



## Sb-mediated growth of Si-doped AlGaAs by molecular-beam epitaxy

Yu. G. Sadofyev, S. R. Johnson, S. A. Chaparro, Y. Cao, D. Ding, J.-B. Wang, K. Franzreb, and Y.-H. Zhang

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **84**, 3546 (2004); doi: 10.1063/1.1715153 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1715153 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/84/18?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in The role of Si as surfactant and donor in molecular-beam epitaxy of AIN J. Appl. Phys. **98**, 093508 (2005); 10.1063/1.2126786

Highly Si-doped AlN grown by plasma-assisted molecular-beam epitaxy Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 192108 (2005); 10.1063/1.1923180

Sb surfactant effect on GaInAs/GaAs highly strained quantum well lasers emitting at 1200 nm range grown by molecular beam epitaxy J. Appl. Phys. **96**, 44 (2004); 10.1063/1.1760841

Sb-mediated growth of n- and p-type AlGaAs by molecular beam epitaxy J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **22**, 1436 (2004); 10.1116/1.1705579

Si doping for n- and p-type conduction in Al x Ga 1-x As grown on GaAs(311)A by molecular-beam epitaxy J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 2638 (2003); 10.1063/1.1539912



## Sb-mediated growth of Si-doped AlGaAs by molecular-beam epitaxy

Yu. G. Sadofyev, S. R. Johnson,<sup>a)</sup> S. A. Chaparro,<sup>b)</sup> Y. Cao, D. Ding, J.-B. Wang, K. Franzreb, and Y.-H. Zhang Center for Solid State Electronics Research and Department of Electrical Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-6206

(Received 26 September 2003; accepted 27 February 2004; published online 20 April 2004)

The Sb-mediated growth of  $Al_{0.65}Ga_{0.35}As$  is studied for Sb/III flux ratios from 0 to 2% and growth temperatures from 580 to 720 °C. The electrical properties and surface morphology are found to depend strongly on both the growth temperature and the Sb flux. As an isoelectronic dopant, Sb improves the conductivity of n-Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As with the highest conductivities occurring at the highest growth temperatures. As a surfactant, Sb improves the surface morphology at all growth temperatures, with the most dramatic improvement occurring at 670 °C. The smoothest surface (0.2 nm rms roughness) was obtained at 700 °C using a Sb/III flux ratio of 0.02. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that the use of Sb during the molecular-beam-epitaxy growth of AlGaAs effectively eliminates the "forbidden temperature gap." © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1715153]

The existence of a forbidden substrate temperature gap for the growth of AlGaAs layers by molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) has been widely reported.<sup>1–3</sup> The surface morphology of  $Al_xGa_{1-x}As$  (x>0.2) is smooth for lower growth temperatures (550-600 °C), rough for intermediate temperatures (620-680 °C), and smooth for high temperatures (700-750 °C). These temperature ranges vary slightly with aluminum composition. The lower growth temperatures result in epitaxial layers with smooth surface morphology that have less than optimal electrical properties compared to layers grown at higher temperatures. Moreover, at the highest growth temperatures the sticking coefficient of Ga is less than unity and strongly dependent on growth temperature, resulting in poor composition control. Such a poor control of growth becomes crucial for devices such as vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers (VCSELs), in which both accurate control of growth rate and interface roughness are critical to the device performance. Therefore, one has to compromise when choosing an adequate growth temperature for GaAs/ AlGaAs distributed Bragg reflectors in a VCSEL. Sb as a surfactant has been proposed<sup>4</sup> for the growth of smoother AlGaAs in the forbidden temperature gap (FTG) where the Ga sticking coefficient is close to unity and the Sb incorporation rate is close to zero. Since most of the device applications use large Al mole fraction alloys, in this work we chose to study the Sb-mediated growth of Si-doped Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layers with emphasis on the optimizing both the electrical and optical properties for device applications.

A set of Si-doped ( $N_{\rm Si} = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As samples were grown at various temperatures (580, 610, 640, 670, 700, and 720 °C) and various Sb/III flux ratios (0.00, 0.005, 0.01, and 0.02). The growth was done in a V80H VG MBE system equipped with Ga, Al, and Si effusion cells and As and Sb valved cracking cells. The samples were grown on semi-insulating GaAs (100) substrates starting with a 30 nm GaAs buffer layer, followed by a 200-nm-thick undoped Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As spacer layer and a 1000-nm-thick, Si doped Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layer. The undoped spacer provides a barrier to free-carrier penetration into the GaAs buffer layer, preventing the formation of a two-dimensional electron gas that would adversely impact the electrical measurements. The  $Al_{0.65}Ga_{0.35}As$  layer was covered with a thin, 10 nm *n*-GaAs contact layer, whose thickness was chosen to be thick enough to provide electrical contact to the AlGaAs layer and yet thin enough to not obscure the surface morphology or conductivity of the underlying AlGaAs layer.

