primary care	looking at	factors			IV3->5cm	Breslow	statistical	included,
practices to	complex	contributing to	n= 84 (no	IV3- Largest size	wound	Day test	significance	compliance of
identify	interventions	treatment failure	treatment failure)	diameter of the	measureme	95% CI		antimicrobials
factors	that have	associated with	M age= $40$	wound	nt		IV3- CI: 1.58-	was no assessed
associated with	been put into	CA-MRSA	M= 52%				17.20. ES: 3.53	
treatment failure	place and	SSTI's.	H=76%	IV4- Duration of skin	IV4-			S: First study to
in	evaluating		0= 50%	infection	number of		IV4- CI: 1.74-	look at wound
Staphylococcus	them. This				days >7		19.61. ES: 4.80	size as risk
aureus skin and	correlates		n= 22 (treatment	DV1- Treatment	days			predictor, no
soft tissue	with the		failure)	failure			DV1-	bias, focused on
infections.	treatment		M age= $45$		DV1-		P = 0.32	primary care
	failure rate		M=41%		Measured			setting
Funding: Pfizer	and risk		H= 64%		by ER or		Findings:	

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, S/S:

	factors for	O= 71%	hospital	MRSA	APP: Identify
Conflicts/Bias:	this rate.		visit in post	infections do	predictors for
Pfizer could		SS= 14 primary	90 days	not have worse	treatment failure
present bias on		care clinics in		outcomes than	can help target
antibiotic		South Texas		MSSA	risk factors for
treatment plans				infections.	severity of
selected in this		IC= Provided		MRSA is not a	SSTI's. This
study since it is		informed consent,		reliable	study further
а		at least 18 years		indicator of	strengthens the
pharmaceutical		of age or older		SSTI severity.	argument of time
company.		and presented to		Duration of	to effective
		one of the		infection and	treatment is a
Country: USA		included clinics		wound diameter	major factor in
		with a SSTI.		were strongest	poor clinical
				risk predictors	outcomes and
		EC = <18 years of		for treatment	treatment failure
		age, no informed		failure.	
		consent and no			
		SSTI diagnosis.			
		Treatment Failure			
		definition: need			
		for new antibiotic			
		course/therapy,			
		I&D, ER or			
		hospital visit in			
		post 90 days			

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: Over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI's site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, S/S:

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Marwick, C.	Levels of	Design:	N=79	IV1= Patients with	CREST	ANOVA	IV1- no	LOE: Level III
(2011).	prevention	Retrospective	N1=37	blood cultures	Severity		statistical	
Prospective study of severity assessment and management of acute medical admissions with skin and soft tissue infection. Funding: None	model	cohort study Purpose: To identify patients with SSTI's to explore clinical management, illness severity and outcomes	N2= 4 N3= 27 N4= 11 n= 1 SS= 2 hospitals in Scotland M=65% SI= 70% arm AGR= 37-69	IV2= Patients without blood cultures IV3= Diabetes Mellitus IV4= Appropriate Treatment	Scale Ki/Rostein Severity Classificat ions SEWS Classificat ion	Two-Way KAPPA test P<0.5 CI= 95%	significance IV2- no statistical significance IV3- CI: 0.98 – 1.31. OR: 1.13	W: Outside of US, 2 sites, Small SS, data 1 year, Level III S: significant results FE: Safe, easy
Conflict/Bias:			IC= Patient's had	TV5= Inappropriate			IV4-	to use index
None			to be seen for	reutificiti			CI: 0.41-0.80	scales
Country: Scotland			treatment between April 2009 and June 2010, >18 years of age, primary diagnosis of SSTI who received	IV6= Age IV7= Charlson Index IV8= Severity Index IV9= SEWS			IV5- CI: 1.07- 30.09 OR: 0.57	APP: Appropriate treatment, age, severity index, SEWS scale, SIRS scale

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, GPT: Outpatient treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SIT's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS: Vital signs abno

