

1 **Prediction of Silicate Melt Viscosity from Electrical**  
2 **Conductivity: A Model and its Geophysical Implications**

3  
4 **Anne Pommier<sup>1</sup>, Rob L. Evans<sup>2</sup>, Kerry Key<sup>3</sup>, James A. Tyburczy<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Mackwell<sup>4</sup> and**  
5 **Jimmy Elsenbeck<sup>2</sup>**

6 *<sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA*

7 *<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology and Geophysics, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole,*  
8 *Massachusetts, USA*

9 *<sup>3</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California, USA*

10 *<sup>4</sup>Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, USA*

11

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Our knowledge of magma dynamics would be improved if geophysical data could be  
15 used to infer rheological constraints in melt-bearing zones. Geophysical images of the Earth's  
16 interior provide frozen snapshots of a dynamical system. However, knowledge of a rheological  
17 parameter such as viscosity would constrain the time dependent dynamics of melt bearing zones.  
18 We propose a model that relates melt viscosity to electrical conductivity for naturally-occurring  
19 melt compositions (including H<sub>2</sub>O) and temperature. Based on laboratory measurements of melt  
20 conductivity and viscosity, our model provides a rheological dimension to the interpretation of  
21 electromagnetic anomalies caused by melt and partially molten rocks (melt fraction ~ >0.7).

22

23

24 **1. Introduction**

25         Large volcanoes represent a significant societal risk because many are located in densely  
26 populated areas. Risk assessment is improved by monitoring (e.g., magma chamber inflation) but  
27 further improvements would be made if we could probe magma composition and properties (e.g.,  
28 viscosity). An important clue as to the likelihood for explosive volcanism involves the  
29 rheological properties of silicate melts. Yet to date, geophysical constraints on magma chambers  
30 rarely go beyond rough estimates of temperature and, in some cases, melt fractions.

31         Electrical conductivity is a tool with the potential for bridging different scales of  
32 observations since it is measured both in the laboratory and in the field. The dependence of  
33 conductivity on parameters such as temperature and composition make electrical measurements  
34 in the laboratory critical to probe the Earth's interior, and therefore to interpret field-based  
35 electromagnetic surveys. However, magnetotelluric (MT) profiles are not generally interpreted in  
36 terms of the dynamics that characterize the geological process they probe. In a high melt fraction  
37 magma reservoir, such characterization would allow determination of the time-scale for  
38 buoyancy-driven motion and fractionation of crystals, melt segregation and magma mixing.  
39 Because viscosity governs any convective system, solid and/or fluid [*Shaw, 1965; Vetere et al.,*  
40 *2006; Karki and Stixrude, 2010*], relating melt electrical conductivity to melt viscosity represents  
41 an opportunity to improve the interpretation of electromagnetic field results.

42         Some attempts have been made to relate melt electrical conductivity to viscosity,  
43 principally in materials science because both physical parameters are critical for the design of  
44 industrial smelters [e.g., *Zhang and Chou, 2010; Zhang et al., 2011*]. However, further  
45 investigation is warranted, principally because existing models generally consider only simple

46 synthetic melt compositions [e.g., *Grandjean et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011*]. Therefore,  
47 because they do not embed the compositional dependence of conductivity for more complex  
48 compositions, they do not satisfactorily reproduce the physical properties of natural melts.

49 This study proposes a semi-empirical model that relates these two physical properties of  
50 melt (electrical conductivity and viscosity). Our model can be used to convert melt conductivity  
51 into viscosity and *vice versa* for a defined melt composition and temperature. On this basis, our  
52 model should significantly aid in the interpretation of field-based datasets.