The Sb flux values are given in absolute terms with Sb/III = Sb/(Ga + AI), where each element is in units of incident atoms per unit area per unit time. The As/III overpressure was 1.5 for the lower growth temperatures and 2.0 for the higher growth temperatures. The Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layers are random alloy and were grown under a group-V stabilized 2×4 surface reconstructed for both Sb-mediated and Sb-free growth. The growth (substrate) temperatures were accurately determined from the band edge of the substrate using diffuse reflection spectroscopy;<sup>5</sup> the substrate thermocouple reading was about 100 °C higher. The samples were investigated using atomic force microscopy (AFM), x-ray diffraction (XRD), secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS), and the Hall-effect measurements in the Van der Pauw contact geometry.

The surface morphology of each sample was examined by AFM and the rms surface roughness obtained from these measurements is reported in Fig. 1 for the various Sb fluxes and growth temperatures. The smoothing effect of the Sb surfactant in the FTG is evident, and the amount of Sb flux required for smoothing tends to increase with the substrate temperature. A Sb/III flux ratio of 0.005 is sufficient for substrate temperatures below 650 °C, while a Sb/III flux ratio of 0.01 to 0.02 is necessary for substrate temperatures greater than 650 °C.

The XRD measurements reveal that about 40% of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: shane.johnson@asu.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup>Presently at: Lytek Corporation, 4717 East Hilton Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85034.



FIG. 1. rms surface roughness of 1.2- $\mu$ m-thick Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layers grown at various Sb/III flux ratios and substrate temperatures.

incident Sb flux is incorporated at the lowest substrate temperature (580 °C). As the substrate temperature increases the Sb incorporation decreases exponentially, quickly dropping below concentrations measurable by XRD. Therefore, to determine the temperature dependence of the Sb incorporation (or sticking coefficient), SIMS measurements were done on the samples grown under the highest (0.02) Sb/III flux. The XRD measurements are used to assign absolute numbers to the SIMS data shown in Fig. 2. The Sb concentration (lefthand axis) and the Sb sticking coefficient (right-hand axis) decrease by two orders of magnitude over the temperature range shown, resulting in a low (but non zero) Sb concentration of about  $2 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> in samples grown at 700 °C. Even at the highest growth temperatures, Sb is incorporated as an isoelectronic dopant. The Sb sticking coefficient exhibits an Arrhenius behavior (solid line fit to the data) with a characteristic energy of  $2.76 \pm 0.15$  eV. The equation with the best-fit parameters is shown in the Fig. 2, where kT is in units of absolute temperature. The constant in front of exponential is  $8800 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for Sb concentration (left-hand scale) and  $2.0 \times 10^{-15}$ % for Sb sticking coefficient (right-hand scale).

Figure 3 shows the room-temperature Hall mobility of Si-doped ( $N_{\rm Si} = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As for the set of samples just discussed. The electron mobility increases with growth temperature and is enhanced by Sb codoping. Remarkably, at the lower growth temperatures at which the Sb levels are large ( $\sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), Sb as an isoelectronic dopant



FIG. 3. Electron mobility of  $1.0-\mu$ m-thick Si-doped Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layers grown at various Sb/III flux ratios and substrate temperatures. The electron concentration is shown in the inset.

still improves the electron mobility, indicating that Sb codoping does not add to electron scattering. In the 640 to 670 °C growth temperature range, the optimal Sb codoping level is about  $3 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (Sb/III=0.005 to 0.01). The mobility decreases at the highest growth temperature (720 °C) because, according to the XRD measurements, the Al mole fraction increases from 0.65 to 0.70 caused by a reduction in Ga sticking from close to unity at 700 °C to 0.8 at 720 °C.

Although growth temperature and Sb codoping have a dramatic affect on the electron mobility; the overall contribution to the conductivity is not as spectacular because of the strong dependence of the electron concentration (doping efficiency) on growth temperature shown in the inset of Fig. 3. The free electron concentration is given on the left-hand axis and ranges from a high of  $n = 5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  to a low of n  $=2\times10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and the Si doping efficiency (defined as  $n/N_{\rm Si}$ ) is given on the right-hand axis. The trend in the doping efficiency (shown by the solid line) seems to be independent of the Sb codoping levels and has a minimum of 10% at 660 °C, which is right in the middle of FTG. The best doping efficiency (25%) occurs at the lowest growth temperature (580 °C). Notwithstanding the decline in electron concentration, the conductivity of the AlGaAs layer improves with growth temperature, as shown by the plot of sheet resistance versus growth temperature and Sb flux in Fig. 4. Overall, the sheet resistance decreases at a rate of 5  $\Omega/^{\circ}C$  as the growth



FIG. 2. Sb concentration and sticking coefficient derived from SIMS and XRD data for *n*-Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As grown at various temperatures and a Sb/III flux ratio of 0.02.