			EC= Patients seen out of date range, < 18 years of age, lacking a primary diagnosis of SSTI	IV10= SIRS DV1= Mortality			IV6- P<0.07 IV7- P<0.27 IV8-	mortality. Implementation of these index/scales could decrease incidence of mortality in
							P<0.004 IV9- P<0.002 IV10- P<0.266	5511 8.
							DV1- Statistically significant	
Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Marwick. C. (2011).	Levels of Prevention	Design: Prospective Study	N= 79	IV1=Timeframe antibiotics given	IV1- hours	Fisher's Exact Test	IV1-P<0.05	LOE: Level II
Prospective study of	Model	Purpose: To	n= 2	IV2= Comorbidities	IV2, IV3,	Kappa	IV2- Not significant	W: Small study population,

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI': skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS

•.	. 1	14 52		TX 7.4 TX 7.7	a: .:		11 1
severity	prospectively	M age= $52$		1V4, 1V5,	Statistic		small number
assessment and	identify patients		IV3= Physiological	IV6-		IV3-Not	of adverse
management of	with SSTI's	M= 65%	Parameters	CREST	CI= 95%	significant	outcome events
acute medical	presenting from			severity		-	
admissions with	the community, to	SS= Acute	IV4= Site of SSTI	classificati		IV4- Not	S. Prospective
skin and soft	document clinical	Medical		on		significant	design
tissue skin	management,	Admissions for 2	IV5= Size of SSTI	Vi/Dotatoi		significant	onsuring the
infections.	illness severity	hospitals in				N/C NL	ensuring the
	and outcome	Scotland	IV6= Presence of	n criteria,		IV 5- Not	appropriate
Funding: None			absence of	Tayside		significant	diagnosis for
		IC=>18 years of	necrotizing fasciitis	Formulary			enrollment and
Conflict/Bias:		age, primary				IV6- not	ensuring no
None		diagnosis of SSTI	IV7= Severity of	DV1:		significant	sampling bias
		who received	SSTI	measured		0	
Country:		antibiotics,		as death		IV7-not	FE: May not be
Scotland		admission	DV1= Adverse	within 30		significant	feasible to
		between April	Patient outcomes	dava or ro		significant	apply to
		2009 and June		uays of re-		DU1 60/	apply to
		2010	DV2=Appropriatenes	admission		DVI = 6%	primary care
			s of antibiotics	to hospital			setting
		EC= <18 years of		within 30		DV2 = P < 0.01	
		age, no primary		days			APP: Over
		diagnosis of SSTI,					treatment of
		admission outside					mild infections
		of April 2009 and					and under-
		June 2010					trootmont of
							severe SSITS
							is a common
							practice that is

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, GPT: Outpatient treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SIT's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS: Vital signs abno

								identified in the
								data High
								incidence of
								wasteful
								investigation
								time and
								resources to
								include blood
								cultures.
Citation	Theory/	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables &	Measureme	Data	Findings/	Level/Quality of
	Conceptual			Definitions	nt/	Analysis	Results	Evidence;
	Framework				Instrument	(stats used)		Decision for
					ation			practice/
								application to
								practice
Talan, D. A.	Multifactorial	Design:	N= 619	IV1= History of	Survey for	Statpages 2	IV1=	LOE: Level II
(2014). Factors	Causation	Prospective		failed treatment	physicians	way	CI-	
associated with	Theory	Cohort study	n=1		on reasons	contingenc	1.38 -3.72*	W: SS ED only,
decision to			~~	IV2=A	for	y table	ES: 1.76	instrumentation
hospitalize		Purpose: To	SS=12 USA		admission	analysis		used physician
emergency		identify factors	ED's	IV3= Comorbidity	and clinical		IV2=	survey, did not
department		that influences the		(Prior MRSA	characterist	CI: 95%	CI-1.11-5.08*	take into account
patients with		physicians	M age= $38.7$	infection, Diabetes,	ICS	<b>a</b> . a	ES: 2.86	admission
skin and soft		decision to		chronic ulcer, edema,		Chi-Square		related to
tissue		hospitalize a	M = 57.5%	bed ridden)		Binary	IV3= CI- 2.25-	inability to
infections.		patient with a				recursive	5.00*	preform wound
<b>T</b> 1'		SSTI.	AA= 51.5%	IV4=Intection type		partitioning	ES: 3.35	care due to adl
Funding:				(abscess, cellulitis,			** * 4	restrictions
Center's for			CM= 32.5%	intected wound)			IV4=	