53

54

## 55 **2. Relating electrical conductivity to viscosity for geophysical purposes**

### 56 *2.1. Electrical conductivity vs. viscosity of silicate melts*

57 The dependence of electrical conductivity and viscosity on melt composition is illustrated  
58 in Figure 1 for four kinds of natural melts (basaltic, andesitic, phonolitic, and rhyolitic  
59 compositions) as a function of temperature. Electrical conductivity values are from previous  
60 experimental measurements by *Rai and Manghnani [1977], Tyburczy and Waff [1983], Gaillard*  
61 *[2004]* and *Pommier et al. [2008]*. Viscosity values are from experimental studies by *Bottinga*  
62 *and Weill [1972], Giordano and Dingwell [2003]* and *Ardia et al. [2008]*. Melt electrical  
63 conductivity and viscosity are both dependent on chemical composition at the same temperature.  
64 Melt viscosity has a much higher dependence on chemical composition than does electrical  
65 conductivity; for instance, at 1400°C, there is a difference of more than 4 log-units between the  
66 viscosity of a dry rhyolitic melt and that of a basaltic melt, whereas the conductivities of similar  
67 melts differ only by ~0.1 log-units (Figure 1). The effect of water is also greater on viscosity  
68 than on electrical conductivity: adding 5.6wt% H<sub>2</sub>O to a phonolitic melt increases its

69 conductivity by ~0.2 log-unit [Pommier *et al.*, 2008] but will decrease its viscosity by 1 to more  
70 than 2 log-units, according to the model by Giordano *et al.* [2008] (Figure 1). Viscosity is a  
71 critical property controlling the behavior of magmas, and its strong dependence on melt  
72 composition highlights the need for independent constraints on magma composition within the  
73 crust.

74

## 75 2.2. Electrical conductivity-viscosity model

76 Electrical conductivity and viscosity are both thermally activated transport properties and  
77 their temperature-dependence can be described by the empirical Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VTF)  
78 equation [e.g. Vogel, 1921]:

$$79 \quad X = A \exp[B/(T-C)] \quad (1)$$

80 where X is either the conductivity or the viscosity of melt, T is temperature, and A, B and C are  
81 adjustable parameters specific to a given material. However, conductivity and viscosity are  
82 controlled by and provide information about different characteristics of the melt. Electrical  
83 conductivity is essentially controlled by charge carrier diffusivity (i.e. mostly alkalis in natural  
84 silicate melts [Tyburczy and Waff 1983; Gaillard, 2004; Pommier *et al.*, 2008]), involving ion  
85 displacements within the melt structure that require rearrangement of near-neighbor ions (local  
86 or short range dynamics). Electrical conductivity  $\sigma$  is related to the diffusion coefficient D for  
87 ions in liquids through the Nernst-Einstein equation:

$$88 \quad \sigma \propto D/T \quad (2)$$

89 The silica framework of the melt controls the viscosity and its deformation requires further  
90 change of the configuration (long-range dynamics) [Singh *et al.*, 2005]. Using the Stokes-  
91 Einstein equation, shear viscosity  $\eta$  is related to D as

92 
$$\eta \propto T/D \tag{3}$$

93 Although diffusion processes in Equations 2 and 3 are governed by different species (alkali and  
94 Si/O, respectively), it is possible to define, as a first approximation, a unique coefficient D that  
95 expresses bulk diffusion processes in melt. Furthermore, as discussed by *Grandjean et al.*  
96 [2007], melt conductivity and viscosity can be related through the so-called modified Stokes-  
97 Einstein equation:

98 
$$\sigma T = a(T/\eta)^b \tag{4}$$

99 with a and b constants. As shown in Figure 2a, different trends in the proportionality between  $\sigma$   
100 and  $\eta$  exist, which are likely explained by compositional differences and the resulting variations  
101 in interactions between ionic species in the melts.

102 Equation (4) provides a relationship between  $\eta$  and  $\sigma$ . However, in order to be well  
103 suited to geophysical applications, it needs to clearly express the dependence on melt  
104 composition, water content and temperature. Therefore we propose a model that allows  
105 conversion of melt electrical conductivity into viscosity for defined composition and  
106 temperature. This model is based on laboratory studies of silicate melt conductivity and  
107 viscosity. The conductivity dataset corresponds to the SIGMELTS experimental database  
108 [*Pommier and Le Trong, 2011 and references therein*], updated with the recent data from *Ni et*  
109 *al. [2011]*. The viscosity of these melts is calculated using the model of *Giordano et al. [2008]*  
110 that spans the entire compositional range of the melts in the SIGMELTS database. Melt  
111 compositions range from rhyolite to basalt to latite, water contents range from 0 to ~6wt % H<sub>2</sub>O,  
112 and temperatures range from 900 to 1600°C [*Pommier and Le Trong, 2011*].