FIG. 4. Sheet resistance of 1.0-μm-thick Si-doped Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As layers for program at various Sb/III flux ratios and substrate temperatures.

temperature increases, and is further reduced by 120  $\Omega$  on average when Sb codoping is present.

Sb acts as both a surfactant and an isoelectronic dopant in AlGaAs. As a surfactant, Sb provides improved surface morphology with the most dramatic improvement occurring in the forbidden growth temperature gap. During Sbmediated growth a steady-state surface population of Sb is maintained, enhancing the surface diffusion kinetics of the Ga and Al adatoms. The three-dimensional morphology of AlGaAs layers grown in the forbidden temperature gap is thought to originate from less than ideal Ga and Al transport caused by oxygen gettering on the surface,<sup>6</sup> strong anisotropy of surface diffusion,<sup>7</sup> the strong Al-As bond,<sup>8</sup> and diffusion barriers at island edges.<sup>9</sup> At higher temperatures above the FTG, Ga and Al diffusion is enhanced, resulting in smoother films. Below the FTG, surface impurities are thought to be more readily incorporated interfering less with surface mobility and the Al adatoms tend to stay put avoiding roughening due to anisotropic diffusion.

As an isoelectronic dopant, Sb yields higher electron mobility and lower sheet resistance for *n*-AlGaAs. Isoelectronic doping reduces deep levels which improves the material properties of Si-doped AlGaAs where deep-level traps are not simply arsenic or gallium vacancies, but possibly impurities or gallium/arsenic related complexes.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, isoelectronic doping reduces unintentional impurities and dislocation density in III-V materials.<sup>11</sup> The low doping efficiency of Si in Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As is largely because Si is a relatively deep donor,  $\sim 120$  meV for Al<sub>0.65</sub>Ga<sub>0.35</sub>As,<sup>12</sup> and to a lesser extent because some Si atoms incorporate as acceptors or form DX centers<sup>13</sup> in AlGaAs. Furthermore, the doping efficiency is independent of Sb codoping and is remarkably dependent on growth temperature (see Fig. 3 inset); indicating that the amphoteric nature of Si and the formation of DX centers in AlGaAs depend on growth temperature. Compensation by defects is another possibility, in which case the defect formation would have to depend only on temperature, and not on Sb codoping or surface morphology.

In conclusion, the Sb-mediated growth of  $Al_{0.65}Ga_{0.35}As$  improves both electrical properties and surface morphology, where Sb as an isoelectronic dopant improves electron mobility and Sb as a surfactant reduces surface roughness. In both cases, the most impressive improvement occurs in 620–680 °C temperature region, effectively eliminating the forbidden temperature gap for device growth. The use of Sb-mediated growth has resulted in improved laser device performance, most notably in VCSELs.

- <sup>1</sup>H. Morkoç, T. J. Drummond, W. Kopp, and R. Fischer, J. Electrochem. Soc. **129**, 824 (1982).
- <sup>2</sup>W. I. Wang, S. Judaprawira, C. E. C. Wood, and L. F. Eastman, Appl. Phys. Lett. **38**, 708 (1981).
- <sup>3</sup>R. A. Stall, J. Zilko, V. Swaminathan, and N. Schumaker, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **3**, 524 (1985).
- <sup>4</sup>R. Kaspi, K. R. Evans, D. C. Reynolds, J. Brown, and M. Skowronski, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **379**, 79 (1995).
- <sup>5</sup>S. R. Johnson, C.-H. Kuo, M. D. Boonzaayer, W. Braun, U. Koelle, Y.-H. Zhang, and J. A. Roth, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **16**, 1502 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup>N. Chand, S. N. G. Chu, and M. Geva, Appl. Phys. Lett. 59, 2874 (1991).
- <sup>7</sup>R. Mirin, M. Krishnamurthy, J. Ibbetson, J. English, and A. Gossard, J. Cryst. Growth **127**, 908 (1993).
- <sup>8</sup>F. Alexandre, L. Goldstein, G. Leroux, M. C. Joncour, H. Thibierge, and E. V. K. Rao, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **3**, 950 (1985).
- <sup>9</sup>J. M. Van Hove and P. I. Cohen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 47, 726 (1985).
- <sup>10</sup>K. D. Jamison, H. C. Chen, A. Bensaoula, W. Lim, L. Trombetta, and A. Igntiev, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 7, 606 (1989).
- <sup>11</sup> V. K. Bazhenov and V. I. Fistul, Sov. Phys. Semicond. 18, 843 (1984).
- <sup>12</sup>N. Chand, T. Henderson, J. Klem, W. T. Masselink, R. Fischer, Y.-C. Chang, and H. Morkoc, Phys. Rev. B 30, 4481 (1984).
- <sup>13</sup> A. Leuther, A. Förster, H. Lüth, H. Holzbrecher, and U. Breuer, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **11**, 766 (1996).