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, SS: S

Disease Control			CI-	S: Adequate
Disease control	SSTI type: 85.1%	IV5 –Infection	2 31- 5 22*	sample size
Conflicts/Bias:	abscess	mechanism (chronic	ES: 3 47	variety of
None	auscess	wound infaction of	LS. 3.47	significant
None	IC > 10	would, infection of	11/5	significant
	IC = >18 years of	surgical wound)	$1V_{0} = 0$	variables
Country: USA	age, SSIT with		CI-	
	symptoms <1	IV6= Infection	2.06 -6.77*	FE: Safe, low
	week, purulent	location (groin, lower	ES: 6.77	cost
	exudate available	extremity)		
	for culture, ED		IV6=	APP: Reasons
	patients only.	IV7=Symptoms	CI-	physicians
		(fever, chills,	1.13-2.49*	choose to admit
	EC = < 18 years	nausea/vomiting,	ES: 1.69	a patient to the
	of age, SSTI	extreme		hospital for SSTI
	symptoms >1	pain/tenderness, local	IV7=	pertain to clinical
	week,	edema)	CI-	characteristics of
			2.92- 5.96*	wound and
		IV8=Size of wound	ES: 4.21	patient history. A
				better
		IV9=Abnormal	IV8=CI-	understanding of
		Imaging results	2.57 -6.62*	reasoning behind
			ES: 4.09	physicians to
		IV10=Vital signs		hospitalize can
		abnormalities (fever,	IV9=	help to
		tachypnea.	CI- 1.42- 3.84*	streamline this
		tachycardia)	ES: 1.74	process and
				admit
			IV10=	unnecessary
			CI-	admissions from
		DV1= Admitted with	2.60-5.66*	occurring.

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, S/S:

				SSTI			ES: 4.02	
				DV2= Discharged with SSTI			DV1= Statistically significant	
							DV2= Statistically significant	
Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables & Definitions	Measureme nt/ Instrument ation	Data Analysis (stats used)	Findings/ Results	Level/Quality of Evidence; Decision for practice/ application to practice
Tiwari, A.K. (2014). Study to evaluate the role of severity	Incidence, prevalence, mortality model	Design: Prospective cohort study	N= 105 n 1=35 n 2= 70	IV1= fever IV2= History of wound (trauma	Department's Protocol Severity Stratification	Chi-Square Binary recursive partitioning	IV1= <0.0001* IV2= 0.069*	LOE: Level II W: Small sample
stratification of skin and	Multifactorial	Purpose: To explore the	SS= 1 hospital in New Delhi's	animal or insect bite)	classification	P<0.05	IV3=<0.0004*	surgical outpatient,

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample,, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: Over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment,

soft tissue	causation	clinical profile of	surgical outpatient			IV4 - < 0.001*	outside of USA
infections in	theory	CA SSTI's to	department and	IV3= Gangrene		174- <0.001	population
formulating	theory	evaluate the	FD	1 V 5- Gungrene		IV5-<0.0365*	population
treatment		existing method	AGR-15-71	IV4– BSA		1,02= <0.0505	S: No Bias Well
strategies and		of severity	$M_{age} = 13^{-71}$				described
predicting poor		stratification and	M = 83.81.%	IV5-Loss of			intervention
prognostic		to identify factors	IVI- 05.01 /0	sonsation			appropriato
factors		for poor outcomes	IC – Presence of at	sensation			statistical
lactors.		and longthound	IC- Flesence of at	DV1_ Haalthy			statistical
Eurdina: None			feast 2 of the	D V I = Healury			anarysis
Funding: None		nospitalizations.	Iollowing:	wound			EE. Cofe I am
			swelling,	DUO Noot			FE: Sale, Low
Conflicts/Blas:			erytnema, severe	$DV_2 = Negative$			cost
None			pain, induration,	culture wound			
			abscess or				APP: The
Country: New			tenderness to	DV3 = Unhealthy			existing severity
Delhi			palpation.	wound			stratification
			Presence of 1 of				model does
			the following:	DV4= Positive			decrease healing
			body temperature	wound culture			time of SSTI's,
			> 40 Celsius <35				but it could use
			Celsius, WBC				some
			counts >15,000 or				modifications
			wound culture.				that the Severity
			Diagnosis of				stratification
			severe infection				model identified
			such NF				in this study.
			EC= Patient with				Based on the
			trivial injury,				conclusions of
			patient with				the study,
			hospital acquired				researchers