113 In our model, melt composition (including its water content) is expressed through the  
114 parameter of silicate melt optical basicity (OB) [*e.g., Duffy and Ingram, 1976; Duffy, 1993;*

115 *Zhang and Chou, 2010; Mathieu et al., 2011*], which is a semi-empirical estimation of oxide ion  
116 activities, as detailed in the Auxiliary Materials. Based on the current databases of silicate melt  
117 conductivity (S/m) and viscosity (Pa·s), we propose the following expression that best  
118 reproduces the existing dataset of measured melt conductivity. This model is obtained from  
119 simple multiple linear regression for dry and hydrous silicate melts ranging from basaltic to  
120 rhyolitic compositions:

$$121 \quad \text{Log } \sigma = 1.315 - 4.8 \times 10^3 / T + 9.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4.46 \text{OB} - 3.2 \times 10^{-2} \log \eta \quad (5)$$

122 Or conversely:

$$123 \quad \text{Log } \eta = 41.09 - 1.50 \times 10^5 / T + 2.84 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 139.4 \text{OB} - 31.25 \log \sigma \quad (6)$$

124 in which T is temperature (K), H<sub>2</sub>O is water content (wt%) and OB is optical basicity.  
125 Experimental conductivity data (log  $\sigma$ ) are reproduced with a standard error of estimate <0.029  
126 (Figure 2b) and modeled viscosity data (log  $\eta$ ) are reproduced with a standard error of estimate  
127 <0.42 (Figure 2c). This equation is valid in the T range from 1173 to 1773K and for water  
128 contents up to ~6 wt.%. Extrapolation of the model to water contents exceeding 6 wt.% is not  
129 recommended because of a lack of appropriate experimental data. Efforts to fit conductivity-  
130 viscosity data to expressions of the form of Eq. 4 with compositionally-dependent parameters a  
131 and b yielded poorer fits than Eq. 5 and 6.

132 The effect of pressure is not directly taken into account. To a first approximation, the  
133 pressure dependence of both viscosity and conductivity can be considered to be similar (between  
134 10 and 20 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol, depending on melt composition [e.g., *Tinker et al., 2004; Ardia et al., 2008*  
135 for viscosity measurements, and *Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al., 2008* for conductivity  
136 measurements]). Both properties decrease in dry melts with increasing pressure. A similar  
137 pressure dependence allows relative comparison of both properties at pressures to perhaps 1-2

138 GPa. At crustal depths, pressure has a smaller effect on melt conductivity compared to  
139 temperature [Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al., 2008] and viscosity [Allwardt et al., 2007],  
140 allowing our model to be used over crustal depths without requiring a pressure correction. This  
141 model is appropriate for high melt fractions ( $X_{\text{melt}} \sim > 0.7$ ), i.e. where bulk conductivity is  
142 controlled by melt conductivity and bulk viscosity is controlled by melt viscosity. For lower melt  
143 fractions, computation of bulk conductivity or bulk viscosity necessitates the use of two-phase  
144 formalisms, such as the Hashin-Shtrikman bounds (see *ten Grotenhuis et al.* [2005] for partial  
145 melt electrical conductivity and *Costa et al.* [2009] for partial melt viscosity) and accounting for  
146 changes in melt composition with melt fraction [Roberts and Tyburczy, 1999; Gaillard and  
147 *Iacono Marziano*, 2005].

148

149

### 150 **3. Application to the field: imaging magma chambers with magnetotellurics (MT)**

151 MT surveys have been used to image the structure of volcanic edifices [*e.g.*, *Muller and*  
152 *Haak*, 2004; *Aizawa et al.*, 2005; *Hill et al.*, 2009]. The resulting images of shallow magma  
153 reservoirs consist of conductive bodies having an essentially homogeneous bulk conductivity  
154 value; this is true even where petrological knowledge suggests substantial chemical  
155 heterogeneity. This is explained by the fact that electrical conductivity is not strongly dependent  
156 on melt composition (Figure 1a), but also reflects the resolution of MT data, which primarily  
157 constrain the total conductance of a magma reservoir rather than smaller scale heterogeneity  
158 within the reservoir.