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: Over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, VS:

			infection, <12 years of age, infection other than skin or soft tissue.					created an accurate algorithm for early detection, diagnosis and
Citation	Theory	Design/Mathod	Sample/Satting	Major Variables &	Magguramant	Data	Findings/	treatment.
Citation	Conceptual	Design/ Method	Sample/ Setting	Definitions		Data Analysis	Findings/ Results	Evidence:
	Framework			Demittions	/ Instrumentati	(stats used)	Results	Decision for
	1 funite work				on	(stats used)		practice/
								application to
								practice
Wilson, S.M.	Incidence,	Design:	N=	IV1=	IV1-IV7=	Logistic	IV1=	LOE: Level II
(2011). A	prevalence,	Prospective	Study A- 632	Comorbidities	Modified	Regression	P=<0.1	
severity score	mortality	comparative	Study B- 334		Fine Severity			W: Study criteria
for complicated	model	Randomized trial		IV2=BUN	Scoring	P=<0.05	IV2=	excluded
skin and soft			n=		Model		P= 0.023*	uncomplicated
tissue infections		Purpose: To	A- 133	IV3= Sodium	IV2=>30			SSTI's,
derived from		develop and	B- 38		IV3=<130		IV3=	necrotizing
phase III studies		implement a		IV4= Hematocrit	IV4= <30%		P= 0.036*	fasciitis and
of Linezolid.		severity scoring	M age=		IV5 = <150 cm			diabetic foot
		system for SSTI's	A-16.8	IV5 = Lesion size			IV4=	ulcers. Not all
Funding:		and to identify	B-49.2		DV1=		P=0.002*	variables
Pharmacia and		factors	~	IV6= Surgical	measured by			included that
Upjohn		contributing to	C=	wound infection	number of		IV5=	could influence
		treatment failure.	A- 56.8%		days/number		P= 0.009*	outcome in
Conflict/Bias:			B- 88.5%	IV7=Linezolid	of doses of			severity scale.
Study is funded			M=	intervention	treatment		IV6=	Only applied to
by			A-63%	IV8= Comparator	until s/sx		P= 0.039*	hospital
pharmaceutical			B-46.9%	group	improved.			population, not

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: Over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI's: Skin and soft tissue infections, STP: standardized treatment plan, TF: treatment failure, TS: type of SSTI, UK: United Kingdom, USA: United States of America, UT: Under treatment, V

companies					IV7=	primary care.
which may			DV1=Lower		P = 0.001*	printing out of
influence their			Clinical Cure Rate			S: Large SS.
recommendatio					IV8- Not	Level II evidence
ns on the use of		SS=	Complicated		significant	
antimicrobial		A- 133 sites	Definition:		8	APP: This
therapy		in USA.	infections		DV1=	scoring tool
		Latin	extending into the		P=0.001*	provides a
Country: USA		America.	deeper tissue and			practical tool for
j·		Asia	requiring systemic		Findings:	assessing
		B- 38 sites	antibiotic therapy		Comorbidities	patients with
		in	and surgical		significantly	complicated
		Europe,	interventions		decrease cure	SSTI's and
		South			rate. BUN,	identifying those
		Africa	Clinical Cure		sodium,	who may need
			definition:		hematocrit,	more aggressive
		IC = hospitalized,	Resolution of		lesion size and	support. This
		Gram +	baseline s/sx of		presence of	scoring system
		complicated SSTI.	infection or		surgical wound	can be used as an
		signed consent	improvement after		infection are	overall guide to
		form	at least 5 days and		clinically	overall prognosis
			20 doses of study		significant	and to the level
		EC=	medication.		factors in clinical	of
		Uncomplicated			cure rates.	medical/surgical
		SSTI, Outpatient	Clinical Failure		Clinical cure	treatment.
		setting, Other	definition:		rates were lower	
		organisms besides	Persistence or		in patients who	
		Gram +	progression of s/sx		disease scores	
			of infection after at		below the	
			least 2 days and 8		median and who	

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, SS: S

46

		doses of medication		were in the high- risk classes.	