159 Our goal in developing the conductivity-viscosity model for silicate melts is to improve  
160 the interpretation of electromagnetic field data. Therefore, the usefulness of the model depends on

161 the capacity for field data to detect magma reservoirs at crustal depth. Here we explore the  
162 potential for detecting and characterizing magma chambers using forward modeling of electrical  
163 conductivity. We consider a set of chemical and physical parameters (melt composition and  
164 temperature, melt viscosity and conductivity, volume and structure of the reservoir) that are  
165 representative of typical magma reservoirs (Table 1). Each scenario is expressed as a  
166 hypothetical conductivity structure, to which we apply a forward modeling in order to simulate  
167 the corresponding field electrical response, and therefore estimate the magnitude of the  
168 conductivity anomaly.

169 Hypothetical conductivity properties of a volcanic edifice [*e.g.*, *Pommier et al., 2010*] are  
170 presented in Table 1 and highlight the fairly small range of conductivity variations expected. The  
171 models consider three types of melt at different temperatures, including: 1) a basaltic andesite, 2)  
172 a rhyolitic melt, and 3) a hybrid melt resulting from mixing. Four different scenarios have been  
173 considered regarding the structure of the magmatic reservoir: a homogenous chamber, a zoned  
174 chamber (evolved melt on the top of mafic melt), magma mixing, and magma mingling (Table  
175 1). The simulation of magma mingling corresponds electrically to a reservoir whose bulk  
176 conductivity can be estimated using the Hashin-Shtrikman upper bound [*Hashin and Shtrikman,*  
177 *1962*] (the volume proportions of the two melts are assumed to be equal). The background  
178 conductivity for each simulation is 0.01 S/m.

179 Melt conductivities have been calculated using our model (Eq. 5). It is worth noticing that  
180 our model requires precise constraints on each parameter, in turn allowing accurate calculations  
181 of conductivity (Eq. 5) or viscosity (Eq. 6). For instance, as shown in Figure 3, a change of 50°C  
182 and 1 wt% water will imply non-negligible viscosity variation. Sensitivity analysis on the  
183 model's capacity to predict viscosity shows that if melt conductivity is known to within 8%



184 (uncertainty on conductivity measurements in the laboratory ranges typically between ~5 and  
185 10% [e.g., *Pommier et al. 2008*]), then an uncertainty on the calculated melt viscosity to within a  
186 factor of 10 would require an uncertainty in temperature of less than ~20°C, on water content of  
187 less than 35% and on melt composition (OB) of less than 0.7%.

188 Our forward modeling shows that for models based on chambers at 4 km depth and  
189 having volumes of either 10 or 20 km<sup>3</sup> (parallelepiped geometry with dimensions of 5\*2\*1 km<sup>3</sup>  
190 and 5\*2\*2 km<sup>3</sup>, respectively), the presence of the conductive magma chamber results in a  
191 detectable 2-5° phase shift in the MT responses at around 1 s period, but the phase differences  
192 between the reservoir types are less than 1°, making the discrimination between distinct models  
193 difficult for present-day MT acquisition systems. This is not surprising given the small  
194 conductivity variations for each magma type and the limited extent of the 3-D magma chambers  
195 considered here. Also, these models do not consider heterogeneity in the surrounding host rock.  
196 A particular and frequent issue in imaging magma chambers regards the effect of overlying  
197 hydrothermal fluids which themselves are conductive and inhibit the ability of MT surveys to  
198 well constrain the conductivities within the chamber [e.g., *Manzella et al. 2004; Pommier et al.,*  
199 *2010*]. As a consequence, typical MT measurements would be hard pressed to discriminate  
200 between these models, even if they imply strong chemical variations in melt composition. This  
201 statement is in agreement with previous findings by *Pommier et al. [2010]*, who performed 3-D  
202 forward modeling considering different magma reservoirs (chamber volume up to 1000 km<sup>3</sup> and  
203 magma resistivity varying from 2 to 2000 ohm-m) and showed that the electrical response was  
204 only slightly affected by magma conductivity.