A: age, AA: African American, ABSSI: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, ADL: activities of daily living, AGR: age range, AHRQ: Agency for research healthcare and quality, APP: application, BSA: Body surface area, C: Caucasian, CA: cellulitis/abscess, CA-MRSA: Community acquired Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, CCR: Clinical cure rate, CF: correctional facility/facilities, CI: Confidence interval, CM: Co-morbidity, CR: Complete response, CR%: Caucasian race percentage, DAT: days of antibiotic therapy, DIA: Delayed Initiation antibiotics, DS: Duration of symptoms, DT: Duration of therapy, DV: dependent variable, E: ethnicity, EC: exclusion criteria, ED: emergency department, ESM: Economic Simulation Model, F: female, FE: Feasibility, G: gender, H: Hispanic, HCUP: healthcare cost and utilization project, HOW: history of wound, IC: inclusion criteria, I&D: incision and drainage, IPT: Inpatient treatment, IV: independent variable, KPNC: Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, LHS: Length of hospital stay, LOA: lack of adherence, LOE: Level of evidence, M: male, MA: Metanalysis, M Age: Mean age, MIDAS: Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study, MOR: Mortality, n: sample size studies, ME: Median, N: sample size cases/people, MRSA: Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, NF: necrotizing fasciitis, NI: Not identified in article, NIS: nationwide inpatient sample, NWC: Negative wound culture, O: Obese, OP: outpatient, OPT: Outpatient treatment, OT: Over treatment, PC: participant characteristics, PWC: Positive wound culture, PRTM: Predictive risk tool model, PCS: Prospective cohort study, RCS: Retrospective cohort study, REH: Re-hospitalization, RR: Reference range, RSST: Risk severity stratification tool, SA: staphylococcus areus, SABSSSI: Severity of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections, SI: site of infection, SEMS: Standardized early warning score, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SR: Systematic Review, SS: Number of study sites, SS: Severity of SSTI, S/S:

## SKIN AND SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS IN HIGH RISK POPULATIONS

Author											
	Figtree	Hashem	Jenkins	Lane	Lee	Marwick	Marwick	Talan	Tiwari	Wilson	
Study Characteristics											
Year:	2012	2016	2011	2016	2016	2011	2012	2014	2014	2011	
Design:											
Retrospective Cohort	X	X	Х			X					
Prospective Cohort					X		X	Х	Х	Х	
Cross Sectional				Х							
Setting:											
Community			X	X	X					X	
Inpatient	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	
G 1	1	Г	[	Population	1 Demographic		1 1				
Sample:	1	1	1	NT/A	1.4	2	2	10	1	122.20	
Number of sites	1	1	1	N/A	14	2	2	12	105	133, 38	
IN	395	200	344	400	106	/9	79	619	105	032, 334	
Duration	7у	1 y	2 у	NI	NI	15 Months	15 months	NI	NI	NI	
Demographics:								*			
Age (mean)	70.6	54	CO-46 I- 47	USA-45 UK-42	41	AGR-37- 69	52	38.7	41.2	16.8, 49.2	
Male Gender (%)	43%	53%	CO- 75% I- 66%	USA-64.5% UK- 70%	50%	65%	65%	57.5%	83.81%	63%, 46.9%	
Tools used:											
Risk severity stratification tool	Х					X			Х	X	
Standardized treatment plan			Х								
CREST		Х				X	X				
SEWS		Х				X					
Severity of acute bacterial SSTI's				Х							
Ki/Rotstein						Х	Х				

Appendix E Synthesis Table: Severity/Risk Stratification Scales in SSTI's

CREST: Clinical resource efficiency support team, N: sample size, SEWS: Standardized early warning score, SSTI's: skin and soft tissue infections, \*: Statistically significant

# SKIN AND SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS IN HIGH RISK POPULATIONS

Systemic						Х					
inflammatory response											
syndrome											
Charlson Index						Х					
Independent Variables											
Age	X*			X*		X*		X*			
Co-Morbidities	X*			X*		X*	Х	X*		Х	
Duration of symptoms	X*				X*						
S/SX		X*		X*			Х	X*			
Wound Size	X*				X*			X*	X*	X*	
Vital signs								X*	X*		
Measurable Outcomes											
Length of hospital stay	Х		Х	Х							
Complete response		X					X			Х	
Duration of therapy			Х								
Re-hospitalization rate	Х		Х	Х			X				
Outpatient treatment				Х				X			

Appendix F The Advancing Research and Clinical Practice Through Close Collaboration (ARCC) EBP Model