205 The similarity of electrical responses between various forward models highlights the fact  
206 that, while MT is a useful tool, there is a strong need for additional geologic information such as

207 melt composition in order to extend the interpretation to quantify viscosity. Chemical  
208 compositions of previous eruptions provide clues as to the likely chemistry of current melts.  
209 Electrical conductivity at shallow crustal depths can also be imaged using controlled-source  
210 transmitter-receiver systems that offer higher resolution, but little work has been done for  
211 studying crustal magma chambers with these methods. Seismology has been used to image  
212 chambers [e.g., *Everson et al., 2011; Paulatto et al. 2011*], and provides first order estimates of  
213 temperature using heat flow data from boreholes adjacent to the caldera. At present, the  
214 usefulness of the MT technique in volcanic contexts lies in the fact that it provides an acceptable  
215 conductivity range for the magma reservoir. When combined with other information (from  
216 surface petrology, seismic data), conductivity data can significantly reduce uncertainties  
217 regarding melt temperature, chemical composition, and therefore viscosity. Our model allows the  
218 conversion of melt conductivity values into viscosity estimates for defined storage conditions  
219 (melt chemical composition, including water content, and temperature). We have not attempted  
220 to test how MT imaging would be improved through adding constraints such as limiting the  
221 boundaries of a seismically defined chamber, but such constraints are known to improve  
222 interpretations. The addition of further constraints would allow hypothesis testing regarding  
223 chemical heterogeneity of the reservoir and dynamic processes, such as magma mixing and  
224 comingling.

225

#### 226 **4. Conclusions**

227 We propose a simple model that relates melt conductivity to viscosity in order to promote  
228 rheological considerations as part of electromagnetic data interpretation in volcanic regions. This  
229 model is a function of temperature and composition, expressed through the concept of optical

230 basicity of melt, and successfully reproduces experimental conductivity and viscosity data from  
231 the literature.

232         Although the resolution of the MT method does not allow discrimination between two  
233 compositionally different melts in a same reservoir, the conversion of melt conductivity  
234 (calculated for relevant compositions) into melt viscosity allows constraints to be placed on the  
235 dynamics of the reservoir and to test the hypothesis of magma mixing. It is a fair statement to say  
236 that advances in data density and quality are required before detailed images of the internal  
237 structure of magma chambers are achievable, but in instances where the potential risk is high, the  
238 investment is likely worthwhile.

239         Because density is, like viscosity, an important physical property of magmas that  
240 determines their migration in the Earth's interior and constrains the occurrence of mixing [e.g.  
241 *Sparks et al., 1980*], future experimental and computational challenges could consist of including  
242 density-viscosity relationships for melts [e.g. *Hack and Thompson, 2011*] as part of a  
243 conductivity-viscosity-density-composition model for natural melts.

244

245

## 246 **Acknowledgements**

247 The authors thank Joe Baker for his careful editorial work and Kelly Russell for his comments  
248 that greatly improved the manuscript. AP thanks Ed Garnero, Michel Pichavant and Bernard  
249 Charlier for thoughtful comments. We acknowledge partial support under NASA USRA  
250 subaward 02153-04, NSF EAR 0739050, and the ASU School of Earth and Space Exploration  
251 (SESE) Exploration Postdoctoral Fellowship Program. This paper is LPI Contribution number  
252 1666.

253

254 **References**

255 Aizawa, K., Yoshimura, R., Oshiman, N., Yamazaki, K., Uto, T., Ogawa, Y., Tank,  
256 S.B., Kanda, W., Sakanaka, S., Furukawa, Y., Hashimoto, T., Uyeshima, M., Ogawa, T.,  
257 Shiozaki, I., and Hurst, A.W. (2005), Hydrothermal system beneath Mt. Fuji volcano inferred  
258 from magnetotellurics and electric self-potential, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 235, 343-355.

259 Allwardt, J. R., Stebbins, J.F., Terasaki, H., Du, L.-S., Frost, D.J., Withers, A.C.,  
260 Hirschmann, M.M., Suzuki, A., and Ohtani, E. (2007), Effect of structural transitions on  
261 properties of high-pressure silicate melts: <sup>27</sup>Al NMR, glass densities, and melt viscosities, *Am.*  
262 *Mineral.*, 92, 1093-1104.

263 Ardia, P., Giordano, D., and Schimidt, M.W. (2008), A model for the viscosity of rhyolite  
264 as a function of H<sub>2</sub>O-content and pressure: A calibration based on centrifuge piston cylinder  
265 experiments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 72, 6103-6123.

266 Bottinga, Y., and Weill, D.F. (1972), Viscosity of magmatic silicate liquids—model for  
267 calculation. *American Journal of Science* 272 (5), 438–475.

268 Costa, A., Caricchi, L., and Bagdassarov, N. (2009), A model for the rheology of  
269 particle-bearing suspensions and partially molten rocks, *Geochem. Cosmochem. Geosyst.*, 10,  
270 Q03010, doi:10.1029/2008GC002138.

271 Duffy, J.A. (1993), A review of optical basicity and its applications to oxidic systems,  
272 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 57, 3961-3970.

273 Duffy, J. A., and Ingram, M. D. (1975), Optical basicity—IV: Influence of  
274 electronegativity on the Lewis basicity and solvent properties of molten oxyanion salts and  
275 glasses, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 37, 1203-1206.

276 Duffy, J. A., and Ingram, M. D. (1976), An interpretation of glass chemistry in terms of  
277 the optical basicity concept, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 21, 373-410.

278 Everson, E. D., Holbrook, W. S., Lizarralde, D., van Avendonk, H., and Denyer, P.  
279 (2011), Seismic structure of the Costa Rican volcanic arc and Caribbean large igneous province  
280 from active-source onshore-offshore seismic data, American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting  
281 2011, abstract #T53A-2493.

282 Gaillard, F. (2004), Laboratory measurements of electrical conductivity of hydrous and  
283 dry silicic melts under pressure, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 218, 215-228.

284 Gaillard, F., and Iacono Marziano, G. (2005), Electrical conductivity of magma in the  
285 course of crystallization controlled by their residual liquid composition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110,  
286 B06204, doi:10.1029/2004JB003282.

287 Giordano, D., and Dingwell, D. B. (2003), Non-Arrhenian multicomponent melt  
288 viscosity: a model, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 208, 337–349.

289 Giordano, D., Russell, J. K. and Dingwell, D. B. (2008), Viscosity of magmatic liquids:  
290 A model, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 271, 123–134.

291 Grandjean, A., Malki, M., Simonnet, C., Manara, D., and Penelon, B. (2007), Correlation  
292 between electrical conductivity, viscosity, and structure in borosilicate glass-forming melts,  
293 *Phys. Rev. B*, 75, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.75.054112.

294 Hack, A. C., and Thompson, A. B. (2011), Density and viscosity of hydrous magmas and  
295 related fluids and their role in subduction zone processes, *J. Petrol.*, 52, 1333-1362.

296 Hashin, Z., and Shtrikman, S. (1962), On some variational principles in anisotropic and  
297 nonhomogeneous elasticity, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 10, 335–342, doi:10.1016/0022-  
298 5096(62)90004-2.

299 Hill G.J., Caldwell G. T., Heise W., Chertkoff D.G., Bibby H.M., Burgess M.K., Cull  
300 J.P., and Cas R.A.F. (2009), Distribution of melt beneath Mount St Helens and Mount Adams  
301 inferred from magnetotelluric data, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2, 785-789.

302 Karki, B. B., and Stixrude, L. P. (2010), Viscosity of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> liquid at Earth's mantle  
303 conditions: implications for an early magma ocean, *Science*, 328, 740-742.

304 Manzella, A., Volpi, G., Zaja, A., and Meju, M. (2004), Combined TEM-MT  
305 investigation of shallow-depth resistivity structure of Mt. Somma-Vesuvius, *J. Volcanol.*  
306 *Geotherm. Res.*, 131, 19–32, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00313-5.

307 Mathieu, R., Libourel, G., Deloule, E., Tissandier, L., Rapin C., and Podor, R. (2011),  
308 Na<sub>2</sub>O solubility in CaO-MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub> melts, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 75, 608-628.

309 Moretti, R. (2005), Polymerisation, basicity, oxidation state and their role in ionic  
310 modeling of silicate melts, *Ann. Geophys.*, 48, 583-608.

311 Müller, A., and V. Haak (2004), 3-D modeling of the deep electrical conductivity of  
312 Merapi volcano (Central Java): integrating magnetotellurics, induction vectors and the effects of  
313 steep topography, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 138, 205-222.

314 Ni, H., Keppler, H., and Behrens, H. (2011), Electrical conductivity of hydrous basaltic  
315 melts: implications for partial melting in the upper mantle, *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, doi:  
316 10.1007/s00410-011-0617-4.

317 Paulatto, M., Annen, C., Henstock, T.J., Kiddle, E., Minshull, T.A., Sparks, R.S.J., and  
318 Voight, B. (2011), Magma chamber properties from integrated seismic tomography and thermal  
319 modeling at Montserrat, *Geochem. Cosmochem. Geosyst.*, 13, Q01014,  
320 doi:10.1029/2011GC003892.

321 Pommier, A., Gaillard F., Pichavant M. and Scaillet B. (2008), Laboratory measurements  
322 of electrical conductivities of hydrous and dry Mount Vesuvius melts under pressure, *J.*  
323 *Geophys. Res.*, 113, doi:10.1029/2007JB005269.

324 Pommier, A., Tarits, P., Hautot, S., Pichavant, M., Scaillet, B., and Gaillard, F. (2010), A  
325 new petrological and geophysical investigation of the present-day plumbing system of Mount  
326 Vesuvius, *Geochem. Cosmochem. Geosyst.*, doi:10.1029/2010GC003059.

327 Pommier, A., and Le Trong, E. (2011), “SIGMELTS”: A webportal for electrical  
328 conductivity calculations in geosciences, *Comput. Geosci.*, 37, 1450-1459.

329 Rai, C.S. and Manghnani, M.H. (1977), Electrical conductivity of basalts to 1550 °C. In  
330 H.J.B. Dick, Ed., *Magma Genesis: Bulletin 96*, p. 219–232. Oregon Department of Geology and  
331 Mineral Industries, Portland.

332 Roberts, J., and Tyburczy, J. (1999), Partial-melt electrical conductivity: influence of  
333 melt composition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 7055-7065.

334 Shaw, H. R. (1965), Comments on viscosity, crystal settling, and convection in granitic  
335 magmas, *Am. J. Sci.*, 263, 120-152.

336 Singh, P., Banhatti, R. D., and Funke, K. (2005), Non-Arrhenius viscosity related to  
337 short-time ion dynamics in a fragile molten salt, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1096-1099.

338 Sparks, R. S. J., Meyer, P., and Sigurdsson, H. (1980), Density variation amongst mid-  
339 ocean ridge basalts: implications for magma mixing and the scarcity of primitive lavas, *Earth*  
340 *Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 46, 419-430.

341 Ten Grotenhuis, S. M., et al. (2005), Melt distribution in olivine rocks based on electrical  
342 conductivity measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, doi:10.1029/2004JB003462.

343 Tyburczy, J. A., and Waff, H. S. (1983), Electrical conductivity of molten basalt and  
344 andesite to 25 kilobars pressure: geophysical significance and implications for charge transport  
345 and melt structure, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 2413-2430.

346 Vetere, F., Behrens, H., Holtz, F., and Neuville, D. R. (2006), Viscosity of andesitic  
347 melts—new experimental data and a revised calculation model, *Chem. Geol.*, 228, 233-245.

348 Vetere, F., Behrens, H., Schuessler, J.A., Holtz, F., Misiti, V., and Borchers, L. (2008),  
349 Viscosity of andesite melts and its implication for magma mixing prior to Unzen 1991–1995  
350 eruption, *J. Volc. Geotherm. Res.*, 175, 208-217.

351 Vogel, H. (1921), The temperature dependence law of the viscosity of fluids,  
352 *Physikalische Zeitschrift*, 22, 645-646.

353 Zhang, G.-H., and Chou, K.-C. (2010), Simple method for estimating the electrical  
354 conductivity of oxide melts with optical basicity, *Metall. Trans. B*, 41B, 131-136.

355 Zhang, G.-H., Xue, Q.-G., and Chou, K.-C. (2011), Study on relation between viscosity  
356 and electrical conductivity of aluminosilicate melts, *Ironmaking Steelmaking*, 38, 149-154.